Seoul National University short course: An introduction to the structure of crossed product C*-algebras. Lecture 3: Crossed products by minimal homeomorphisms

N. Christopher Phillips

University of Oregon

15 December 2009

We will prove that reduced crossed products by free minimal actions of countable discrete groups on compact metric spaces are simple.

We will prove that reduced crossed products by free minimal actions of countable discrete groups on compact metric spaces are simple.

Definition

Let a locally compact group G act continuously on a locally compact space X. The action is called *minimal*

We will prove that reduced crossed products by free minimal actions of countable discrete groups on compact metric spaces are simple.

Definition

Let a locally compact group G act continuously on a locally compact space X. The action is called *minimal* if whenever $T \subset X$ is a closed subset such that $gT \subset T$ for all $g \in G$,

We will prove that reduced crossed products by free minimal actions of countable discrete groups on compact metric spaces are simple.

Definition

Let a locally compact group G act continuously on a locally compact space X. The action is called *minimal* if whenever $T \subset X$ is a closed subset such that $gT \subset T$ for all $g \in G$, then $T = \emptyset$ or T = X.

We will prove that reduced crossed products by free minimal actions of countable discrete groups on compact metric spaces are simple.

Definition

Let a locally compact group G act continuously on a locally compact space X. The action is called *minimal* if whenever $T \subset X$ is a closed subset such that $gT \subset T$ for all $g \in G$, then $T = \emptyset$ or T = X.

In short, there are no nontrivial invariant closed subsets.

We will prove that reduced crossed products by free minimal actions of countable discrete groups on compact metric spaces are simple.

Definition

Let a locally compact group G act continuously on a locally compact space X. The action is called *minimal* if whenever $T \subset X$ is a closed subset such that $gT \subset T$ for all $g \in G$, then $T = \emptyset$ or T = X.

In short, there are no nontrivial invariant closed subsets. For those knowing some ergodic theory, this is the topological analog of an ergodic action on a measure space.

We will prove that reduced crossed products by free minimal actions of countable discrete groups on compact metric spaces are simple.

Definition

Let a locally compact group G act continuously on a locally compact space X. The action is called *minimal* if whenever $T \subset X$ is a closed subset such that $gT \subset T$ for all $g \in G$, then $T = \emptyset$ or T = X.

In short, there are no nontrivial invariant closed subsets. For those knowing some ergodic theory, this is the topological analog of an ergodic action on a measure space.

If the action of G on X is not minimal, then there is a nontrivial invariant closed subset $T \subset X$,

- 4 週 ト - 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト

We will prove that reduced crossed products by free minimal actions of countable discrete groups on compact metric spaces are simple.

Definition

Let a locally compact group G act continuously on a locally compact space X. The action is called *minimal* if whenever $T \subset X$ is a closed subset such that $gT \subset T$ for all $g \in G$, then $T = \emptyset$ or T = X.

In short, there are no nontrivial invariant closed subsets. For those knowing some ergodic theory, this is the topological analog of an ergodic action on a measure space.

If the action of G on X is not minimal, then there is a nontrivial invariant closed subset $T \subset X$, and $C_r^*(G, X \setminus T)$ turns out to be a nontrivial ideal in $C_r^*(G, X)$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

We will prove that reduced crossed products by free minimal actions of countable discrete groups on compact metric spaces are simple.

Definition

Let a locally compact group G act continuously on a locally compact space X. The action is called *minimal* if whenever $T \subset X$ is a closed subset such that $gT \subset T$ for all $g \in G$, then $T = \emptyset$ or T = X.

In short, there are no nontrivial invariant closed subsets. For those knowing some ergodic theory, this is the topological analog of an ergodic action on a measure space.

If the action of G on X is not minimal, then there is a nontrivial invariant closed subset $T \subset X$, and $C_r^*(G, X \setminus T)$ turns out to be a nontrivial ideal in $C_r^*(G, X)$. Thus $C_r^*(G, X)$ is not simple.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

For the case $G = \mathbb{Z}$, the conventional terminology is a bit different.

3

< 🗇 🕨 🔸

For the case $G = \mathbb{Z}$, the conventional terminology is a bit different.

Definition

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. Then h is called *minimal*

For the case $G = \mathbb{Z}$, the conventional terminology is a bit different.

Definition

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. Then h is called *minimal* if whenever $T \subset X$ is a closed subset such that h(T) = T,

For the case $G = \mathbb{Z}$, the conventional terminology is a bit different.

Definition

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. Then h is called *minimal* if whenever $T \subset X$ is a closed subset such that h(T) = T, then $T = \emptyset$ or T = X.

For the case $G = \mathbb{Z}$, the conventional terminology is a bit different.

Definition

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. Then h is called *minimal* if whenever $T \subset X$ is a closed subset such that h(T) = T, then $T = \emptyset$ or T = X.

Almost all work on minimal homeomorphisms has been on compact spaces. For these, we have the following equivalent conditions.

Lemma

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. Then the following are equivalent:

Lemma

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. Then the following are equivalent:

h is minimal.

Lemma

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. Then the following are equivalent:

- h is minimal.
- ② Whenever $T \subset X$ is a closed subset such that $h(T) \subset T$, then $T = \emptyset$ or T = X.

Lemma

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. Then the following are equivalent:

- I is minimal.
- Whenever T ⊂ X is a closed subset such that h(T) ⊂ T, then T = Ø or T = X.
- Whenever U ⊂ X is an open subset such that h(U) = U, then U = Ø or U = X.

Lemma

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. Then the following are equivalent:

- I h is minimal.
- Whenever T ⊂ X is a closed subset such that h(T) ⊂ T, then T = Ø or T = X.
- Whenever U ⊂ X is an open subset such that h(U) = U, then U = Ø or U = X.
- Whenever U ⊂ X is an open subset such that h(U) ⊂ U, then U = Ø or U = X.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Lemma

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. Then the following are equivalent:

- I h is minimal.
- Whenever T ⊂ X is a closed subset such that h(T) ⊂ T, then T = Ø or T = X.
- Whenever U ⊂ X is an open subset such that h(U) = U, then U = Ø or U = X.
- Whenever U ⊂ X is an open subset such that h(U) ⊂ U, then U = Ø or U = X.
- So For every $x \in X$, the orbit $\{h^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in X.

(人間) トイヨト イヨト

Lemma

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. Then the following are equivalent:

- I h is minimal.
- Whenever T ⊂ X is a closed subset such that h(T) ⊂ T, then T = Ø or T = X.
- Whenever U ⊂ X is an open subset such that h(U) = U, then U = Ø or U = X.
- Whenever U ⊂ X is an open subset such that h(U) ⊂ U, then U = Ø or U = X.
- So For every $x \in X$, the orbit $\{h^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in X.
- For every $x \in X$, the forward orbit $\{h^n(x) : n \ge 0\}$ is dense in X.

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Conditions (1), (3), and (5) are equivalent even when X is only locally compact,

Conditions (1), (3), and (5) are equivalent even when X is only locally compact, and in fact there is an analog for actions of arbitrary groups.

Conditions (1), (3), and (5) are equivalent even when X is only locally compact, and in fact there is an analog for actions of arbitrary groups. Minimality does not imply the other three conditions without compactness,

Conditions (1), (3), and (5) are equivalent even when X is only locally compact, and in fact there is an analog for actions of arbitrary groups. Minimality does not imply the other three conditions without compactness, as can be seen by considering the homeomorphism $n \mapsto n+1$ of \mathbb{Z} .

Conditions (1), (3), and (5) are equivalent even when X is only locally compact, and in fact there is an analog for actions of arbitrary groups. Minimality does not imply the other three conditions without compactness, as can be seen by considering the homeomorphism $n \mapsto n+1$ of \mathbb{Z} . Also, even for compact X, it isn't good enough to merely have the existence of some dense orbit,

Conditions (1), (3), and (5) are equivalent even when X is only locally compact, and in fact there is an analog for actions of arbitrary groups. Minimality does not imply the other three conditions without compactness, as can be seen by considering the homeomorphism $n \mapsto n+1$ of \mathbb{Z} . Also, even for compact X, it isn't good enough to merely have the existence of some dense orbit, as can be seen by considering the homeomorphism $n \mapsto n+1$ on the two point compactification $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ of \mathbb{Z} .

Conditions (1), (3), and (5) are equivalent even when X is only locally compact, and in fact there is an analog for actions of arbitrary groups. Minimality does not imply the other three conditions without compactness, as can be seen by considering the homeomorphism $n \mapsto n+1$ of \mathbb{Z} . Also, even for compact X, it isn't good enough to merely have the existence of some dense orbit, as can be seen by considering the homeomorphism $n \mapsto n+1$ on the two point compactification $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ of \mathbb{Z} .

Exercise

Prove the lemma.

Example

Let G be a locally compact group, let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup, and let G act on G/H by translation.

Example

Let G be a locally compact group, let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup, and let G act on G/H by translation. This action is minimal: there are no nontrivial invariant subsets, closed or not.

Example

Let G be a locally compact group, let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup, and let G act on G/H by translation. This action is minimal: there are no nontrivial invariant subsets, closed or not.

This is a "trivial" example of a minimal action.

Example

Let G be a locally compact group, let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup, and let G act on G/H by translation. This action is minimal: there are no nontrivial invariant subsets, closed or not.

This is a "trivial" example of a minimal action. Here are several more interesting ones.

Example

Let G be a locally compact group, let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup, and let G act on G/H by translation. This action is minimal: there are no nontrivial invariant subsets, closed or not.

This is a "trivial" example of a minimal action. Here are several more interesting ones.

Example

Irrational rotations of the circle are minimal.

Minimality of irrational rotations

Minimality of irrational rotations follows from the following lemma.

Minimality of irrational rotations

Minimality of irrational rotations follows from the following lemma.

Lemma

Let
$$heta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$$
. Then $\left\{ e^{2\pi i n \theta} \colon n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ is dense in S^1 .
Minimality of irrational rotations follows from the following lemma.

Lemma

Let
$$\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$$
. Then $\{e^{2\pi i n \theta} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in S^1 .

Proof.

It suffices to prove that $\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}$ is dense in \mathbb{R} .

< 同 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Minimality of irrational rotations follows from the following lemma.

Lemma

Let
$$\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$$
. Then $\{e^{2\pi i n \theta} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in S^1 .

Proof.

It suffices to prove that $\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}$ is dense in \mathbb{R} . Suppose not. Because we are dealing with groups, there is an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $U \cap (\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}.$

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Minimality of irrational rotations follows from the following lemma.

Lemma

Let
$$\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$$
. Then $\{e^{2\pi i n \theta} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in S^1 .

Proof.

It suffices to prove that $\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}$ is dense in \mathbb{R} . Suppose not. Because we are dealing with groups, there is an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $U \cap (\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$. Let $t = \inf \left(\left\{ x \in \overline{\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}} : x > 0 \right\} \right)$.

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Minimality of irrational rotations follows from the following lemma.

Lemma

Let
$$\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$$
. Then $\{e^{2\pi i n \theta} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in S^1 .

Proof.

It suffices to prove that $\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}$ is dense in \mathbb{R} . Suppose not. Because we are dealing with groups, there is an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $U \cap (\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$. Let $t = \inf (\{x \in \overline{\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}} : x > 0\})$. Then t > 0.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Minimality of irrational rotations follows from the following lemma.

Lemma

Let
$$\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$$
. Then $\{e^{2\pi i n \theta} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in S^1 .

Proof.

It suffices to prove that $\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}$ is dense in \mathbb{R} . Suppose not. Because we are dealing with groups, there is an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $U \cap (\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$. Let $t = \inf (\{x \in \overline{\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}} : x > 0\})$. Then t > 0. Since $\overline{\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}}$ is a group, one checks that $\overline{\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}t$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Minimality of irrational rotations follows from the following lemma.

Lemma

Let
$$\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$$
. Then $\{e^{2\pi i n \theta} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in S^1 .

Proof.

It suffices to prove that $\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}$ is dense in \mathbb{R} . Suppose not. Because we are dealing with groups, there is an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $U \cap (\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$. Let $t = \inf \left(\left\{ x \in \overline{\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}} : x > 0 \right\} \right)$. Then t > 0. Since $\overline{\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}}$ is a group, one checks that $\overline{\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}t$. Then we must have $\mathbb{Z} + \theta \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}t$.

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Minimality of irrational rotations follows from the following lemma.

Lemma

Let
$$\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$$
. Then $\{e^{2\pi i n \theta} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in S^1 .

Proof.

It suffices to prove that $\mathbb{Z} + \theta\mathbb{Z}$ is dense in \mathbb{R} . Suppose not. Because we are dealing with groups, there is an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $U \cap (\mathbb{Z} + \theta\mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$. Let $t = \inf (\{x \in \overline{\mathbb{Z} + \theta\mathbb{Z}} : x > 0\})$. Then t > 0. Since $\overline{\mathbb{Z} + \theta\mathbb{Z}}$ is a group, one checks that $\overline{\mathbb{Z} + \theta\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}t$. Then we must have $\mathbb{Z} + \theta\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}t$. It follows that both 1 and θ are integer multiples of t, so that $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}$.

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Minimality of irrational rotations follows from the following lemma.

Lemma

Let
$$\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$$
. Then $\{e^{2\pi i n \theta} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in S^1 .

Proof.

It suffices to prove that $\mathbb{Z} + \theta\mathbb{Z}$ is dense in \mathbb{R} . Suppose not. Because we are dealing with groups, there is an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $U \cap (\mathbb{Z} + \theta\mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$. Let $t = \inf (\{x \in \overline{\mathbb{Z} + \theta\mathbb{Z}} : x > 0\})$. Then t > 0. Since $\overline{\mathbb{Z} + \theta\mathbb{Z}}$ is a group, one checks that $\overline{\mathbb{Z} + \theta\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}t$. Then we must have $\mathbb{Z} + \theta\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}t$. It follows that both 1 and θ are integer multiples of t, so that $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}$.

There are other proofs of minimality.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Example

The homeomorphism $x \mapsto x + 1$ on the *p*-adic integers is minimal.

Example

The homeomorphism $x \mapsto x + 1$ on the *p*-adic integers is minimal. The orbit of 0 is \mathbb{Z} , which is dense, essentially by definition.

Example

The homeomorphism $x \mapsto x + 1$ on the *p*-adic integers is minimal. The orbit of 0 is \mathbb{Z} , which is dense, essentially by definition. Every other orbit is a translate of this one, so also dense.

Example

The homeomorphism $x \mapsto x + 1$ on the *p*-adic integers is minimal. The orbit of 0 is \mathbb{Z} , which is dense, essentially by definition. Every other orbit is a translate of this one, so also dense.

Example

The shift homeomorphism on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the action of ${\rm SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $S^1\times S^1$ are not minimal.

Example

The homeomorphism $x \mapsto x + 1$ on the *p*-adic integers is minimal. The orbit of 0 is \mathbb{Z} , which is dense, essentially by definition. Every other orbit is a translate of this one, so also dense.

Example

The shift homeomorphism on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $S^1 \times S^1$ are not minimal. In fact, they have fixed points.

< 同 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Example

The homeomorphism $x \mapsto x + 1$ on the *p*-adic integers is minimal. The orbit of 0 is \mathbb{Z} , which is dense, essentially by definition. Every other orbit is a translate of this one, so also dense.

Example

The shift homeomorphism on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $S^1 \times S^1$ are not minimal. In fact, they have fixed points.

Other examples of minimal homeomorphisms include Furstenberg transformations,

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Example

The homeomorphism $x \mapsto x + 1$ on the *p*-adic integers is minimal. The orbit of 0 is \mathbb{Z} , which is dense, essentially by definition. Every other orbit is a translate of this one, so also dense.

