US Importation of Brazilian Ethanol: Methods Sensitive to Economic and Ecological Issues

For the Assoc. of Environmental and Resource Economists session at the Western Economics Assoc. Int'l. Conference Vancouver, BC, Canada



Jason Barton Faculty of Land and Food Systems U. of British Columbia

Energy Biosciences Institute U. of Illinois





Faculty of Land and Food Systems Grounded in Science | Global in Scope

Today's Agenda



- + US Demand for Ethanol
- Background on Brazilian Ethanol
- Two Important Aspects of Cane
 Production
- Comments and Questions





The Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 mandates

Year	ML Gasoline	ML Ethanol	% Ethanol
1996	452,246	4,163.9	0.92%
2006	524,535	18,378.1	3.50%
2022 RFS	681,370 (projected)	136,274.4	20.0% (projected)

The Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 mandates

Year	ML Gasoline	ML Ethanol	% Ethanol
1996	452,246	4,163.9	0.92%
2006	524,535	18,378.1	3.50%
2022 RFS	681,370 (projected)	136,274.4	20.0% (projected)

+ 60% of these must come from "advanced biofuels."

The Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 mandates

Year	ML Gasoline	ML Ethanol	% Ethanol
1996	452,246	4,163.9	0.92%
2006	524,535	18,378.1	3.50%
2022 RFS	681,370 (projected)	136,274.4	20.0% (projected)

+ 60% of these must come from "advanced biofuels."

+ By some estimates (Macedo et al., 2008) Brazilian cane accomplishes this.

The Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 mandates

Year	ML Gasoline	ML Ethanol	% Ethanol
1996	452,246	4,163.9	0.92%
2006	524,535	18,378.1	3.50%
2022 RFS	681,370 (projected)	136,274.4	20.0% (projected)

+ 60% of these must come from "advanced biofuels."

+ By some estimates (Macedo et al., 2008) Brazilian cane accomplishes this.

+So should we switch the emphasis to cane instead of corn?

Table 1. Land Productivity

Production	units	2006	2022*
SP Sugarcane	tn ha⁻¹	81	95
	L tn ⁻¹	86.3	92.5
	L ha⁻¹	6990.3	8768.5
	Gal ac ⁻¹	747.33	937.43
US Corn	L ha ⁻¹	3729.81	4662.26
	Gal ac ⁻¹	398.75	498.44

* These projections are very difficult to determine but are well supported in the literature as moderate possibilities.

Table 1. Land Productivity

Production	units	2006	2022*
SP Sugarcane	tn ha⁻¹	81	95
	L tn ⁻¹	86.3	92.5
	L ha⁻¹	6990.3	8768.5
	Gal ac ⁻¹	747.33	937.43
US Corn	L ha ⁻¹	3729.81	4662.26
	Gal ac-1	398.75	498.44

* These projections are very difficult to determine but are well supported in the literature as moderate possibilities.

Cellulosic ethanol is not yet feasible, so what are our current options?



Cane vs. Corn



Cellulosic ethanol is not yet feasible, so what are our current options?



Cane vs. Corn

+ Sugarcane is much more efficient in terms of land and energy



Cellulosic ethanol is not yet feasible, so what are our current options?



Cane vs. Corn

+ Sugarcane is much more efficient in terms of land and energy

+ Sugarcane avoids much of the food vs. fuel debate



Cellulosic ethanol is not yet feasible, so what are our current options?

Cane vs. Corn

+ Sugarcane is much more efficient in terms of land and energy

Sugarcane avoids much of the food vs. fuel debate

+ BUT, increasing demand for both food and fuel indicate this is likely only a temporary measure!



Brazilian Ethanol



Brazilian Ethanol

- + PROALCOOL was instituted in the 1970's in response to oil and currency crises.
 - + Brazil is 2nd largest ethanol producer, largest exporter





- 1990: 263 Mt
- + 2008: 490 Mt
- + 2012: 728 Mt



Possible US Importation from Brazil

 Existing tariff of \$0.54 per gallon blocks significant ethanol importation.

- But many government officials (on both sides of the aisle) favor reducing or abolishing the tariff.
 - + How much more importation is possible?
 - * What would be the economic, ecological, and social impacts, and the interactions between them?



First Example: Cane Burning

- Traditional harvesting was done by hand
- + Creates jobs
 - Though not very good jobs
 - Job quality is improving due to increased enforcement of labor laws
- Manual harvest requires fields to be burned before harvest
 - Resulting air pollution risks human health

+ Burning to be banned by 2031

+ Burning to be banned by 2031

- + Burning to be banned by 2031
 - + Prior bans have been ineffective

- + Burning to be banned by 2031
 - + Prior bans have been ineffective

- + Burning to be banned by 2031
 - + Prior bans have been ineffective
 - + Costs of labor rising due to improved enforcement

- + Burning to be banned by 2031
 - + Prior bans have been ineffective
 - + Costs of labor rising due to improved enforcement

- + Burning to be banned by 2031
 - Prior bans have been ineffective
 - + Costs of labor rising due to improved enforcement
 - + Costs of mechanization falling (stronger currency, increased domestic production of tractors, etc.)