Example

The shift homeomorphism on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $S^1 \times S^1$ are not minimal. In fact, they have fixed points.

Other examples of minimal homeomorphisms include Furstenberg transformations, restrictions of Denjoy homeomorphisms of the circle to their minimal sets,

(人間) トイヨト イヨト

Example

The homeomorphism $x \mapsto x + 1$ on the *p*-adic integers is minimal. The orbit of 0 is \mathbb{Z} , which is dense, essentially by definition. Every other orbit is a translate of this one, so also dense.

Example

The shift homeomorphism on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $S^1 \times S^1$ are not minimal. In fact, they have fixed points.

Other examples of minimal homeomorphisms include Furstenberg transformations, restrictions of Denjoy homeomorphisms of the circle to their minimal sets, and certain irrational time maps of suspension flows.

Minimal actions are plentiful

Minimal actions are plentiful: a Zorn's Lemma argument shows that every nonempty compact G-space X contains a nonempty invariant closed subset on which the restricted action is minimal.

The transformation group C*-algebra of a minimal action need not be simple.

3. 3

10 / 70

< 67 ▶

The transformation group C*-algebra of a minimal action need not be simple. Consider, for example, the trivial action of a group G (particularly an abelian group) on a one point space, for which the transformation group C*-algebra is $C^*(G)$.

The transformation group C*-algebra of a minimal action need not be simple. Consider, for example, the trivial action of a group G (particularly an abelian group) on a one point space, for which the transformation group C*-algebra is $C^*(G)$.

Definition

Let a locally compact group G act continuously on a locally compact space X. The action is called *free*

10 / 70

The transformation group C*-algebra of a minimal action need not be simple. Consider, for example, the trivial action of a group G (particularly an abelian group) on a one point space, for which the transformation group C*-algebra is $C^*(G)$.

Definition

Let a locally compact group G act continuously on a locally compact space X. The action is called *free* if whenever $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ and $x \in X$, then $gx \neq x$.

10 / 70

The transformation group C*-algebra of a minimal action need not be simple. Consider, for example, the trivial action of a group G (particularly an abelian group) on a one point space, for which the transformation group C*-algebra is $C^*(G)$.

Definition

Let a locally compact group G act continuously on a locally compact space X. The action is called *free* if whenever $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ and $x \in X$, then $gx \neq x$. The action is called *essentially free*

The transformation group C*-algebra of a minimal action need not be simple. Consider, for example, the trivial action of a group G (particularly an abelian group) on a one point space, for which the transformation group C*-algebra is $C^*(G)$.

Definition

Let a locally compact group G act continuously on a locally compact space X. The action is called *free* if whenever $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ and $x \in X$, then $gx \neq x$. The action is called *essentially free* if whenever $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$, the set $\{x \in X : gx = x\}$ has empty interior.

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Let X be an infinite compact Hausdorff space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Then one easily checks that the corresponding action of \mathbb{Z} on X is free.

Let X be an infinite compact Hausdorff space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Then one easily checks that the corresponding action of \mathbb{Z} on X is free.

An essentially free minimal action of an abelian group is free.

Let X be an infinite compact Hausdorff space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Then one easily checks that the corresponding action of \mathbb{Z} on X is free.

An essentially free minimal action of an abelian group is free. This is because the fixed point set of any group element is invariant under the action.

Theorem (Archbold-Spielberg)

Let a discrete group G act minimally and essentially freely on a locally compact space X. Then $C_r^*(G, X)$ is simple.

12 / 70

Theorem (Archbold-Spielberg)

Let a discrete group G act minimally and essentially freely on a locally compact space X. Then $C_r^*(G, X)$ is simple.

Archbold and Spielberg actually proved something stronger, involving actions on not necessarily commutative C*-algebras.

Theorem (Archbold-Spielberg)

Let a discrete group G act minimally and essentially freely on a locally compact space X. Then $C_r^*(G, X)$ is simple.

Archbold and Spielberg actually proved something stronger, involving actions on not necessarily commutative C*-algebras. See the notes, and also see the notes for discussions of other proofs and related results.

Theorem (Archbold-Spielberg)

Let a discrete group G act minimally and essentially freely on a locally compact space X. Then $C_r^*(G, X)$ is simple.

Archbold and Spielberg actually proved something stronger, involving actions on not necessarily commutative C*-algebras. See the notes, and also see the notes for discussions of other proofs and related results.

The usual proof for $G = \mathbb{Z}$ depends on Rokhlin type arguments.

Theorem (Archbold-Spielberg)

Let a discrete group G act minimally and essentially freely on a locally compact space X. Then $C_r^*(G, X)$ is simple.

Archbold and Spielberg actually proved something stronger, involving actions on not necessarily commutative C*-algebras. See the notes, and also see the notes for discussions of other proofs and related results.

The usual proof for $G = \mathbb{Z}$ depends on Rokhlin type arguments. See the proof of Lemma VIII.3.7 of Davidson's book.

Theorem (Archbold-Spielberg)

Let a discrete group G act minimally and essentially freely on a locally compact space X. Then $C_r^*(G, X)$ is simple.

Archbold and Spielberg actually proved something stronger, involving actions on not necessarily commutative C*-algebras. See the notes, and also see the notes for discussions of other proofs and related results.

The usual proof for $G = \mathbb{Z}$ depends on Rokhlin type arguments. See the proof of Lemma VIII.3.7 of Davidson's book. We have avoided such arguments here, but will use them later.

Theorem (Archbold-Spielberg)

Let a discrete group G act minimally and essentially freely on a locally compact space X. Then $C_r^*(G, X)$ is simple.

Archbold and Spielberg actually proved something stronger, involving actions on not necessarily commutative C*-algebras. See the notes, and also see the notes for discussions of other proofs and related results.

The usual proof for $G = \mathbb{Z}$ depends on Rokhlin type arguments. See the proof of Lemma VIII.3.7 of Davidson's book. We have avoided such arguments here, but will use them later. To obtain more information about simple transformation group C*-algebras, such arguments are necessary, at least with the current state of knowledge.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

12 / 70

Theorem (Archbold-Spielberg)

Let a discrete group G act minimally and essentially freely on a locally compact space X. Then $C_r^*(G, X)$ is simple.

Archbold and Spielberg actually proved something stronger, involving actions on not necessarily commutative C*-algebras. See the notes, and also see the notes for discussions of other proofs and related results.

The usual proof for $G = \mathbb{Z}$ depends on Rokhlin type arguments. See the proof of Lemma VIII.3.7 of Davidson's book. We have avoided such arguments here, but will use them later. To obtain more information about simple transformation group C*-algebras, such arguments are necessary, at least with the current state of knowledge. Examples show that, in the absence of some form of the Rokhlin property, stronger structural properties of crossed products of noncommutative C*-algebras need not hold, even when they are simple.

First lemma

The proof of the theorem on simplicity needs several lemmas, which are special cases of the corresponding lemmas in the paper of Archbold and Spielberg.

First lemma

The proof of the theorem on simplicity needs several lemmas, which are special cases of the corresponding lemmas in the paper of Archbold and Spielberg.

Lemma

Let A be a C*-algebra, let $B \subset A$ be a subalgebra, and let ω be a state on A such that $\omega|_B$ is multiplicative.
First lemma

The proof of the theorem on simplicity needs several lemmas, which are special cases of the corresponding lemmas in the paper of Archbold and Spielberg.

Lemma

Let A be a C*-algebra, let $B \subset A$ be a subalgebra, and let ω be a state on A such that $\omega|_B$ is multiplicative. Then for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, we have $\omega(ab) = \omega(a)\omega(b)$ and $\omega(ba) = \omega(b)\omega(a)$.

13 / 70

First lemma

The proof of the theorem on simplicity needs several lemmas, which are special cases of the corresponding lemmas in the paper of Archbold and Spielberg.

Lemma

Let A be a C*-algebra, let $B \subset A$ be a subalgebra, and let ω be a state on A such that $\omega|_B$ is multiplicative. Then for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, we have $\omega(ab) = \omega(a)\omega(b)$ and $\omega(ba) = \omega(b)\omega(a)$.

This is also a special case of a result of Choi.

We prove $\omega(ab) = \omega(a)\omega(b)$. The other equation will follow by using adjoints and the relation $\omega(c^*) = \overline{\omega(c)}$.

We prove $\omega(ab) = \omega(a)\omega(b)$. The other equation will follow by using adjoints and the relation $\omega(c^*) = \overline{\omega(c)}$.

If A is not unital, then ω extends to a state on the unitization A^+ .

We prove $\omega(ab) = \omega(a)\omega(b)$. The other equation will follow by using adjoints and the relation $\omega(c^*) = \overline{\omega(c)}$.

If A is not unital, then ω extends to a state on the unitization A^+ . Thus, we may assume that A is unital.

We prove $\omega(ab) = \omega(a)\omega(b)$. The other equation will follow by using adjoints and the relation $\omega(c^*) = \overline{\omega(c)}$.

If A is not unital, then ω extends to a state on the unitization A^+ . Thus, we may assume that A is unital. Also, if ω is multiplicative on B, one easily checks that ω is multiplicative on $B + \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$.

We prove $\omega(ab) = \omega(a)\omega(b)$. The other equation will follow by using adjoints and the relation $\omega(c^*) = \overline{\omega(c)}$.

If A is not unital, then ω extends to a state on the unitization A^+ . Thus, we may assume that A is unital. Also, if ω is multiplicative on B, one easily checks that ω is multiplicative on $B + \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$. Thus, we may assume that $1 \in B$.

We recall from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that $|\omega(x^*y)|^2 \le \omega(y^*y)\omega(x^*x).$

We recall from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that $|\omega(x^*y)|^2 \leq \omega(y^*y)\omega(x^*x)$. Replacing x by x^* , we get $|\omega(xy)|^2 \leq \omega(y^*y)\omega(xx^*)$.

We recall from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that $|\omega(x^*y)|^2 \leq \omega(y^*y)\omega(x^*x)$. Replacing x by x^* , we get $|\omega(xy)|^2 \leq \omega(y^*y)\omega(xx^*)$. Now let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

We recall from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that $|\omega(x^*y)|^2 \leq \omega(y^*y)\omega(x^*x)$. Replacing x by x^* , we get $|\omega(xy)|^2 \leq \omega(y^*y)\omega(xx^*)$. Now let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then

$$ert \omega(\mathsf{a}b) - \omega(\mathsf{a})\omega(b) ert^2 = ert \omega ig(\mathsf{a}(b - \omega(b) \cdot 1) ert^2 \ \leq \omega ig((b - \omega(b) \cdot 1)^*(b - \omega(b) \cdot 1)ig)\omega(\mathsf{a}\mathsf{a}^*).$$

We recall from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that $|\omega(x^*y)|^2 \leq \omega(y^*y)\omega(x^*x)$. Replacing x by x^* , we get $|\omega(xy)|^2 \leq \omega(y^*y)\omega(xx^*)$. Now let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then

$$ert \omega(ab) - \omega(a)\omega(b) ert^2 = ert \omega ig(a(b - \omega(b) \cdot 1) ert^2 \ \leq \omega ig((b - \omega(b) \cdot 1)^*(b - \omega(b) \cdot 1)ig)\omega(aa^*).$$

Since ω is multiplicative on B, we have

We recall from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that $|\omega(x^*y)|^2 \leq \omega(y^*y)\omega(x^*x)$. Replacing x by x^* , we get $|\omega(xy)|^2 \leq \omega(y^*y)\omega(xx^*)$. Now let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then

$$egin{aligned} |\omega(ab)-\omega(a)\omega(b)|^2&=ig|\omegaig(a(b-\omega(b)\cdot1ig)^2\ &\leq \omegaig((b-\omega(b)\cdot1)^*(b-\omega(b)\cdot1ig)\omega(aa^*). \end{aligned}$$

Since ω is multiplicative on B, we have

$$\omegaig((b-\omega(b)\cdot 1)^*(b-\omega(b)\cdot 1)ig)=\omegaig((b-\omega(b)\cdot 1)^*ig)\omegaig(b-\omega(b)\cdot 1)ig)=0.$$

We recall from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that $|\omega(x^*y)|^2 \leq \omega(y^*y)\omega(x^*x)$. Replacing x by x^* , we get $|\omega(xy)|^2 \leq \omega(y^*y)\omega(xx^*)$. Now let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then

$$egin{aligned} |\omega(\mathsf{a}b)-\omega(\mathsf{a})\omega(b)|^2&=ig|\omegaig(\mathsf{a}(b-\omega(b)\cdot1ig)^2\ &\leq \omegaig((b-\omega(b)\cdot1)^*(b-\omega(b)\cdot1ig)\omega(\mathsf{a}\mathsf{a}^*). \end{aligned}$$

Since ω is multiplicative on B, we have

$$\omega((b - \omega(b) \cdot 1)^*(b - \omega(b) \cdot 1)) = \omega((b - \omega(b) \cdot 1)^*)\omega(b - \omega(b) \cdot 1)) = 0.$$

So $|\omega(ab) - \omega(a)\omega(b)|^2 = 0$. This completes the proof.

Lemma

Let a discrete group G act on a locally compact space X.

16 / 70

Lemma

Let a discrete group G act on a locally compact space X. Let $x \in X$, let $g \in G$, and assume that $gx \neq x$.

Lemma

Let a discrete group G act on a locally compact space X. Let $x \in X$, let $g \in G$, and assume that $gx \neq x$. Let $ev_x \colon C_0(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the evaluation map $ev_x(f) = f(x)$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$, and let ω be a state on $C_r^*(G, X)$ which extends ev_x .

Lemma

Let a discrete group G act on a locally compact space X. Let $x \in X$, let $g \in G$, and assume that $gx \neq x$. Let $ev_x \colon C_0(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the evaluation map $ev_x(f) = f(x)$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$, and let ω be a state on $C_r^*(G, X)$ which extends ev_x . Then $\omega(fu_g) = 0$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$.

Lemma

Let a discrete group G act on a locally compact space X. Let $x \in X$, let $g \in G$, and assume that $gx \neq x$. Let $ev_x \colon C_0(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the evaluation map $ev_x(f) = f(x)$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$, and let ω be a state on $C_r^*(G, X)$ which extends ev_x . Then $\omega(fu_g) = 0$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$.

For the proof, let $\alpha \colon G \to \operatorname{Aut}(C_0(X))$ be $\alpha_g(f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ for $f \in C_0(X), g \in G$, and $x \in X$.

Lemma

Let a discrete group G act on a locally compact space X. Let $x \in X$, let $g \in G$, and assume that $gx \neq x$. Let $ev_x \colon C_0(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the evaluation map $ev_x(f) = f(x)$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$, and let ω be a state on $C_r^*(G, X)$ which extends ev_x . Then $\omega(fu_g) = 0$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$.

For the proof, let $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(C_0(X))$ be $\alpha_g(f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ for $f \in C_0(X), g \in G$, and $x \in X$. Choose $f_0 \in C_0(X)$ such that $f_0(x) = 1$ and $f_0(gx) = 0$.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Lemma

Let a discrete group G act on a locally compact space X. Let $x \in X$, let $g \in G$, and assume that $gx \neq x$. Let $ev_x \colon C_0(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the evaluation map $ev_x(f) = f(x)$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$, and let ω be a state on $C_r^*(G, X)$ which extends ev_x . Then $\omega(fu_g) = 0$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$.

For the proof, let $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(C_0(X))$ be $\alpha_g(f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ for $f \in C_0(X)$, $g \in G$, and $x \in X$. Choose $f_0 \in C_0(X)$ such that $f_0(x) = 1$ and $f_0(gx) = 0$. Applying the first lemma to ω at the second and fourth steps, and using $\omega(f_0) = 1$ at the first step, we have

$$\omega(fu_g) = \omega(f_0)\omega(fu_g) = \omega(f_0fu_g) = \omega(fu_g\alpha_g^{-1}(f_0))$$
$$= \omega(fu_g)\omega(\alpha_g^{-1}(f_0)) = \omega(fu_g)f_0(gx) = 0.$$

(人間) とうき くうとう う

16 / 70

Lemma

Let a discrete group G act on a locally compact space X. Let $x \in X$, let $g \in G$, and assume that $gx \neq x$. Let $ev_x \colon C_0(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the evaluation map $ev_x(f) = f(x)$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$, and let ω be a state on $C_r^*(G, X)$ which extends ev_x . Then $\omega(fu_g) = 0$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$.

For the proof, let $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(C_0(X))$ be $\alpha_g(f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ for $f \in C_0(X)$, $g \in G$, and $x \in X$. Choose $f_0 \in C_0(X)$ such that $f_0(x) = 1$ and $f_0(gx) = 0$. Applying the first lemma to ω at the second and fourth steps, and using $\omega(f_0) = 1$ at the first step, we have

$$\omega(fu_g) = \omega(f_0)\omega(fu_g) = \omega(f_0fu_g) = \omega(fu_g\alpha_g^{-1}(f_0))$$
$$= \omega(fu_g)\omega(\alpha_g^{-1}(f_0)) = \omega(fu_g)f_0(g_X) = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

- 本間 と えき と えき とうき

Recall the conditional expectation:

Recall the conditional expectation:

Let $\alpha \colon G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a discrete group G on a C*-algebra A.

Recall the conditional expectation:

Let $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a discrete group G on a C*-algebra A. Then the conditional expectation $E: C_r^*(G, A, \alpha) \to A$ does the following: if $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g \in C_r^*(G, A, \alpha)$ with $a_g = 0$ for all but finitely many g, then $E(a) = a_1$.

Recall the conditional expectation:

Let $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a discrete group G on a C*-algebra A. Then the conditional expectation $E: C_r^*(G, A, \alpha) \to A$ does the following: if $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g \in C_r^*(G, A, \alpha)$ with $a_g = 0$ for all but finitely many g, then $E(a) = a_1$. Moreover, E has the following properties:

Recall the conditional expectation:

Let $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a discrete group G on a C*-algebra A. Then the conditional expectation $E: C_r^*(G, A, \alpha) \to A$ does the following: if $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g \in C_r^*(G, A, \alpha)$ with $a_g = 0$ for all but finitely many g, then $E(a) = a_1$. Moreover, E has the following properties:

•
$$E(E(b)) = E(b)$$
 for all $b \in C^*_r(G, A, \alpha)$.

Recall the conditional expectation:

Let $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a discrete group G on a C*-algebra A. Then the conditional expectation $E: C_r^*(G, A, \alpha) \to A$ does the following: if $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g \in C_r^*(G, A, \alpha)$ with $a_g = 0$ for all but finitely many g, then $E(a) = a_1$. Moreover, E has the following properties:

•
$$E(E(b)) = E(b)$$
 for all $b \in C^*_r(G, A, \alpha)$.

2 If $b \ge 0$ then $E(b) \ge 0$.

Recall the conditional expectation:

Let $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a discrete group G on a C*-algebra A. Then the conditional expectation $E: C_r^*(G, A, \alpha) \to A$ does the following: if $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g \in C_r^*(G, A, \alpha)$ with $a_g = 0$ for all but finitely many g, then $E(a) = a_1$. Moreover, E has the following properties:

•
$$E(E(b)) = E(b)$$
 for all $b \in C^*_r(G, A, \alpha)$.

- 2 If $b \ge 0$ then $E(b) \ge 0$.

17 / 70

Recall the conditional expectation:

Let $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a discrete group G on a C*-algebra A. Then the conditional expectation $E: C_r^*(G, A, \alpha) \to A$ does the following: if $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g \in C_r^*(G, A, \alpha)$ with $a_g = 0$ for all but finitely many g, then $E(a) = a_1$. Moreover, E has the following properties:

•
$$E(E(b)) = E(b)$$
 for all $b \in C^*_r(G, A, \alpha)$.

- 2 If $b \ge 0$ then $E(b) \ge 0$.
- If $a \in A$ and $b \in C_r^*(G, A, \alpha)$, then E(ab) = aE(b) and E(ba) = E(b)a.

Recall the conditional expectation:

Let $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a discrete group G on a C*-algebra A. Then the conditional expectation $E: C_r^*(G, A, \alpha) \to A$ does the following: if $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g \in C_r^*(G, A, \alpha)$ with $a_g = 0$ for all but finitely many g, then $E(a) = a_1$. Moreover, E has the following properties:

•
$$E(E(b)) = E(b)$$
 for all $b \in C^*_r(G, A, \alpha)$.

2 If $b \ge 0$ then $E(b) \ge 0$.

- If $a \in A$ and $b \in C_r^*(G, A, \alpha)$, then E(ab) = aE(b) and E(ba) = E(b)a.
- If $a \in C^*_{\mathbf{r}}(G, A, \alpha)$ and $E(a^*a) = 0$, then a = 0.

Let $I \subset C^*_{\mathbf{r}}(G, X)$ be a nonzero closed ideal.

18 / 70

Let $I \subset C^*_r(G, X)$ be a nonzero closed ideal.

First suppose $I \cap C_0(X) = 0$. Choose $a \in I$ with $a \neq 0$.

3

Let $I \subset C^*_{\mathbf{r}}(G, X)$ be a nonzero closed ideal.

First suppose $I \cap C_0(X) = 0$. Choose $a \in I$ with $a \neq 0$. Let

```
E\colon C^*_{\mathrm{r}}(G,X)\to C_0(X)
```

be the standard conditional expectation.

Let $I \subset C^*_{\mathbf{r}}(G, X)$ be a nonzero closed ideal.

First suppose $I \cap C_0(X) = 0$. Choose $a \in I$ with $a \neq 0$. Let

$$E: C^*_{\mathrm{r}}(G, X) \to C_0(X)$$

be the standard conditional expectation. Then $E(a^*a) \neq 0$ because E is faithful.

Let $I \subset C^*_{\mathbf{r}}(G, X)$ be a nonzero closed ideal.

First suppose $I \cap C_0(X) = 0$. Choose $a \in I$ with $a \neq 0$. Let

$$E: C^*_{\mathrm{r}}(G, X) \to C_0(X)$$

be the standard conditional expectation. Then $E(a^*a) \neq 0$ because E is faithful. Choose $b \in C_c(G, C_0(X), \alpha)$ such that $||b - a^*a|| < \frac{1}{4} ||E(a^*a)||$.
Let $I \subset C^*_r(G, X)$ be a nonzero closed ideal.

First suppose $I \cap C_0(X) = 0$. Choose $a \in I$ with $a \neq 0$. Let

$$E: C^*_{\mathrm{r}}(G, X) \to C_0(X)$$

be the standard conditional expectation. Then $E(a^*a) \neq 0$ because E is faithful. Choose $b \in C_c(G, C_0(X), \alpha)$ such that $||b - a^*a|| < \frac{1}{4} ||E(a^*a)||$. We can write $b = \sum_{g \in S} b_g u_g$ for some finite set $S \subset G$ and with $b_g \in C_0(X)$ for $g \in S$. Without loss of generality $1 \in S$.

Let $I \subset C^*_r(G, X)$ be a nonzero closed ideal.

First suppose $I \cap C_0(X) = 0$. Choose $a \in I$ with $a \neq 0$. Let

$$E: C^*_{\mathrm{r}}(G, X) \to C_0(X)$$

be the standard conditional expectation. Then $E(a^*a) \neq 0$ because E is faithful. Choose $b \in C_c(G, C_0(X), \alpha)$ such that $||b - a^*a|| < \frac{1}{4} ||E(a^*a)||$. We can write $b = \sum_{g \in S} b_g u_g$ for some finite set $S \subset G$ and with $b_g \in C_0(X)$ for $g \in S$. Without loss of generality $1 \in S$. Since $E(a^*a)$ is a positive element of $C_0(X)$, there is $x_0 \in X$ such that $E(a^*a)(x_0) = ||E(a^*a)||$.

18 / 70

Let $I \subset C^*_r(G, X)$ be a nonzero closed ideal.

First suppose $I \cap C_0(X) = 0$. Choose $a \in I$ with $a \neq 0$. Let

$$E: C^*_{\mathrm{r}}(G, X) \to C_0(X)$$

be the standard conditional expectation. Then $E(a^*a) \neq 0$ because E is faithful. Choose $b \in C_c(G, C_0(X), \alpha)$ such that $||b - a^*a|| < \frac{1}{4} ||E(a^*a)||$. We can write $b = \sum_{g \in S} b_g u_g$ for some finite set $S \subset G$ and with $b_g \in C_0(X)$ for $g \in S$. Without loss of generality $1 \in S$. Since $E(a^*a)$ is a positive element of $C_0(X)$, there is $x_0 \in X$ such that $E(a^*a)(x_0) = ||E(a^*a)||$. Essential freeness implies that

$$\{x \in X : gx \neq x \text{ for all } g \in S \setminus \{1\}\}$$

is dense in X.

18 / 70

Let $I \subset C^*_r(G, X)$ be a nonzero closed ideal.

First suppose $I \cap C_0(X) = 0$. Choose $a \in I$ with $a \neq 0$. Let

$$E: C^*_{\mathrm{r}}(G, X) \to C_0(X)$$

be the standard conditional expectation. Then $E(a^*a) \neq 0$ because E is faithful. Choose $b \in C_c(G, C_0(X), \alpha)$ such that $||b - a^*a|| < \frac{1}{4} ||E(a^*a)||$. We can write $b = \sum_{g \in S} b_g u_g$ for some finite set $S \subset G$ and with $b_g \in C_0(X)$ for $g \in S$. Without loss of generality $1 \in S$. Since $E(a^*a)$ is a positive element of $C_0(X)$, there is $x_0 \in X$ such that $E(a^*a)(x_0) = ||E(a^*a)||$. Essential freeness implies that

$$\{x \in X : gx \neq x \text{ for all } g \in S \setminus \{1\}\}$$

is dense in X. In particular, there is $x \in X$ so close to x_0 that

Let $I \subset C^*_r(G, X)$ be a nonzero closed ideal.

First suppose $I \cap C_0(X) = 0$. Choose $a \in I$ with $a \neq 0$. Let

$$E: C^*_{\mathrm{r}}(G, X) \to C_0(X)$$

be the standard conditional expectation. Then $E(a^*a) \neq 0$ because E is faithful. Choose $b \in C_c(G, C_0(X), \alpha)$ such that $||b - a^*a|| < \frac{1}{4} ||E(a^*a)||$. We can write $b = \sum_{g \in S} b_g u_g$ for some finite set $S \subset G$ and with $b_g \in C_0(X)$ for $g \in S$. Without loss of generality $1 \in S$. Since $E(a^*a)$ is a positive element of $C_0(X)$, there is $x_0 \in X$ such that $E(a^*a)(x_0) = ||E(a^*a)||$. Essential freeness implies that

$$\{x \in X : gx \neq x \text{ for all } g \in S \setminus \{1\}\}$$

is dense in X. In particular, there is $x \in X$ so close to x_0 that $E(a^*a)(x) > \frac{3}{4} ||E(a^*a)||$, and also satisfying $gx \neq x$ for all $g \in S$.

The set $C_0(X) + I$ is a C*-subalgebra of $C_r^*(G, X)$.

3

The set $C_0(X) + I$ is a C*-subalgebra of $C_r^*(G, X)$. Let $\omega_0: C_0(X) + I \to \mathbb{C}$ be the following composition:

$$C_0(X) + I \longrightarrow (C_0(X) + I)/I \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} C_0(X)/(C_0(X) \cap I) = C_0(X) \stackrel{\operatorname{ev}_{X}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}.$$

3

< 47 ▶ <

The set $C_0(X) + I$ is a C*-subalgebra of $C_r^*(G, X)$. Let $\omega_0: C_0(X) + I \to \mathbb{C}$ be the following composition:

$$C_0(X) + I \longrightarrow (C_0(X) + I)/I \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(X)/(C_0(X) \cap I) = C_0(X) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ev}_X} \mathbb{C}.$$

Then ω_0 is a homomorphism.

The set $C_0(X) + I$ is a C*-subalgebra of $C_r^*(G, X)$. Let $\omega_0: C_0(X) + I \to \mathbb{C}$ be the following composition:

$$C_0(X) + I \longrightarrow (C_0(X) + I)/I \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(X)/(C_0(X) \cap I) = C_0(X) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ev}_X} \mathbb{C}.$$

Then ω_0 is a homomorphism. Use the Hahn-Banach Theorem in the usual way to get a state $\omega \colon C_r^*(G, X) \to \mathbb{C}$ which extends ω_0 .

The set $C_0(X) + I$ is a C*-subalgebra of $C_r^*(G, X)$. Let $\omega_0: C_0(X) + I \to \mathbb{C}$ be the following composition:

$$C_0(X) + I \longrightarrow (C_0(X) + I)/I \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(X)/(C_0(X) \cap I) = C_0(X) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ev}_X} \mathbb{C}.$$

Then ω_0 is a homomorphism. Use the Hahn-Banach Theorem in the usual way to get a state $\omega \colon C^*_{\mathbf{r}}(G, X) \to \mathbb{C}$ which extends ω_0 . Note that $\omega(a^*a) = 0$.

The set $C_0(X) + I$ is a C*-subalgebra of $C_r^*(G, X)$. Let $\omega_0: C_0(X) + I \to \mathbb{C}$ be the following composition:

$$C_0(X) + I \longrightarrow (C_0(X) + I)/I \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(X)/(C_0(X) \cap I) = C_0(X) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ev}_X} \mathbb{C}.$$

Then ω_0 is a homomorphism. Use the Hahn-Banach Theorem in the usual way to get a state $\omega \colon C^*_{\mathbf{r}}(G, X) \to \mathbb{C}$ which extends ω_0 . Note that $\omega(a^*a) = 0$.

We now have, using the second lemma at the fifth step,

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{4} \| E(a^*a) \| > \| b - a^*a \| \ge |\omega(b - a^*a)| = |\omega(b)| = \left| \sum_{g \in S} \omega(b_g u_g) \right| \\ &= |\omega(b_1)| = |\omega_0(b_1)| = |b_1(x)| \ge E(a^*a)(x) - \| E(a^*a) - b_1 \| \\ &\ge E(a^*a)(x) - \| a^*a - b \| > \frac{3}{4} \| E(a^*a) \| - \frac{1}{4} \| E(a^*a) \| = \frac{1}{2} \| E(a^*a) \|. \end{split}$$

The set $C_0(X) + I$ is a C*-subalgebra of $C_r^*(G, X)$. Let $\omega_0: C_0(X) + I \to \mathbb{C}$ be the following composition:

$$C_0(X) + I \longrightarrow (C_0(X) + I)/I \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(X)/(C_0(X) \cap I) = C_0(X) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ev}_X} \mathbb{C}.$$

Then ω_0 is a homomorphism. Use the Hahn-Banach Theorem in the usual way to get a state $\omega \colon C_r^*(G, X) \to \mathbb{C}$ which extends ω_0 . Note that $\omega(a^*a) = 0$.