- + Burning to be banned by 2031
 - Prior bans have been ineffective
 - + Costs of labor rising due to improved enforcement
 - + Costs of mechanization falling (stronger currency, increased domestic production of tractors, etc.)

- + Burning to be banned by 2031
 - Prior bans have been ineffective
 - + Costs of labor rising due to improved enforcement
 - + Costs of mechanization falling (stronger currency, increased domestic production of tractors, etc.)
 - Results: Producers are moving to mechanized harvesting

- + Burning to be banned by 2031
 - Prior bans have been ineffective
 - + Costs of labor rising due to improved enforcement
 - + Costs of mechanization falling (stronger currency, increased domestic production of tractors, etc.)
 - Results: Producers are moving to mechanized harvesting
 - One tractor = 80 jobs (79 lost)

- + Burning to be banned by 2031
 - Prior bans have been ineffective
 - + Costs of labor rising due to improved enforcement
 - + Costs of mechanization falling (stronger currency, increased domestic production of tractors, etc.)
 - Results: Producers are moving to mechanized harvesting
 - One tractor = 80 jobs (79 lost)
 - + Air quality improves

- + Burning to be banned by 2031
 - + Prior bans have been ineffective



- + Burning to be banned by 2031
 - + Prior bans have been ineffective



So economics can be a powerful driver, but externalities must be considered.

A second example: Legal Reserves and Areas of Permanent Preservation

(the focus of my work)





Faculty of Land and Food Systems Grounded in Science | Global in Scope

My Research:

A cost-benefit analysis of Legal Reserves and Areas of Permanent Preservation (APP's)

Legal Reserves:

- + Mandated 20% of each agricultural parcel
- + Harvest of wood, fruit, etc., permitted

APP's:

- Mandated along waterways and other sensitive areas
- No agricultural activity is permitted
- + Approx. 5-10% of agricultural land

Legal Reserves and APP's (cont'd)

Legal Reserves and APP's (cont'd)

+ Less than half of the mandated areas have actually been established

Legal Reserves and APP's (cont'd)

Less than half of the mandated areas have actually been established
 Enforcement is difficult
Legal Reserves and APP's (cont'd)

Less than half of the mandated areas have actually been established

- + Enforcement is difficult
 - + But the burning example provides a potential solution

Legal Reserves and APP's (cont'd)

+ Less than half of the mandated areas have actually been established

- + Enforcement is difficult
 - + But the burning example provides a potential solution
 - + Cost-Benefit analysis of RL's and APP's

+ What would be the increase in price per gallon of ethanol for sugarcane producers to be in compliance with the law?

- + What would be the increase in price per gallon of ethanol for sugarcane producers to be in compliance with the law?
 - + US could lower tariff to motivate compliance

- + What would be the increase in price per gallon of ethanol for sugarcane producers to be in compliance with the law?
 - + US could lower tariff to motivate compliance
 - + Monitoring performed by usinas (refineries)

- + What would be the increase in price per gallon of ethanol for sugarcane producers to be in compliance with the law?
 - + US could lower tariff to motivate compliance
 - + Monitoring performed by usinas (refineries)

+ What would be the ecological benefits, and is it possible to perform economic valuation of these?

- + What would be the increase in price per gallon of ethanol for sugarcane producers to be in compliance with the law?
 - + US could lower tariff to motivate compliance
 - + Monitoring performed by usinas (refineries)
- + What would be the ecological benefits, and is it possible to perform economic valuation of these?

+ What would be the benefits in terms of job creation (diversification of ag. activities)?

- + What would be the increase in price per gallon of ethanol for sugarcane producers to be in compliance with the law?
 - + US could lower tariff to motivate compliance
 - + Monitoring performed by usinas (refineries)
- + What would be the ecological benefits, and is it possible to perform economic valuation of these?
- + What would be the benefits in terms of job creation (diversification of ag. activities)?
- + What are the barriers to implementation?

- + What would be the increase in price per gallon of ethanol for sugarcane producers to be in compliance with the law?
 - + US could lower tariff to motivate compliance
 - + Monitoring performed by usinas (refineries)
- + What would be the ecological benefits, and is it possible to perform economic valuation of these?
- + What would be the benefits in terms of job creation (diversification of ag. activities)?
- + What are the barriers to implementation?
 + Established through interviews of stakeholders

- + What would be the increase in price per gallon of ethanol for sugarcane producers to be in compliance with the law?
 - + US could lower tariff to motivate compliance
 - + Monitoring performed by usinas (refineries)
- + What would be the ecological benefits, and is it possible to perform economic valuation of these?
- + What would be the benefits in terms of job creation (diversification of ag. activities)?
- + What are the barriers to implementation?
 - + Established through interviews of stakeholders
 - + Laborers, land owners, gov't officials, etc.

Comments or questions?

Thank You!