We now have, using the second lemma at the fifth step,

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{4} \| E(a^*a) \| > \| b - a^*a \| \ge |\omega(b - a^*a)| = |\omega(b)| = \left| \sum_{g \in S} \omega(b_g u_g) \right| \\ &= |\omega(b_1)| = |\omega_0(b_1)| = |b_1(x)| \ge E(a^*a)(x) - \| E(a^*a) - b_1 \| \\ &\ge E(a^*a)(x) - \| a^*a - b \| > \frac{3}{4} \| E(a^*a) \| - \frac{1}{4} \| E(a^*a) \| = \frac{1}{2} \| E(a^*a) \|. \end{split}$$

This contradiction shows that $I \cap C_0(X) \neq 0$.

Since $I \cap C_0(X)$ is an ideal in $C_0(X)$, it has the form $C_0(U)$ for some nonempty open set $U \subset X$.

Since $I \cap C_0(X)$ is an ideal in $C_0(X)$, it has the form $C_0(U)$ for some nonempty open set $U \subset X$. We claim that U is G-invariant.

20 / 70

Since $I \cap C_0(X)$ is an ideal in $C_0(X)$, it has the form $C_0(U)$ for some nonempty open set $U \subset X$. We claim that U is *G*-invariant. Let $g \in G$ and let $f \in C_0(U)$. Let $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an approximate identity for $C_0(X)$. Then the elements $e_\lambda u_g$ are in $C_r^*(G, X)$,

Since $I \cap C_0(X)$ is an ideal in $C_0(X)$, it has the form $C_0(U)$ for some nonempty open set $U \subset X$. We claim that U is G-invariant. Let $g \in G$ and let $f \in C_0(U)$. Let $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an approximate identity for $C_0(X)$. Then the elements $e_\lambda u_g$ are in $C_r^*(G, X)$, and we have $(e_\lambda u_g)f(e_\lambda u_g)^* = e_\lambda \alpha_g(f)e_\lambda$,

Since $I \cap C_0(X)$ is an ideal in $C_0(X)$, it has the form $C_0(U)$ for some nonempty open set $U \subset X$. We claim that U is G-invariant. Let $g \in G$ and let $f \in C_0(U)$. Let $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an approximate identity for $C_0(X)$. Then the elements $e_\lambda u_g$ are in $C_r^*(G, X)$, and we have $(e_\lambda u_g)f(e_\lambda u_g)^* = e_\lambda \alpha_g(f)e_\lambda$, which converges to $\alpha_g(f)$.

Since $I \cap C_0(X)$ is an ideal in $C_0(X)$, it has the form $C_0(U)$ for some nonempty open set $U \subset X$. We claim that U is G-invariant. Let $g \in G$ and let $f \in C_0(U)$. Let $(e_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an approximate identity for $C_0(X)$. Then the elements $e_{\lambda}u_g$ are in $C_r^*(G, X)$, and we have $(e_{\lambda}u_g)f(e_{\lambda}u_g)^* = e_{\lambda}\alpha_g(f)e_{\lambda}$, which converges to $\alpha_g(f)$. We also have $(e_{\lambda}u_g)f(e_{\lambda}u_g)^* \in I \cap C_0(X)$, since I is an ideal.

Since $I \cap C_0(X)$ is an ideal in $C_0(X)$, it has the form $C_0(U)$ for some nonempty open set $U \subset X$. We claim that U is G-invariant. Let $g \in G$ and let $f \in C_0(U)$. Let $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an approximate identity for $C_0(X)$. Then the elements $e_\lambda u_g$ are in $C_r^*(G, X)$, and we have $(e_\lambda u_g)f(e_\lambda u_g)^* = e_\lambda \alpha_g(f)e_\lambda$, which converges to $\alpha_g(f)$. We also have $(e_\lambda u_g)f(e_\lambda u_g)^* \in I \cap C_0(X)$, since I is an ideal. So $\alpha_g(C_0(U)) \subset C_0(U)$ for all $g \in G$, and the claim follows.

Since $I \cap C_0(X)$ is an ideal in $C_0(X)$, it has the form $C_0(U)$ for some nonempty open set $U \subset X$. We claim that U is G-invariant. Let $g \in G$ and let $f \in C_0(U)$. Let $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an approximate identity for $C_0(X)$. Then the elements $e_\lambda u_g$ are in $C_r^*(G, X)$, and we have $(e_\lambda u_g)f(e_\lambda u_g)^* = e_\lambda \alpha_g(f)e_\lambda$, which converges to $\alpha_g(f)$. We also have $(e_\lambda u_g)f(e_\lambda u_g)^* \in I \cap C_0(X)$, since I is an ideal. So $\alpha_g(C_0(U)) \subset C_0(U)$ for all $g \in G$, and the claim follows.

Since U is open, invariant, and nonempty, we have U = X.

Since $I \cap C_0(X)$ is an ideal in $C_0(X)$, it has the form $C_0(U)$ for some nonempty open set $U \subset X$. We claim that U is G-invariant. Let $g \in G$ and let $f \in C_0(U)$. Let $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an approximate identity for $C_0(X)$. Then the elements $e_\lambda u_g$ are in $C_r^*(G, X)$, and we have $(e_\lambda u_g)f(e_\lambda u_g)^* = e_\lambda \alpha_g(f)e_\lambda$, which converges to $\alpha_g(f)$. We also have $(e_\lambda u_g)f(e_\lambda u_g)^* \in I \cap C_0(X)$, since I is an ideal. So $\alpha_g(C_0(U)) \subset C_0(U)$ for all $g \in G$, and the claim follows.

Since U is open, invariant, and nonempty, we have U = X. One easily checks that an approximate identity for $C_0(X)$

20 / 70

Since $I \cap C_0(X)$ is an ideal in $C_0(X)$, it has the form $C_0(U)$ for some nonempty open set $U \subset X$. We claim that U is G-invariant. Let $g \in G$ and let $f \in C_0(U)$. Let $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an approximate identity for $C_0(X)$. Then the elements $e_\lambda u_g$ are in $C_r^*(G, X)$, and we have $(e_\lambda u_g)f(e_\lambda u_g)^* = e_\lambda \alpha_g(f)e_\lambda$, which converges to $\alpha_g(f)$. We also have $(e_\lambda u_g)f(e_\lambda u_g)^* \in I \cap C_0(X)$, since I is an ideal. So $\alpha_g(C_0(U)) \subset C_0(U)$ for all $g \in G$, and the claim follows.

Since U is open, invariant, and nonempty, we have U = X. One easily checks that an approximate identity for $C_0(X)$ is also an approximate identity for $C_r^*(G, X)$, so $I = C_r^*(G, X)$, as desired.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Since $I \cap C_0(X)$ is an ideal in $C_0(X)$, it has the form $C_0(U)$ for some nonempty open set $U \subset X$. We claim that U is G-invariant. Let $g \in G$ and let $f \in C_0(U)$. Let $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an approximate identity for $C_0(X)$. Then the elements $e_\lambda u_g$ are in $C_r^*(G, X)$, and we have $(e_\lambda u_g)f(e_\lambda u_g)^* = e_\lambda \alpha_g(f)e_\lambda$, which converges to $\alpha_g(f)$. We also have $(e_\lambda u_g)f(e_\lambda u_g)^* \in I \cap C_0(X)$, since I is an ideal. So $\alpha_g(C_0(U)) \subset C_0(U)$ for all $g \in G$, and the claim follows.

Since U is open, invariant, and nonempty, we have U = X. One easily checks that an approximate identity for $C_0(X)$ is also an approximate identity for $C_r^*(G, X)$, so $I = C_r^*(G, X)$, as desired. This completes the proof.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Kishimoto's result

The following theorem, originally due to Kishimoto, also follows from the full Archbold-Spielberg theorem.

The following theorem, originally due to Kishimoto, also follows from the full Archbold-Spielberg theorem.

Theorem

Let $\alpha \colon G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a discrete group G on a simple C*-algebra A.

The following theorem, originally due to Kishimoto, also follows from the full Archbold-Spielberg theorem.

Theorem

Let $\alpha \colon G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a discrete group G on a simple C*-algebra A. Suppose that α_g is outer for every $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$.

The following theorem, originally due to Kishimoto, also follows from the full Archbold-Spielberg theorem.

Theorem

Let $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a discrete group G on a simple C*-algebra A. Suppose that α_g is outer for every $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$. Then $C_r^*(G, A, \alpha)$ is simple.

21 / 70

Definitions (with explanation) and the main corollary are below.

Theorem (with H. Lin; to appear)

Let X be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimension, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism.

Definitions (with explanation) and the main corollary are below.

Theorem (with H. Lin; to appear)

Let X be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimension, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Suppose that $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$ is dense in $\operatorname{Aff}(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$.

Definitions (with explanation) and the main corollary are below.

Theorem (with H. Lin; to appear)

Let X be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimension, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Suppose that $\rho(\mathcal{K}_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$ is dense in $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{T}(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a simple unital C*-algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem.

Definitions (with explanation) and the main corollary are below.

Theorem (with H. Lin; to appear)

Let X be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimension, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Suppose that $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$ is dense in $\operatorname{Aff}(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a simple unital C*-algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem.

We do not give a full proof here. Instead, we assume X is the Cantor set.

Definitions (with explanation) and the main corollary are below.

Theorem (with H. Lin; to appear)

Let X be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimension, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Suppose that $\rho(\mathcal{K}_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$ is dense in $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{T}(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a simple unital C*-algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem.

We do not give a full proof here. Instead, we assume X is the Cantor set. The full proof would require three lectures about recursive subhomogeneous algebras and their direct limits,

22 / 70

Definitions (with explanation) and the main corollary are below.

Theorem (with H. Lin; to appear)

Let X be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimension, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Suppose that $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$ is dense in $\operatorname{Aff}(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a simple unital C*-algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem.

We do not give a full proof here. Instead, we assume X is the Cantor set. The full proof would require three lectures about recursive subhomogeneous algebras and their direct limits, as well as several lectures on technical computations involving KK-theory.

くほと くほと くほと

Definitions (with explanation) and the main corollary are below.

Theorem (with H. Lin; to appear)

Let X be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimension, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Suppose that $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$ is dense in $\operatorname{Aff}(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a simple unital C*-algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem.

We do not give a full proof here. Instead, we assume X is the Cantor set. The full proof would require three lectures about recursive subhomogeneous algebras and their direct limits, as well as several lectures on technical computations involving KK-theory.

Note: Some of the discussion here is not in the notes, and some of the results are in a slightly different order.

The main corollary

Corollary (with H. Lin; to appear)

Let X be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimension, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Suppose that $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$ is dense in $\operatorname{Aff}(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$.

The main corollary

Corollary (with H. Lin; to appear)

Let X be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimension, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Suppose that $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$ is dense in Aff $(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a simple AH algebra with no dimension growth and with real rank zero.
Corollary (with H. Lin; to appear)

Let X be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimension, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Suppose that $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$ is dense in $\operatorname{Aff}(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a simple AH algebra with no dimension growth and with real rank zero.

An AH algebra is a direct limit $\varinjlim A_n$, in which each A_n is a finite direct sum of C*-algebras of the form $\overrightarrow{C}(Y, M_r)$,

Corollary (with H. Lin; to appear)

Let X be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimension, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Suppose that $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$ is dense in Aff $(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a simple AH algebra with no dimension growth and with real rank zero.

An AH algebra is a direct limit $\varinjlim A_n$, in which each A_n is a finite direct sum of C*-algebras of the form $\overrightarrow{C}(Y, M_r)$, for varying compact metric spaces Y and positive integers r.

Corollary (with H. Lin; to appear)

Let X be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimension, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Suppose that $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$ is dense in Aff $(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a simple AH algebra with no dimension growth and with real rank zero.

An AH algebra is a direct limit $\varinjlim A_n$, in which each A_n is a finite direct sum of C*-algebras of the form $\overrightarrow{C}(Y, M_r)$, for varying compact metric spaces Y and positive integers r. "No dimension growth" means that there is a finite upper bound on the (covering) dimensions of the spaces X which occur throughout in the direct system.

Corollary (with H. Lin; to appear)

Let X be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimension, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Suppose that $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$ is dense in $\operatorname{Aff}(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a simple AH algebra with no dimension growth and with real rank zero.

An AH algebra is a direct limit $\varinjlim A_n$, in which each A_n is a finite direct sum of C*-algebras of the form $\overrightarrow{C}(Y, M_r)$, for varying compact metric spaces Y and positive integers r. "No dimension growth" means that there is a finite upper bound on the (covering) dimensions of the spaces X which occur throughout in the direct system.

The theorem also implies that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is classifiable in the sense of the Elliott program.

- 本間 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト 三 ヨ

Corollary (with H. Lin; to appear)

Let X be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimension, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Suppose that $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$ is dense in Aff $(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a simple AH algebra with no dimension growth and with real rank zero.

An AH algebra is a direct limit $\varinjlim A_n$, in which each A_n is a finite direct sum of C*-algebras of the form $\overrightarrow{C}(Y, M_r)$, for varying compact metric spaces Y and positive integers r. "No dimension growth" means that there is a finite upper bound on the (covering) dimensions of the spaces X which occur throughout in the direct system.

The theorem also implies that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is classifiable in the sense of the Elliott program. (This is used in the proof of the corollary.)

The covering dimension $\dim(X)$ is defined for compact metric spaces X (and more generally).

3

The covering dimension $\dim(X)$ is defined for compact metric spaces X (and more generally). Rather than giving the (somewhat complicated) definition, here are examples:

The covering dimension $\dim(X)$ is defined for compact metric spaces X (and more generally). Rather than giving the (somewhat complicated) definition, here are examples:

• The Cantor set has covering dimension zero.

The covering dimension $\dim(X)$ is defined for compact metric spaces X (and more generally). Rather than giving the (somewhat complicated) definition, here are examples:

- The Cantor set has covering dimension zero.
- If X is a compact manifold with dimension d as a manifold, then dim(X) = d.

The covering dimension $\dim(X)$ is defined for compact metric spaces X (and more generally). Rather than giving the (somewhat complicated) definition, here are examples:

- The Cantor set has covering dimension zero.
- If X is a compact manifold with dimension d as a manifold, then dim(X) = d.
- If X is a finite CW complex, then dim(X) is the dimension of the highest dimensional cell.

The covering dimension $\dim(X)$ is defined for compact metric spaces X (and more generally). Rather than giving the (somewhat complicated) definition, here are examples:

- The Cantor set has covering dimension zero.
- If X is a compact manifold with dimension d as a manifold, then dim(X) = d.
- If X is a finite CW complex, then dim(X) is the dimension of the highest dimensional cell.
- dim $([0,1]^{\mathbb{Z}}) = \infty$.

For any unital C*-algebra A, we let T(A) be the tracial state space of A.

For any unital C*-algebra A, we let T(A) be the tracial state space of A. For any compact convex set Δ , we let $Aff(\Delta)$ be the space of real valued continuous affine functions on Δ ,

For any unital C*-algebra A, we let T(A) be the tracial state space of A. For any compact convex set Δ , we let $Aff(\Delta)$ be the space of real valued continuous affine functions on Δ , with the supremum norm.

For any unital C*-algebra A, we let T(A) be the tracial state space of A. For any compact convex set Δ , we let $Aff(\Delta)$ be the space of real valued continuous affine functions on Δ , with the supremum norm. Further let $\rho = \rho_A \colon K_0(A) \to Aff(T(A))$ be the homomorphism determined by

For any unital C*-algebra A, we let T(A) be the tracial state space of A. For any compact convex set Δ , we let $\operatorname{Aff}(\Delta)$ be the space of real valued continuous affine functions on Δ , with the supremum norm. Further let $\rho = \rho_A \colon K_0(A) \to \operatorname{Aff}(T(A))$ be the homomorphism determined by $\rho([p])(\tau) = \tau(p)$ for $\tau \in T(A)$ and p a projection in some matrix algebra over A.

For any unital C*-algebra A, we let T(A) be the tracial state space of A. For any compact convex set Δ , we let $\operatorname{Aff}(\Delta)$ be the space of real valued continuous affine functions on Δ , with the supremum norm. Further let $\rho = \rho_A \colon K_0(A) \to \operatorname{Aff}(T(A))$ be the homomorphism determined by $\rho([p])(\tau) = \tau(p)$ for $\tau \in T(A)$ and p a projection in some matrix algebra over A.

In the situation at hand, $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h))$ is affinely homeomorphic to the simplex of *h*-invariant Borel probability measures on *X*. (See the end of the lecture.)

For any unital C*-algebra A, we let T(A) be the tracial state space of A. For any compact convex set Δ , we let $Aff(\Delta)$ be the space of real valued continuous affine functions on Δ , with the supremum norm. Further let $\rho = \rho_A \colon K_0(A) \to Aff(T(A))$ be the homomorphism determined by $\rho([p])(\tau) = \tau(p)$ for $\tau \in T(A)$ and p a projection in some matrix algebra over A.

In the situation at hand, $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h))$ is affinely homeomorphic to the simplex of *h*-invariant Borel probability measures on X. (See the end of the lecture.)

There is machinery available to compute the range of ρ in the above theorem without computing $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

For any unital C*-algebra A, we let T(A) be the tracial state space of A. For any compact convex set Δ , we let $Aff(\Delta)$ be the space of real valued continuous affine functions on Δ , with the supremum norm. Further let $\rho = \rho_A \colon K_0(A) \to Aff(T(A))$ be the homomorphism determined by $\rho([p])(\tau) = \tau(p)$ for $\tau \in T(A)$ and p a projection in some matrix algebra over A.

In the situation at hand, $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h))$ is affinely homeomorphic to the simplex of *h*-invariant Borel probability measures on *X*. (See the end of the lecture.)

There is machinery available to compute the range of ρ in the above theorem without computing $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. See, for example, Ruy Exel's Ph.D. thesis. (Reference to the published version in the notes.)

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

We use the notation [a, b] for the commutator ab - ba.

We use the notation [a, b] for the commutator ab - ba.

Definition

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Then A has tracial rank zero if

We use the notation [a, b] for the commutator ab - ba.

Definition

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Then A has tracial rank zero if for every finite subset $F \subset A$,

We use the notation [a, b] for the commutator ab - ba.

Definition

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Then A has tracial rank zero if for every finite subset $F \subset A$, every $\varepsilon > 0$,

We use the notation [a, b] for the commutator ab - ba.

Definition

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Then A has tracial rank zero if for every finite subset $F \subset A$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonzero positive element $c \in A$,

We use the notation [a, b] for the commutator ab - ba.

Definition

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Then A has tracial rank zero if for every finite subset $F \subset A$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonzero positive element $c \in A$, there exists a projection $p \in A$ and a unital finite dimensional subalgebra $D \subset pAp$ such that:

We use the notation [a, b] for the commutator ab - ba.

Definition

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Then A has tracial rank zero if for every finite subset $F \subset A$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonzero positive element $c \in A$, there exists a projection $p \in A$ and a unital finite dimensional subalgebra $D \subset pAp$ such that:

 $\|[a,p]\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F.$

We use the notation [a, b] for the commutator ab - ba.

Definition

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Then A has tracial rank zero if for every finite subset $F \subset A$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonzero positive element $c \in A$, there exists a projection $p \in A$ and a unital finite dimensional subalgebra $D \subset pAp$ such that:

- $||[a,p]|| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F.$
- ② dist(pap, D) < ε for all a ∈ F.

We use the notation [a, b] for the commutator ab - ba.

Definition

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Then A has tracial rank zero if for every finite subset $F \subset A$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonzero positive element $c \in A$, there exists a projection $p \in A$ and a unital finite dimensional subalgebra $D \subset pAp$ such that:

- $||[a,p]|| < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in F$.
- ② dist(pap, D) < ε for all a ∈ F.
- **3** 1 p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a projection in \overline{cAc} .

We use the notation [a, b] for the commutator ab - ba.

Definition

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Then A has tracial rank zero if for every finite subset $F \subset A$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonzero positive element $c \in A$, there exists a projection $p \in A$ and a unital finite dimensional subalgebra $D \subset pAp$ such that:

- $\|[a,p]\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F.$
- 2 dist(pap, D) < ε for all $a \in F$.
- 0 1 p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a projection in cAc.

(This is equivalent to the original definition for simple C*-algebras. See the notes.)

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

We use the notation [a, b] for the commutator ab - ba.

Definition

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Then A has tracial rank zero if for every finite subset $F \subset A$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonzero positive element $c \in A$, there exists a projection $p \in A$ and a unital finite dimensional subalgebra $D \subset pAp$ such that:

- $||[a,p]|| < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in F$.
- ② dist(pap, D) < ε for all a ∈ F.
- **3** 1 p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a projection in \overline{CAC} .

(This is equivalent to the original definition for simple C*-algebras. See the notes.)

The condition was originally called "tracially AF".

The notes contain a complete proof of the main theorem when X is the Cantor set, using the same methods as in the proof of the full theorem.

The notes contain a complete proof of the main theorem when X is the Cantor set, using the same methods as in the proof of the full theorem.

The restriction to the Cantor set simplifies the argument by avoiding recursive subhomogeneous C*-algebras and some K-theory computations.

The notes contain a complete proof of the main theorem when X is the Cantor set, using the same methods as in the proof of the full theorem.

The restriction to the Cantor set simplifies the argument by avoiding recursive subhomogeneous C*-algebras and some K-theory computations.

However, for the Cantor set, there is an older and shorter proof, of which the main part is due to Putnam.

The notes contain a complete proof of the main theorem when X is the Cantor set, using the same methods as in the proof of the full theorem.

The restriction to the Cantor set simplifies the argument by avoiding recursive subhomogeneous C*-algebras and some K-theory computations.

However, for the Cantor set, there is an older and shorter proof, of which the main part is due to Putnam. This proof gives directly the result that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a direct limit of finite direct sums of C*-algebras of the form $C(S^1, M_r)$ (for varying r).

The notes contain a complete proof of the main theorem when X is the Cantor set, using the same methods as in the proof of the full theorem.

The restriction to the Cantor set simplifies the argument by avoiding recursive subhomogeneous C*-algebras and some K-theory computations.

However, for the Cantor set, there is an older and shorter proof, of which the main part is due to Putnam. This proof gives directly the result that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a direct limit of finite direct sums of C*-algebras of the form $C(S^1, M_r)$ (for varying r).

One can get this result for the Cantor set by combining the main theorem with known classification results, so Putnam's argument doesn't give any more in the end.

- 本部 ト 本 ヨ ト - オ ヨ ト - - ヨ

Other known results: Minimal diffeomorphisms

Let X be a compact manifold, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal diffeomorphism.
Let X be a compact manifold, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal diffeomorphism. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a direct limit, with no dimension growth, of recursive subhomogeneous C*-algebras.

Let X be a compact manifold, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal diffeomorphism. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a direct limit, with no dimension growth, of recursive subhomogeneous C*-algebras.

There is no condition on $\rho(\mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h))) \subset \operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$.

Let X be a compact manifold, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal diffeomorphism. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a direct limit, with no dimension growth, of recursive subhomogeneous C*-algebras.

There is no condition on $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h))) \subset \operatorname{Aff}(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. In particular, $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ sometimes has no nontrivial projections.

Let X be a compact manifold, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal diffeomorphism. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a direct limit, with no dimension growth, of recursive subhomogeneous C*-algebras.

There is no condition on $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h))) \subset \operatorname{Aff}(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. In particular, $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ sometimes has no nontrivial projections.

This is joint with Qing Lin (unpublished). It uses Putnam's methods, but is very long.

Let X be a compact manifold, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal diffeomorphism. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a direct limit, with no dimension growth, of recursive subhomogeneous C*-algebras.

There is no condition on $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h))) \subset \operatorname{Aff}(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. In particular, $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ sometimes has no nontrivial projections.

This is joint with Qing Lin (unpublished). It uses Putnam's methods, but is very long. As a corollary, $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ has stable rank one.

Let X be a compact manifold, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal diffeomorphism. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a direct limit, with no dimension growth, of recursive subhomogeneous C*-algebras.

There is no condition on $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h))) \subset \operatorname{Aff}(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. In particular, $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ sometimes has no nontrivial projections.

This is joint with Qing Lin (unpublished). It uses Putnam's methods, but is very long. As a corollary, $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ has stable rank one. Applicable classification results are just now starting to appear.

Let X be a compact manifold, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal diffeomorphism. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is a direct limit, with no dimension growth, of recursive subhomogeneous C*-algebras.

There is no condition on $\rho(K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h))) \subset \operatorname{Aff}(T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)))$. In particular, $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ sometimes has no nontrivial projections.

This is joint with Qing Lin (unpublished). It uses Putnam's methods, but is very long. As a corollary, $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ has stable rank one. Applicable classification results are just now starting to appear.

It should be possible to generalize to minimal homeomorphisms of finite dimensional compact metric spaces.

28 / 70

The condition dim(X) < ∞ is needed.

The condition dim $(X) < \infty$ is needed. Giol and Kerr have an example of a minimal homeomorphism *h* of an infinite dimensional compact metric space *X*

The condition dim $(X) < \infty$ is needed. Giol and Kerr have an example of a minimal homeomorphism h of an infinite dimensional compact metric space X such that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ does not have stable rank one.

The condition dim $(X) < \infty$ is needed. Giol and Kerr have an example of a minimal homeomorphism h of an infinite dimensional compact metric space X such that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ does not have stable rank one.

However, probably *h* having "mean dimension zero" is enough.

- The condition dim $(X) < \infty$ is needed. Giol and Kerr have an example of a minimal homeomorphism h of an infinite dimensional compact metric space X such that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ does not have stable rank one.
- However, probably h having "mean dimension zero" is enough. Uniquely ergodic implies mean dimension zero,

The condition dim $(X) < \infty$ is needed. Giol and Kerr have an example of a minimal homeomorphism h of an infinite dimensional compact metric space X such that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ does not have stable rank one.

However, probably h having "mean dimension zero" is enough. Uniquely ergodic implies mean dimension zero, and dim $(X) < \infty$ implies mean dimension zero.

Let X be the Cantor set, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X.

Let X be the Cantor set, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has stable rank one,

Let X be the Cantor set, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has stable rank one, real rank zero,

Let X be the Cantor set, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has stable rank one, real rank zero, and the order on projections over $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ is determined by traces.

Let X be the Cantor set, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has stable rank one, real rank zero, and the order on projections over $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ is determined by traces.

All these follow from tracial rank zero, but do not imply tracial rank zero.

Let X be the Cantor set, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has stable rank one, real rank zero, and the order on projections over $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ is determined by traces.

All these follow from tracial rank zero, but do not imply tracial rank zero. It should be true that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has tracial rank zero,

Let X be the Cantor set, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has stable rank one, real rank zero, and the order on projections over $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ is determined by traces.

All these follow from tracial rank zero, but do not imply tracial rank zero. It should be true that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has tracial rank zero, but this is still open.

Let X be the Cantor set, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has stable rank one, real rank zero, and the order on projections over $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ is determined by traces.

All these follow from tracial rank zero, but do not imply tracial rank zero. It should be true that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has tracial rank zero, but this is still open.

Let X be a finite dimensional compact metric space, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X.

Let X be the Cantor set, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has stable rank one, real rank zero, and the order on projections over $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ is determined by traces.

All these follow from tracial rank zero, but do not imply tracial rank zero. It should be true that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has tracial rank zero, but this is still open.

Let X be a finite dimensional compact metric space, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has strict comparison of positive elements

Let X be the Cantor set, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has stable rank one, real rank zero, and the order on projections over $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ is determined by traces.

All these follow from tracial rank zero, but do not imply tracial rank zero. It should be true that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has tracial rank zero, but this is still open.

Let X be a finite dimensional compact metric space, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has strict comparison of positive elements (the Cuntz semigroup analog of the order on projections over $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ being determined by traces).

Let X be the Cantor set, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has stable rank one, real rank zero, and the order on projections over $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ is determined by traces.

All these follow from tracial rank zero, but do not imply tracial rank zero. It should be true that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has tracial rank zero, but this is still open.

Let X be a finite dimensional compact metric space, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has strict comparison of positive elements (the Cuntz semigroup analog of the order on projections over $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ being determined by traces).

There is work in progress which will probably give positive results about $C^*(\mathbb{R}, X)$ when dim $(X) < \infty$ and the action is free and minimal.

- 3

30 / 70

Let X be the Cantor set, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has stable rank one, real rank zero, and the order on projections over $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ is determined by traces.

All these follow from tracial rank zero, but do not imply tracial rank zero. It should be true that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has tracial rank zero, but this is still open.

Let X be a finite dimensional compact metric space, and suppose \mathbb{Z}^d acts freely and minimally on X. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ has strict comparison of positive elements (the Cuntz semigroup analog of the order on projections over $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d, X)$ being determined by traces).

There is work in progress which will probably give positive results about $C^*(\mathbb{R}, X)$ when dim $(X) < \infty$ and the action is free and minimal.

There is also a collection of related results on crossed products of simple C*-algebras by actions of \mathbb{Z} and of finite groups which have the tracial Rokhlin property, and generalizations.

The proof of the main theorem, even for just the Cantor set, requires a number of lemmas.

31 / 70

The proof of the main theorem, even for just the Cantor set, requires a number of lemmas. The first essentially says one can use AF algebras in place of finite dimensional algebras in the definition of tracial rank zero.

The proof of the main theorem, even for just the Cantor set, requires a number of lemmas. The first essentially says one can use AF algebras in place of finite dimensional algebras in the definition of tracial rank zero. The proof is omitted; see the notes.

The proof of the main theorem, even for just the Cantor set, requires a number of lemmas. The first essentially says one can use AF algebras in place of finite dimensional algebras in the definition of tracial rank zero. The proof is omitted; see the notes.

Lemma

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra.

The proof of the main theorem, even for just the Cantor set, requires a number of lemmas. The first essentially says one can use AF algebras in place of finite dimensional algebras in the definition of tracial rank zero. The proof is omitted; see the notes.

Lemma

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Suppose that for every finite subset $F \subset A$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonzero positive element $c \in A$,

The proof of the main theorem, even for just the Cantor set, requires a number of lemmas. The first essentially says one can use AF algebras in place of finite dimensional algebras in the definition of tracial rank zero. The proof is omitted; see the notes.

Lemma

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Suppose that for every finite subset $F \subset A$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonzero positive element $c \in A$, there exists a projection $p \in A$ and a unital AF subalgebra $B \subset A$ with $p \in B$ such that:

The proof of the main theorem, even for just the Cantor set, requires a number of lemmas. The first essentially says one can use AF algebras in place of finite dimensional algebras in the definition of tracial rank zero. The proof is omitted; see the notes.

Lemma

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Suppose that for every finite subset $F \subset A$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonzero positive element $c \in A$, there exists a projection $p \in A$ and a unital AF subalgebra $B \subset A$ with $p \in B$ such that:

 $||[a,p]|| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F.$

The proof of the main theorem, even for just the Cantor set, requires a number of lemmas. The first essentially says one can use AF algebras in place of finite dimensional algebras in the definition of tracial rank zero. The proof is omitted; see the notes.