	Brasil						
Year	Production (th tons)	Area	Yield (tn ha ⁻¹)	São Paulo			
		harvested (th		Production (th tons)	Area Harvested (th	Yield (tn ha ⁻¹)	
1990	262,674	4,273	61.5		na)		
1991	260,888	4,211	62.0	136,200	1,852	73.5	
1992	271,475	4,203	64.6	145,500	1,890	77.0	
1993	244,531	3,864	63.3	148,647	1,896	78.4	
1994	292,102	4,345	67.2	174,100	2,173	80.1	
1995	303,699	4,559	66.6	174,960	2,259	77.5	
1996	317,106	4,750	66.8	192,320	2,493	77.1	
1997	331,613	4,814	68.9	194,025	2,446	79.3	
1998	345,255	4,986	69.2	199,783	2,565	77.9	
1999	333,848	4,899	68.1	197,144	2,555	77.2	
2000	326,121	4,805	67.9	189,040	2,485	76.1	
2001	344,293	4,958	69.4	198,932	2,567	77.5	
2002	364,389	5,100	71.4	212,707	2,661	79.9	
2003	396,012	5,371	73.7	227,981	2,818	80.9	
2004	415,206	5,632	73.7	239,528	2,952	81.1	
2005 ¹	455,272	6,172	73.8	266,071	3,285	81.0	

Source: Brazilian Minstry of Agriculture, available at http://www.agricultura.gc

Thruthiness

- + Ethanol is starving the poor.
 - The Amazon Rainforest will be cut down to grow sugarcane.
 - We don't need to import ethanol because we can make ethanol from cellulose and even algae.
 - More cane production will create jobs in Brazil for people who need them.



Figure I. Historical US Gasoline and Ethanol Consumption



Land Use Scenarios (40% RFS)

Feedstock	units	2006	2022	40% of 2022
Sugarcane	Th. ac.	6,496.48	38,402.70	15,361.08
	% BR ag land	0.75	4.45	1.78
	% BR crop land	3.43	20.26	8.11
	% SP ag land	14.31	84.60	33.84
	% SP crop land	35.27	208.48	83.39
Corn	Th. ac.	12,175.51	72,225.46	28,890.18
	% US ag land	1.30	7.70	3.08
	% US crop land	4.02	23.86	9.54









These exist along rivers and other waterways (5-10% of ag. land)





These exist along rivers and other waterways (5-10% of ag. land)

No agricultural activity is allowed





- These exist along rivers and other waterways (5-10% of ag. land)
 - No agricultural activity is allowed
 - They have been deemed essential to preserving the health of water and soil





- These exist along rivers and other waterways (5-10% of ag. land)
 - No agricultural activity is allowed
 - They have been deemed essential to preserving the health of water and soil
 - Legal Reserves:





- These exist along rivers and other waterways (5-10% of ag. land)
 - No agricultural activity is allowed

 They have been deemed essential to preserving the health of water and soil

Legal Reserves:

+ 20% of each plot of agricultural land must be set aside for forests





- These exist along rivers and other waterways (5-10% of ag. land)
 - No agricultural activity is allowed

 They have been deemed essential to preserving the health of water and soil

Legal Reserves:

- + 20% of each plot of agricultural land must be set aside for forests
 - +These are meant to preserve biodiversity, water and soil quality





- These exist along rivers and other waterways (5-10% of ag. land)
 - No agricultural activity is allowed

 They have been deemed essential to preserving the health of water and soil

Legal Reserves:

- + 20% of each plot of agricultural land must be set aside for forests
 - These are meant to preserve biodiversity, water and soil quality

+ Fruit trees and wood collection are allowed, creating income opportunities, but not for several years





The bottom lines:

- Brazilian ethanol demand will not stay flat
- The US is not the only country that will import
- How expanded production happens will make all the difference in terms of sustainability
 - Economic
 - Ecological
 - Social

We (in the North) consume too much energy!



One more thought...

One more thought...

Figure 1. Historical US Gasoline and Ethanol Consumption

One more thought...



Figure 1. Historical US Gasoline and Ethanol Consumption

One more thought...



Queimas (Cane Burning)

Environmental issues:

 Air Quality: Potential health problems for workers and others in sugarcane-producing communities (there are a lot of those in Sao Paulo)

+ Cane "trash" that's burned could be used for electricity/ethanol generation

Burning is required for manual harvest

Job creation/elimination:

 Worker wages have improved due to increased enforcement of labor laws

This increases costs of labor

Bottom line:

- + Cane burning is to be eliminated by 2031
- + (and thus, the jobs associated)



Table 1. Land Productivity

Production	units	2006	2022*	
SP Sugarcane	tn ha⁻¹	81	95	
	L tn ⁻¹	86.3	92.5	
	L ha⁻¹	6990.3	8768.5	
	Gal ac ⁻¹	747.33	937.43	
US Corn L ha ⁻¹		3729.81	4662.26	
	Gal ac ⁻¹	398.75	498.44	

* These projections are very difficult to determine but are well supported in the literature as moderate possibilities.


