Lemma

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Suppose that for every finite subset $F \subset A$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonzero positive element $c \in A$, there exists a projection $p \in A$ and a unital AF subalgebra $B \subset A$ with $p \in B$ such that:

 $\|[a,p]\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F.$

② dist(pap, pBp) < ε for all a ∈ F.

- E

The proof of the main theorem, even for just the Cantor set, requires a number of lemmas. The first essentially says one can use AF algebras in place of finite dimensional algebras in the definition of tracial rank zero. The proof is omitted; see the notes.

Lemma

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Suppose that for every finite subset $F \subset A$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonzero positive element $c \in A$, there exists a projection $p \in A$ and a unital AF subalgebra $B \subset A$ with $p \in B$ such that:

- $\|[a,p]\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F.$
- ② dist(pap, pBp) < ε for all a ∈ F.

③ 1 - p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a projection in \overline{CAC} .

▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶

The proof of the main theorem, even for just the Cantor set, requires a number of lemmas. The first essentially says one can use AF algebras in place of finite dimensional algebras in the definition of tracial rank zero. The proof is omitted; see the notes.

Lemma

Let A be a simple unital C*-algebra. Suppose that for every finite subset $F \subset A$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonzero positive element $c \in A$, there exists a projection $p \in A$ and a unital AF subalgebra $B \subset A$ with $p \in B$ such that:

- $||[a,p]|| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F.$
- ② dist(pap, pBp) < ε for all a ∈ F.

3 1 - p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a projection in \overline{cAc} . Then A has tracial rank zero.

We need only consider finite subsets of a generating set:

3 x 3
We need only consider finite subsets of a generating set:

Lemma

Let A be a unital C*-algebra, and let $S \subset A$ be a subset which generates A as a C*-algebra.

We need only consider finite subsets of a generating set:

Lemma

Let A be a unital C*-algebra, and let $S \subset A$ be a subset which generates A as a C*-algebra. Assume that the condition of the previous lemma holds for all finite subsets $F \subset S$.

We need only consider finite subsets of a generating set:

Lemma

Let A be a unital C*-algebra, and let $S \subset A$ be a subset which generates A as a C*-algebra. Assume that the condition of the previous lemma holds for all finite subsets $F \subset S$. Then A has tracial rank zero.

We need only consider finite subsets of a generating set:

Lemma

Let A be a unital C*-algebra, and let $S \subset A$ be a subset which generates A as a C*-algebra. Assume that the condition of the previous lemma holds for all finite subsets $F \subset S$. Then A has tracial rank zero.

The proof is an exercise.

A key point, in this proof and others, is the construction of a "large" and more tractable subalgebra of the crossed product.

A key point, in this proof and others, is the construction of a "large" and more tractable subalgebra of the crossed product.

Notation

Let X be a compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism.

A key point, in this proof and others, is the construction of a "large" and more tractable subalgebra of the crossed product.

Notation

Let X be a compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. In the transformation group C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$, we write u for the standard unitary representing the generator of \mathbb{Z} .

A key point, in this proof and others, is the construction of a "large" and more tractable subalgebra of the crossed product.

Notation

Let X be a compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. In the transformation group C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$, we write u for the standard unitary representing the generator of \mathbb{Z} . For a closed subset $Y \subset X$, we define the C*-subalgebra $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ to be

$$C^*(\mathbb{Z},X,h)_Y = C^*(C(X), \, uC_0(X \setminus Y)) \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z},X,h).$$

Although we will not use formally groupoids in these notes, it should be pointed out that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is the C*-algebra of a subgroupoid of the transformation group groupoid $\mathbb{Z} \ltimes X$ made from the action of \mathbb{Z} on X generated by h.

Although we will not use formally groupoids in these notes, it should be pointed out that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is the C*-algebra of a subgroupoid of the transformation group groupoid $\mathbb{Z} \ltimes X$ made from the action of \mathbb{Z} on X generated by h. Informally, we "break" every orbit each time it goes through Y.

Although we will not use formally groupoids in these notes, it should be pointed out that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is the C*-algebra of a subgroupoid of the transformation group groupoid $\mathbb{Z} \ltimes X$ made from the action of \mathbb{Z} on Xgenerated by h. Informally, we "break" every orbit each time it goes through Y. More formally, for n < 0 the pair (n, x) is in the subgroupoid only if all of $h^n(x), h^{n+1}(x), \ldots, h^{-1}(x)$ are in $X \setminus Y$,

Although we will not use formally groupoids in these notes, it should be pointed out that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is the C*-algebra of a subgroupoid of the transformation group groupoid $\mathbb{Z} \ltimes X$ made from the action of \mathbb{Z} on Xgenerated by h. Informally, we "break" every orbit each time it goes through Y. More formally, for n < 0 the pair (n, x) is in the subgroupoid only if all of $h^n(x)$, $h^{n+1}(x)$, ..., $h^{-1}(x)$ are in $X \setminus Y$, and for n > 0 the pair (n, x) is in the subgroupoid only if all of x, h(x), ..., $h^{n-1}(x)$ are in $X \setminus Y$.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Although we will not use formally groupoids in these notes, it should be pointed out that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is the C*-algebra of a subgroupoid of the transformation group groupoid $\mathbb{Z} \ltimes X$ made from the action of \mathbb{Z} on Xgenerated by h. Informally, we "break" every orbit each time it goes through Y. More formally, for n < 0 the pair (n, x) is in the subgroupoid only if all of $h^n(x)$, $h^{n+1}(x)$, ..., $h^{-1}(x)$ are in $X \setminus Y$, and for n > 0 the pair (n, x) is in the subgroupoid only if all of x, h(x), ..., $h^{n-1}(x)$ are in $X \setminus Y$.

For actions of \mathbb{Z}^d , it appears to be necessary to use subalgebras of the crossed product for which the only nice description is in terms of subgroupoids of the transformation group groupoid.

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ



The following lemma is due to Putnam.

< 🗇 🕨 🔸

3. 3

The following lemma is due to Putnam.

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty compact open subset.

The following lemma is due to Putnam.

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty compact open subset. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is an AF algebra.

The proof depends on the construction of Rokhlin towers, which is a crucial element of many structure results for crossed products.

The proof depends on the construction of Rokhlin towers, which is a crucial element of many structure results for crossed products.

We first claim that there is $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} h^{-n}(Y) = X$. Set $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$, which is a nonempty open subset of X such that $U \subset h(U)$.

The proof depends on the construction of Rokhlin towers, which is a crucial element of many structure results for crossed products.

We first claim that there is $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} h^{-n}(Y) = X$. Set $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$, which is a nonempty open subset of X such that $U \subset h(U)$. Then $Z = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$

The proof depends on the construction of Rokhlin towers, which is a crucial element of many structure results for crossed products.

We first claim that there is $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} h^{-n}(Y) = X$. Set $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$, which is a nonempty open subset of X such that $U \subset h(U)$. Then $Z = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$ is a closed subset of X such that $h(Z) \subset Z$, and $Z \neq X$.

The proof depends on the construction of Rokhlin towers, which is a crucial element of many structure results for crossed products.

We first claim that there is $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} h^{-n}(Y) = X$. Set $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$, which is a nonempty open subset of X such that $U \subset h(U)$. Then $Z = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$ is a closed subset of X such that $h(Z) \subset Z$, and $Z \neq X$. Therefore $Z = \emptyset$.

The proof depends on the construction of Rokhlin towers, which is a crucial element of many structure results for crossed products.

We first claim that there is $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} h^{-n}(Y) = X$. Set $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$, which is a nonempty open subset of X such that $U \subset h(U)$. Then $Z = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$ is a closed subset of X such that $h(Z) \subset Z$, and $Z \neq X$. Therefore $Z = \emptyset$. So U = X, and the claim now follows from compactness of X.

The proof depends on the construction of Rokhlin towers, which is a crucial element of many structure results for crossed products.

We first claim that there is $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} h^{-n}(Y) = X$. Set $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$, which is a nonempty open subset of X such that $U \subset h(U)$. Then $Z = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$ is a closed subset of X such that $h(Z) \subset Z$, and $Z \neq X$. Therefore $Z = \emptyset$. So U = X, and the claim now follows from compactness of X.

It follows that for each fixed $y \in Y$, the sequence of iterates $h(y), h^2(y), \ldots$ of y under h must return to Y in at most N steps.

The proof depends on the construction of Rokhlin towers, which is a crucial element of many structure results for crossed products.

We first claim that there is $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} h^{-n}(Y) = X$. Set $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$, which is a nonempty open subset of X such that $U \subset h(U)$. Then $Z = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$ is a closed subset of X such that $h(Z) \subset Z$, and $Z \neq X$. Therefore $Z = \emptyset$. So U = X, and the claim now follows from compactness of X.

It follows that for each fixed $y \in Y$, the sequence of iterates $h(y), h^2(y), \ldots$ of y under h must return to Y in at most N steps. Define the *first return time* r(y) to be

The proof depends on the construction of Rokhlin towers, which is a crucial element of many structure results for crossed products.

We first claim that there is $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} h^{-n}(Y) = X$. Set $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$, which is a nonempty open subset of X such that $U \subset h(U)$. Then $Z = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-n}(Y)$ is a closed subset of X such that $h(Z) \subset Z$, and $Z \neq X$. Therefore $Z = \emptyset$. So U = X, and the claim now follows from compactness of X.

It follows that for each fixed $y \in Y$, the sequence of iterates $h(y), h^2(y), \ldots$ of y under h must return to Y in at most N steps. Define the *first return time* r(y) to be

$$r(y) = \min\{n \ge 1 \colon h^n(y) \in Y\} \le N.$$

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ □ ● ● ● ● ●

Let $n(0) < n(1) < \cdots < n(l) \le N$ be the values of r.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

37 / 70

Let $n(0) < n(1) < \cdots < n(l) \le N$ be the values of r. Set

$$Y_k = \{y \in Y \colon r(y) = n(k)\}.$$

< (T) > <

글 > - + 글 >

3

Let $n(0) < n(1) < \cdots < n(l) \le N$ be the values of r. Set

$$Y_k = \{y \in Y \colon r(y) = n(k)\}.$$

Then the sets Y_k are compact, open, and partition Y,

Let $n(0) < n(1) < \cdots < n(l) \le N$ be the values of r. Set

$$Y_k = \{y \in Y \colon r(y) = n(k)\}.$$

Then the sets Y_k are compact, open, and partition Y, and the sets $h^j(Y_k)$, for $1 \le j \le n(k)$, partition X:

Let $n(0) < n(1) < \cdots < n(l) \le N$ be the values of r. Set

$$Y_k = \{y \in Y \colon r(y) = n(k)\}.$$

Then the sets Y_k are compact, open, and partition Y, and the sets $h^j(Y_k)$, for $1 \le j \le n(k)$, partition X:

$$Y = \prod_{k=0}^{l} Y_k$$
 and $X = \prod_{k=0}^{l} \prod_{j=1}^{n(k)} h^j(Y_k).$

Let $n(0) < n(1) < \cdots < n(l) \le N$ be the values of r. Set

$$Y_k = \{y \in Y \colon r(y) = n(k)\}.$$

Then the sets Y_k are compact, open, and partition Y, and the sets $h^j(Y_k)$, for $1 \le j \le n(k)$, partition X:

$$Y = \prod_{k=0}^{l} Y_k \quad \text{and} \quad X = \prod_{k=0}^{l} \prod_{j=1}^{n(k)} h^j(Y_k).$$

Each finite sequence $h(Y_k), h^2(Y_k), \ldots, h^{n(k)}(Y_k)$ is a Rokhlin tower

Let $n(0) < n(1) < \cdots < n(l) \le N$ be the values of r. Set

$$Y_k = \{y \in Y \colon r(y) = n(k)\}.$$

Then the sets Y_k are compact, open, and partition Y, and the sets $h^j(Y_k)$, for $1 \le j \le n(k)$, partition X:

$$Y = \prod_{k=0}^{l} Y_k \quad \text{and} \quad X = \prod_{k=0}^{l} \prod_{j=1}^{n(k)} h^j(Y_k).$$

Each finite sequence $h(Y_k)$, $h^2(Y_k)$,..., $h^{n(k)}(Y_k)$ is a *Rokhlin tower* with base $h(Y_k)$ and height n(k).

Let $n(0) < n(1) < \cdots < n(l) \le N$ be the values of r. Set

$$Y_k = \{y \in Y \colon r(y) = n(k)\}.$$

Then the sets Y_k are compact, open, and partition Y, and the sets $h^j(Y_k)$, for $1 \le j \le n(k)$, partition X:

$$Y = \prod_{k=0}^{l} Y_k$$
 and $X = \prod_{k=0}^{l} \prod_{j=1}^{n(k)} h^j(Y_k).$

Each finite sequence $h(Y_k)$, $h^2(Y_k)$,..., $h^{n(k)}(Y_k)$ is a *Rokhlin tower* with base $h(Y_k)$ and height n(k). (It is more common to let the power of h run from 0 to n(k) - 1. The choice made here, effectively taking the base of the collection of Rokhlin towers to be h(Y) rather than Y, is more convenient for use with our definition of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{Y}$.)

- (個) - (日) - (日) - (日)

Let $n(0) < n(1) < \cdots < n(l) \le N$ be the values of r. Set

$$Y_k = \{y \in Y \colon r(y) = n(k)\}.$$

Then the sets Y_k are compact, open, and partition Y, and the sets $h^j(Y_k)$, for $1 \le j \le n(k)$, partition X:

$$Y = \prod_{k=0}^{l} Y_k$$
 and $X = \prod_{k=0}^{l} \prod_{j=1}^{n(k)} h^j(Y_k).$

Each finite sequence $h(Y_k)$, $h^2(Y_k)$, ..., $h^{n(k)}(Y_k)$ is a *Rokhlin tower* with base $h(Y_k)$ and height n(k). (It is more common to let the power of h run from 0 to n(k) - 1. The choice made here, effectively taking the base of the collection of Rokhlin towers to be h(Y) rather than Y, is more convenient for use with our definition of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.) Further set $X_k = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n(k)} h^j(Y_k)$. The sets X_k then also partition X.

(人間) トイヨト イヨト ニヨ

Rokhlin towers, with part of an orbit We take $x \in Y$, in fact, $x \in Y_0$.

The bases of the towers are $h(Y_0), h(Y_1), \ldots, h(Y_l)$, and the heights are $n(0), n(1), \ldots, n(l)$. The tower over $h(Y_k)$ corresponds to a summand of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ isomorphic to $M_{n(k)} \otimes C(Y_k)$.



Define $p_k \in C(X) \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ by $p_k = \chi_{X_k}$.

3

39 / 70
Define $p_k \in C(X) \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ by $p_k = \chi_{X_k}$. Then p_k trivially commutes with every element of C(X).

Define $p_k \in C(X) \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ by $p_k = \chi_{X_k}$. Then p_k trivially commutes with every element of C(X). Moreover, suppose $f \in C(X)$ vanishes on Y.

Define $p_k \in C(X) \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ by $p_k = \chi_{X_k}$. Then p_k trivially commutes with every element of C(X). Moreover, suppose $f \in C(X)$ vanishes on Y. Since Y_k , $h^{n(k)}(Y_k) \subset Y$, we have

Define $p_k \in C(X) \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ by $p_k = \chi_{X_k}$. Then p_k trivially commutes with every element of C(X). Moreover, suppose $f \in C(X)$ vanishes on Y. Since Y_k , $h^{n(k)}(Y_k) \subset Y$, we have

$$\chi_{Y_k}f=0$$
 and $\chi_{h^{n(k)}(Y_k)}f=0$

Define $p_k \in C(X) \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ by $p_k = \chi_{X_k}$. Then p_k trivially commutes with every element of C(X). Moreover, suppose $f \in C(X)$ vanishes on Y. Since Y_k , $h^{n(k)}(Y_k) \subset Y$, we have

$$\chi_{Y_k}f=0$$
 and $\chi_{h^{n(k)}(Y_k)}f=0$

Use the action of h on the levels of the tower at the first step, and the equations above at the second step, to get

Define $p_k \in C(X) \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ by $p_k = \chi_{X_k}$. Then p_k trivially commutes with every element of C(X). Moreover, suppose $f \in C(X)$ vanishes on Y. Since Y_k , $h^{n(k)}(Y_k) \subset Y$, we have

$$\chi_{Y_k}f=0$$
 and $\chi_{h^{n(k)}(Y_k)}f=0$

Use the action of h on the levels of the tower at the first step, and the equations above at the second step, to get

$$p_k uf = u \big(p_k - \chi_{h^{n(k)}(Y_k)} + \chi_{Y_k} \big) f = u p_k f = u f p_k.$$

39 / 70

Define $p_k \in C(X) \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ by $p_k = \chi_{X_k}$. Then p_k trivially commutes with every element of C(X). Moreover, suppose $f \in C(X)$ vanishes on Y. Since Y_k , $h^{n(k)}(Y_k) \subset Y$, we have

$$\chi_{Y_k}f=0$$
 and $\chi_{h^{n(k)}(Y_k)}f=0$

Use the action of h on the levels of the tower at the first step, and the equations above at the second step, to get

$$p_k uf = u \big(p_k - \chi_{h^{n(k)}(Y_k)} + \chi_{Y_k} \big) f = u p_k f = u f p_k.$$

39 / 70

It follows that p_k commutes with all elements of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

Define $p_k \in C(X) \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ by $p_k = \chi_{X_k}$. Then p_k trivially commutes with every element of C(X). Moreover, suppose $f \in C(X)$ vanishes on Y. Since Y_k , $h^{n(k)}(Y_k) \subset Y$, we have

$$\chi_{Y_k}f=0$$
 and $\chi_{h^{n(k)}(Y_k)}f=0$

Use the action of h on the levels of the tower at the first step, and the equations above at the second step, to get

$$p_k uf = u \big(p_k - \chi_{h^{n(k)}(Y_k)} + \chi_{Y_k} \big) f = u p_k f = u f p_k.$$

It follows that p_k commutes with all elements of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$. So it suffices to prove that $p_k C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p_k$ is AF for each k.

Now $p_k C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p_k$ is the C*-algebra generated by $C(X_k)$ and

$$u(\chi_{X\setminus Y})p_{k} = u(\chi_{X_{k}\setminus h^{n(k)}(Y_{k})}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)-1} u(\chi_{h^{j}(Y_{k})})$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)-1} (\chi_{h^{j+1}(Y_{k})})u(\chi_{h^{j}(Y_{k})}).$$

3

40 / 70

Now $p_k C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p_k$ is the C*-algebra generated by $C(X_k)$ and

$$u(\chi_{X\setminus Y})p_{k} = u(\chi_{X_{k}\setminus h^{n(k)}(Y_{k})}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)-1} u(\chi_{h^{j}(Y_{k})})$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)-1} (\chi_{h^{j+1}(Y_{k})})u(\chi_{h^{j}(Y_{k})}).$$

One can now check, although it is a bit tedious to write out the details, that there is an isomorphism $\psi_k \colon p_k C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p_k \to M_{n(k)} \otimes C(Y_k)$

Now $p_k C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p_k$ is the C*-algebra generated by $C(X_k)$ and

$$u(\chi_{X\setminus Y})p_{k} = u(\chi_{X_{k}\setminus h^{n(k)}(Y_{k})}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)-1} u(\chi_{h^{j}(Y_{k})})$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)-1} (\chi_{h^{j+1}(Y_{k})})u(\chi_{h^{j}(Y_{k})}).$$

One can now check, although it is a bit tedious to write out the details, that there is an isomorphism $\psi_k : p_k C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p_k \to M_{n(k)} \otimes C(Y_k)$ such that for $f \in C(X_k)$ we have

$$\psi_k(f) = \operatorname{diag}(f \circ h|_{Y_k}, f \circ h^2|_{Y_k}, \ldots, f \circ h^{n(k)}|_{Y_k})$$

Now $p_k C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p_k$ is the C*-algebra generated by $C(X_k)$ and

$$u(\chi_{X\setminus Y})p_{k} = u(\chi_{X_{k}\setminus h^{n(k)}(Y_{k})}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)-1} u(\chi_{h^{j}(Y_{k})})$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)-1} (\chi_{h^{j+1}(Y_{k})})u(\chi_{h^{j}(Y_{k})}).$$

One can now check, although it is a bit tedious to write out the details, that there is an isomorphism $\psi_k : p_k C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p_k \to M_{n(k)} \otimes C(Y_k)$ such that for $f \in C(X_k)$ we have

$$\psi_k(f) = \operatorname{diag}(f \circ h|_{Y_k}, f \circ h^2|_{Y_k}, \ldots, f \circ h^{n(k)}|_{Y_k})$$

and for $1 \leq j \leq n(k) - 1$ we have

$$\psi_k(\chi_{h^{j+1}(Y_k)}u\chi_{h^j(Y_k)})=e_{j+1,j}\otimes 1.$$

Now $p_k C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p_k$ is the C*-algebra generated by $C(X_k)$ and

$$u(\chi_{X\setminus Y})p_{k} = u(\chi_{X_{k}\setminus h^{n(k)}(Y_{k})}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)-1} u(\chi_{h^{j}(Y_{k})})$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)-1} (\chi_{h^{j+1}(Y_{k})})u(\chi_{h^{j}(Y_{k})}).$$

One can now check, although it is a bit tedious to write out the details, that there is an isomorphism $\psi_k : p_k C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p_k \to M_{n(k)} \otimes C(Y_k)$ such that for $f \in C(X_k)$ we have

$$\psi_k(f) = \operatorname{diag}(f \circ h|_{Y_k}, f \circ h^2|_{Y_k}, \ldots, f \circ h^{n(k)}|_{Y_k})$$

and for $1 \leq j \leq n(k) - 1$ we have

$$\psi_k\big(\chi_{h^{j+1}(\mathbf{Y}_k)}u\chi_{h^j(\mathbf{Y}_k)}\big)=e_{j+1,j}\otimes 1.$$

The algebra $M_{n(k)} \otimes C(Y_k)$ is AF because Y_k is totally disconnected.

Now $p_k C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p_k$ is the C*-algebra generated by $C(X_k)$ and

$$u(\chi_{X\setminus Y})p_{k} = u(\chi_{X_{k}\setminus h^{n(k)}(Y_{k})}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)-1} u(\chi_{h^{j}(Y_{k})})$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)-1} (\chi_{h^{j+1}(Y_{k})})u(\chi_{h^{j}(Y_{k})}).$$

One can now check, although it is a bit tedious to write out the details, that there is an isomorphism $\psi_k : p_k C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p_k \to M_{n(k)} \otimes C(Y_k)$ such that for $f \in C(X_k)$ we have

$$\psi_k(f) = \operatorname{diag}(f \circ h|_{Y_k}, f \circ h^2|_{Y_k}, \ldots, f \circ h^{n(k)}|_{Y_k})$$

and for $1 \leq j \leq n(k) - 1$ we have

$$\psi_k\big(\chi_{h^{j+1}(\mathbf{Y}_k)}u\chi_{h^j(\mathbf{Y}_k)}\big)=e_{j+1,j}\otimes 1.$$

The algebra $M_{n(k)} \otimes C(Y_k)$ is AF because Y_k is totally disconnected. This completes the proof.

Notation

Let A be a C*-algebra, and let $p, q \in A$ be projections. We write $p \sim q$ to mean that p and q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in A,

Notation

Let A be a C*-algebra, and let $p, q \in A$ be projections. We write $p \sim q$ to mean that p and q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in A, that is, there exists $v \in A$ such that $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = q$.

Notation

Let A be a C*-algebra, and let $p, q \in A$ be projections. We write $p \sim q$ to mean that p and q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in A, that is, there exists $v \in A$ such that $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = q$. We write $p \preceq q$ if p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a subprojection of q.

Notation

Let A be a C*-algebra, and let $p, q \in A$ be projections. We write $p \sim q$ to mean that p and q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in A, that is, there exists $v \in A$ such that $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = q$. We write $p \preceq q$ if p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a subprojection of q.

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism.

Notation

Let A be a C*-algebra, and let $p, q \in A$ be projections. We write $p \sim q$ to mean that p and q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in A, that is, there exists $v \in A$ such that $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = q$. We write $p \preceq q$ if p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a subprojection of q.

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $c \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ be a nonzero positive element.

Notation

Let A be a C*-algebra, and let $p, q \in A$ be projections. We write $p \sim q$ to mean that p and q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in A, that is, there exists $v \in A$ such that $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = q$. We write $p \preceq q$ if p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a subprojection of q.

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $c \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ be a nonzero positive element. Then there exists a nonzero projection $p \in C(X)$ such that p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ to a projection in $\overline{cC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)c}$.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Notation

Let A be a C*-algebra, and let $p, q \in A$ be projections. We write $p \sim q$ to mean that p and q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in A, that is, there exists $v \in A$ such that $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = q$. We write $p \preceq q$ if p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a subprojection of q.

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $c \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ be a nonzero positive element. Then there exists a nonzero projection $p \in C(X)$ such that p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ to a projection in $\overline{cC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)c}$.

This shows that we can control the size of the leftover in the definition of tracial rank zero using projections in C(X) instead of in the crossed product.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

Notation

Let A be a C*-algebra, and let $p, q \in A$ be projections. We write $p \sim q$ to mean that p and q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in A, that is, there exists $v \in A$ such that $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = q$. We write $p \preceq q$ if p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a subprojection of q.

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $c \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ be a nonzero positive element. Then there exists a nonzero projection $p \in C(X)$ such that p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ to a projection in $\overline{cC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)c}$.

This shows that we can control the size of the leftover in the definition of tracial rank zero using projections in C(X) instead of in the crossed product. This is a big advantage.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三)

Combining our results so far, we have reduced our problem to proving the following:

Combining our results so far, we have reduced our problem to proving the following:

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism.

Combining our results so far, we have reduced our problem to proving the following:

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $S = C(X) \cup \{u\}$ (clearly a generating set for $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$).

Combining our results so far, we have reduced our problem to proving the following:

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $S = C(X) \cup \{u\}$ (clearly a generating set for $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$). Then for every finite subset $F \subset C(X)$,

Combining our results so far, we have reduced our problem to proving the following:

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $S = C(X) \cup \{u\}$ (clearly a generating set for $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$). Then for every finite subset $F \subset C(X)$, every $\varepsilon > 0$,

Combining our results so far, we have reduced our problem to proving the following:

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $S = C(X) \cup \{u\}$ (clearly a generating set for $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$). Then for every finite subset $F \subset C(X)$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonempty open set $U \subset X$,

Combining our results so far, we have reduced our problem to proving the following:

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $S = C(X) \cup \{u\}$ (clearly a generating set for $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$). Then for every finite subset $F \subset C(X)$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonempty open set $U \subset X$, there exists a compact open set $Y \subset X$

Combining our results so far, we have reduced our problem to proving the following:

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $S = C(X) \cup \{u\}$ (clearly a generating set for $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$). Then for every finite subset $F \subset C(X)$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonempty open set $U \subset X$, there exists a compact open set $Y \subset X$ and a projection $p \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ such that:

(1) $\|pa - ap\| < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

くほう くほう くほう 二日

Combining our results so far, we have reduced our problem to proving the following:

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $S = C(X) \cup \{u\}$ (clearly a generating set for $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$). Then for every finite subset $F \subset C(X)$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonempty open set $U \subset X$, there exists a compact open set $Y \subset X$ and a projection $p \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ such that:

(1)
$$\|pa - ap\| < \varepsilon$$
 for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

(2)
$$pap \in pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p$$
 for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

42 / 70

Combining our results so far, we have reduced our problem to proving the following:

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $S = C(X) \cup \{u\}$ (clearly a generating set for $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$). Then for every finite subset $F \subset C(X)$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonempty open set $U \subset X$, there exists a compact open set $Y \subset X$ and a projection $p \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ such that:

(1)
$$\|pa - ap\| < \varepsilon$$
 for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

(2)
$$pap \in pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p$$
 for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

(3) There is a compact open set $Z \subset U$ such that $1 - p \preceq \chi_Z$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

・同下 ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

Combining our results so far, we have reduced our problem to proving the following:

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $S = C(X) \cup \{u\}$ (clearly a generating set for $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$). Then for every finite subset $F \subset C(X)$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonempty open set $U \subset X$, there exists a compact open set $Y \subset X$ and a projection $p \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ such that:

(1)
$$\|pa - ap\| < \varepsilon$$
 for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

(2)
$$pap \in pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p$$
 for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

(3) There is a compact open set $Z \subset U$ such that $1 - p \preceq \chi_Z$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

The point is that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is an AF algebra, and (3) says, in view of Lemma 4, that 1 - p is "small".

Proof of Lemma 4

Let $E : C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h) \to C(X)$ be the standard conditional expectation.

E + 4 E +

< 4 ₽ × <

3

43 / 70

Proof of Lemma 4

Let $E: C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h) \to C(X)$ be the standard conditional expectation. Then E(c) is a nonzero positive element of C(X).

ヨトィヨト

3

Proof of Lemma 4

Let $E: C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h) \to C(X)$ be the standard conditional expectation. Then E(c) is a nonzero positive element of C(X). Choose a nonempty compact open subset $K_0 \subset X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the function E(c) satisfies $E(c)(x) > 4\delta$ for all $x \in K_0$.
Let $E: C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h) \to C(X)$ be the standard conditional expectation. Then E(c) is a nonzero positive element of C(X). Choose a nonempty compact open subset $K_0 \subset X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the function E(c) satisfies $E(c)(x) > 4\delta$ for all $x \in K_0$. Choose a finite sum $b = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} b_n u^n \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ such that $||b - c|| < \delta$.

Let $E: C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h) \to C(X)$ be the standard conditional expectation. Then E(c) is a nonzero positive element of C(X). Choose a nonempty compact open subset $K_0 \subset X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the function E(c) satisfies $E(c)(x) > 4\delta$ for all $x \in K_0$. Choose a finite sum $b = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} b_n u^n \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ such that $||b - c|| < \delta$. Since the action of \mathbb{Z} induced by h is free, there is a nonempty compact open subset $K \subset K_0$ such that the sets

$$h^{-N}(K), h^{-N+1}(K), \ldots, h^{N}(K)$$

are disjoint.

Let $E: C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h) \to C(X)$ be the standard conditional expectation. Then E(c) is a nonzero positive element of C(X). Choose a nonempty compact open subset $K_0 \subset X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the function E(c) satisfies $E(c)(x) > 4\delta$ for all $x \in K_0$. Choose a finite sum $b = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} b_n u^n \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ such that $||b - c|| < \delta$. Since the action of \mathbb{Z} induced by h is free, there is a nonempty compact open subset $K \subset K_0$ such that the sets

$$h^{-N}(K), h^{-N+1}(K), \ldots, h^N(K)$$

are disjoint. Set $p = \chi_K \in C(X)$.

Let $E: C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h) \to C(X)$ be the standard conditional expectation. Then E(c) is a nonzero positive element of C(X). Choose a nonempty compact open subset $K_0 \subset X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the function E(c) satisfies $E(c)(x) > 4\delta$ for all $x \in K_0$. Choose a finite sum $b = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} b_n u^n \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ such that $||b - c|| < \delta$. Since the action of \mathbb{Z} induced by h is free, there is a nonempty compact open subset $K \subset K_0$ such that the sets

$$h^{-N}(K), h^{-N+1}(K), \ldots, h^N(K)$$

are disjoint. Set $p = \chi_K \in C(X)$. For $n \in \{-N, -N+1, \ldots, N\} \setminus \{0\}$,

Let $E: C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h) \to C(X)$ be the standard conditional expectation. Then E(c) is a nonzero positive element of C(X). Choose a nonempty compact open subset $K_0 \subset X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the function E(c) satisfies $E(c)(x) > 4\delta$ for all $x \in K_0$. Choose a finite sum $b = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} b_n u^n \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ such that $||b - c|| < \delta$. Since the action of \mathbb{Z} induced by h is free, there is a nonempty compact open subset $K \subset K_0$ such that the sets

$$h^{-N}(K), h^{-N+1}(K), \ldots, h^{N}(K)$$

are disjoint. Set $p = \chi_K \in C(X)$. For $n \in \{-N, -N+1, ..., N\} \setminus \{0\}$, the disjointness condition implies that $pu^n p = 0$. Therefore

$$pbp = pb_0p = pE(b)p.$$

43 / 70

Using this equation at the first step, we get

 $\|pcp - pE(c)p\| \le \|pcp - pbp\| + \|pE(b)p - pE(c)p\| \le 2\|c - b\| < 2\delta.$ (1)

Using this equation at the first step, we get

$$\|pcp - pE(c)p\| \le \|pcp - pbp\| + \|pE(b)p - pE(c)p\| \le 2\|c - b\| < 2\delta.$$
 (1)

Since $K \subset K_0$, the function pE(c)p is invertible in pC(X)p.

Using this equation at the first step, we get

$$\|pcp - pE(c)p\| \le \|pcp - pbp\| + \|pE(b)p - pE(c)p\| \le 2\|c - b\| < 2\delta.$$
 (1)

Since $K \subset K_0$, the function pE(c)p is invertible in pC(X)p. In the following, we take inverses in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$.

Using this equation at the first step, we get

$$\|pcp - pE(c)p\| \le \|pcp - pbp\| + \|pE(b)p - pE(c)p\| \le 2\|c - b\| < 2\delta.$$
 (1)

Since $K \subset K_0$, the function pE(c)p is invertible in pC(X)p. In the following, we take inverses in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$. Then, in fact, $\|[pE(c)p]^{-1}\| < \frac{1}{4}\delta^{-1}$.

Using this equation at the first step, we get

$$\|pcp - pE(c)p\| \le \|pcp - pbp\| + \|pE(b)p - pE(c)p\| \le 2\|c - b\| < 2\delta.$$
 (1)

Since $K \subset K_0$, the function pE(c)p is invertible in pC(X)p. In the following, we take inverses in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$. Then, in fact, $\|[pE(c)p]^{-1}\| < \frac{1}{4}\delta^{-1}$. The estimate (1) now implies that pcp is invertible in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$.

Using this equation at the first step, we get

$$\|pcp - pE(c)p\| \le \|pcp - pbp\| + \|pE(b)p - pE(c)p\| \le 2\|c - b\| < 2\delta.$$
 (1)

Since $K \subset K_0$, the function pE(c)p is invertible in pC(X)p. In the following, we take inverses in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$. Then, in fact, $\|[pE(c)p]^{-1}\| < \frac{1}{4}\delta^{-1}$. The estimate (1) now implies that pcp is invertible in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$. Let $a = (pcp)^{-1/2}$, calculated in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$.

Using this equation at the first step, we get

$$\|pcp - pE(c)p\| \le \|pcp - pbp\| + \|pE(b)p - pE(c)p\| \le 2\|c - b\| < 2\delta.$$
 (1)

Since $K \subset K_0$, the function pE(c)p is invertible in pC(X)p. In the following, we take inverses in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$. Then, in fact, $\|[pE(c)p]^{-1}\| < \frac{1}{4}\delta^{-1}$. The estimate (1) now implies that pcp is invertible in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$. Let $a = (pcp)^{-1/2}$, calculated in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$. Set $v = apc^{1/2}$.

Using this equation at the first step, we get

$$\|pcp - pE(c)p\| \le \|pcp - pbp\| + \|pE(b)p - pE(c)p\| \le 2\|c - b\| < 2\delta.$$
 (1)

Since $K \subset K_0$, the function pE(c)p is invertible in pC(X)p. In the following, we take inverses in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$. Then, in fact, $\|[pE(c)p]^{-1}\| < \frac{1}{4}\delta^{-1}$. The estimate (1) now implies that pcp is invertible in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$. Let $a = (pcp)^{-1/2}$, calculated in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$. Set $v = apc^{1/2}$. Then

$$\mathsf{vv}^* = \mathsf{apcpa} = (\mathsf{pcp})^{-1/2}(\mathsf{pcp})(\mathsf{pcp})^{-1/2} = \mathsf{p}$$

44 / 70

Using this equation at the first step, we get

$$\|pcp - pE(c)p\| \le \|pcp - pbp\| + \|pE(b)p - pE(c)p\| \le 2\|c - b\| < 2\delta.$$
 (1)

Since $K \subset K_0$, the function pE(c)p is invertible in pC(X)p. In the following, we take inverses in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$. Then, in fact, $\|[pE(c)p]^{-1}\| < \frac{1}{4}\delta^{-1}$. The estimate (1) now implies that pcp is invertible in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$. Let $a = (pcp)^{-1/2}$, calculated in $pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)p$. Set $v = apc^{1/2}$. Then

$$vv^* = apcpa = (pcp)^{-1/2}(pcp)(pcp)^{-1/2} = p$$

and

$$v^*v = c^{1/2} pa^2 pc^{1/2} \in \overline{cC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)c}.$$

44 / 70

This completes the proof.

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism.

< /⊒ > <

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty compact open subset. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and suppose that Y, $h(Y), \ldots, h^N(Y)$ are disjoint.

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty compact open subset. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and suppose that Y, $h(Y), \ldots, h^N(Y)$ are disjoint. Then the projections χ_Y and $\chi_{h^N(Y)}$ are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty compact open subset. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and suppose that Y, $h(Y), \ldots, h^N(Y)$ are disjoint. Then the projections χ_Y and $\chi_{h^N(Y)}$ are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

Proof.

First, observe that if $Z \subset X$ is a compact open subset such that $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$,

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty compact open subset. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and suppose that Y, $h(Y), \ldots, h^N(Y)$ are disjoint. Then the projections χ_Y and $\chi_{h^N(Y)}$ are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

Proof.

First, observe that if $Z \subset X$ is a compact open subset such that $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$, then $v = u\chi_Z \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty compact open subset. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and suppose that Y, $h(Y), \ldots, h^N(Y)$ are disjoint. Then the projections χ_Y and $\chi_{h^N(Y)}$ are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

Proof.

First, observe that if $Z \subset X$ is a compact open subset such that $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$, then $v = u\chi_Z \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ and satisfies $v^*v = \chi_Z$ and $vv^* = \chi_{h(Z)}$.

< 177 ▶

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty compact open subset. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and suppose that Y, $h(Y), \ldots, h^N(Y)$ are disjoint. Then the projections χ_Y and $\chi_{h^N(Y)}$ are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

Proof.

First, observe that if $Z \subset X$ is a compact open subset such that $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$, then $v = u\chi_Z \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ and satisfies $v^*v = \chi_Z$ and $vv^* = \chi_{h(Z)}$. Thus $\chi_Z \sim \chi_{h(Z)}$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

< 67 ▶

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty compact open subset. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and suppose that Y, $h(Y), \ldots, h^N(Y)$ are disjoint. Then the projections χ_Y and $\chi_{h^N(Y)}$ are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

Proof.

First, observe that if $Z \subset X$ is a compact open subset such that $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$, then $v = u\chi_Z \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ and satisfies $v^*v = \chi_Z$ and $vv^* = \chi_{h(Z)}$. Thus $\chi_Z \sim \chi_{h(Z)}$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

An induction argument now shows that $\chi_{h(Y)} \sim \chi_{h^N(Y)}$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

< 67 ▶

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty compact open subset. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and suppose that Y, $h(Y), \ldots, h^N(Y)$ are disjoint. Then the projections χ_Y and $\chi_{h^N(Y)}$ are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

Proof.

First, observe that if $Z \subset X$ is a compact open subset such that $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$, then $v = u\chi_Z \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ and satisfies $v^*v = \chi_Z$ and $vv^* = \chi_{h(Z)}$. Thus $\chi_Z \sim \chi_{h(Z)}$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

An induction argument now shows that $\chi_{h(Y)} \sim \chi_{h^N(Y)}$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$. Also, $\chi_{X \setminus Y} \sim \chi_{X \setminus h(Y)}$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

< 67 ▶

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty compact open subset. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and suppose that Y, $h(Y), \ldots, h^N(Y)$ are disjoint. Then the projections χ_Y and $\chi_{h^N(Y)}$ are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

Proof.

First, observe that if $Z \subset X$ is a compact open subset such that $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$, then $v = u\chi_Z \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ and satisfies $v^*v = \chi_Z$ and $vv^* = \chi_{h(Z)}$. Thus $\chi_Z \sim \chi_{h(Z)}$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

An induction argument now shows that $\chi_{h(Y)} \sim \chi_{h^N(Y)}$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$. Also, $\chi_{X \setminus Y} \sim \chi_{X \setminus h(Y)}$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$. Since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is an AF algebra, it follows that $\chi_Y \sim \chi_{h(Y)}$.

< 4 → <

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty compact open subset. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and suppose that Y, $h(Y), \ldots, h^N(Y)$ are disjoint. Then the projections χ_Y and $\chi_{h^N(Y)}$ are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

Proof.

First, observe that if $Z \subset X$ is a compact open subset such that $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$, then $v = u\chi_Z \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ and satisfies $v^*v = \chi_Z$ and $vv^* = \chi_{h(Z)}$. Thus $\chi_Z \sim \chi_{h(Z)}$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

An induction argument now shows that $\chi_{h(Y)} \sim \chi_{h^N(Y)}$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$. Also, $\chi_{X \setminus Y} \sim \chi_{X \setminus h(Y)}$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$. Since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is an AF algebra, it follows that $\chi_Y \sim \chi_{h(Y)}$. The result follows by transitivity.

▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any nonempty open set $U \subset X$,

▲ 同 ▶ → ● ▶

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any nonempty open set $U \subset X$, and any finite subset $F \subset C(X)$,

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any nonempty open set $U \subset X$, and any finite subset $F \subset C(X)$, there is a compact open set $Y \subset X$ containing y

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any nonempty open set $U \subset X$, and any finite subset $F \subset C(X)$, there is a compact open set $Y \subset X$ containing y and a projection $p \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any nonempty open set $U \subset X$, and any finite subset $F \subset C(X)$, there is a compact open set $Y \subset X$ containing y and a projection $p \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ such that: (1) $\|pa - ap\| < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any nonempty open set $U \subset X$, and any finite subset $F \subset C(X)$, there is a compact open set $Y \subset X$ containing y and a projection $p \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ such that: (1) $||pa - ap|| < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$. (2) $pap \in pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p$ for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

Lemma

Let X be the Cantor set, and let h: X → X be a minimal homeomorphism. Let y ∈ X. Then for any ε > 0, any nonempty open set U ⊂ X, and any finite subset F ⊂ C(X), there is a compact open set Y ⊂ X containing y and a projection p ∈ C*(Z, X, h)_Y such that:
(1) ||pa - ap|| < ε for all a ∈ F ∪ {u}.
(2) pap ∈ pC*(Z, X, h)_Yp for all a ∈ F ∪ {u}.
(3) There is a compact open set Z ⊂ U such that 1 - p ≾ χ_Z in C*(Z, X, h).

Lemma 6 finishes the proof

Recall that we reduced our problem to proving the following:

Lemma 6 finishes the proof

Recall that we reduced our problem to proving the following:

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $S = C(X) \cup \{u\}$ Then for every finite subset $F \subset S$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonempty open set $U \subset X$, there exists compact open set $Y \subset X$ and a projection $p \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ such that:

(1)
$$\|pa - ap\| < \varepsilon$$
 for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

- (2) $pap \in pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p$ for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.
- (3) There is a compact open set $Z \subset U$ such that $1 p \preceq \chi_Z$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.
Lemma 6 finishes the proof

Recall that we reduced our problem to proving the following:

Let X be the Cantor set, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $S = C(X) \cup \{u\}$ Then for every finite subset $F \subset S$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every nonempty open set $U \subset X$, there exists compact open set $Y \subset X$ and a projection $p \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ such that:

(1)
$$\|pa - ap\| < \varepsilon$$
 for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

(2)
$$pap \in pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p$$
 for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

(3) There is a compact open set $Z \subset U$ such that $1 - p \preceq \chi_Z$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

So Lemma 6 finishes the proof.

The basic idea behind the proof of Lemma 6 is Berg's technique.

The basic idea behind the proof of Lemma 6 is Berg's technique. It was originally used to prove that the direct sum of the bilateral shift and a finite cyclic shift is approximately unitarily equivalent,

The basic idea behind the proof of Lemma 6 is Berg's technique. It was originally used to prove that the direct sum of the bilateral shift and a finite cyclic shift is approximately unitarily equivalent, to within an error depending on the length of the finite cyclic shift,

The basic idea behind the proof of Lemma 6 is Berg's technique. It was originally used to prove that the direct sum of the bilateral shift and a finite cyclic shift is approximately unitarily equivalent, to within an error depending on the length of the finite cyclic shift, to the bilateral shift.

The basic idea behind the proof of Lemma 6 is Berg's technique. It was originally used to prove that the direct sum of the bilateral shift and a finite cyclic shift is approximately unitarily equivalent, to within an error depending on the length of the finite cyclic shift, to the bilateral shift. The error goes to zero as the length of the finite cyclic shift goes to infinity.

The basic idea behind the proof of Lemma 6 is Berg's technique. It was originally used to prove that the direct sum of the bilateral shift and a finite cyclic shift is approximately unitarily equivalent, to within an error depending on the length of the finite cyclic shift, to the bilateral shift. The error goes to zero as the length of the finite cyclic shift goes to infinity.

We effectively do this in reverse: the bilateral shift

The basic idea behind the proof of Lemma 6 is Berg's technique. It was originally used to prove that the direct sum of the bilateral shift and a finite cyclic shift is approximately unitarily equivalent, to within an error depending on the length of the finite cyclic shift, to the bilateral shift. The error goes to zero as the length of the finite cyclic shift goes to infinity.

We effectively do this in reverse: the bilateral shift (in this case, the standard generating unitary of the crossed product by \mathbb{Z})

The basic idea behind the proof of Lemma 6 is Berg's technique. It was originally used to prove that the direct sum of the bilateral shift and a finite cyclic shift is approximately unitarily equivalent, to within an error depending on the length of the finite cyclic shift, to the bilateral shift. The error goes to zero as the length of the finite cyclic shift goes to infinity.

We effectively do this in reverse: the bilateral shift (in this case, the standard generating unitary of the crossed product by \mathbb{Z}) can be approximated by something which has a (long) finite cyclic shift as a direct summand.

The basic idea behind the proof of Lemma 6 is Berg's technique. It was originally used to prove that the direct sum of the bilateral shift and a finite cyclic shift is approximately unitarily equivalent, to within an error depending on the length of the finite cyclic shift, to the bilateral shift. The error goes to zero as the length of the finite cyclic shift goes to infinity.

We effectively do this in reverse: the bilateral shift (in this case, the standard generating unitary of the crossed product by \mathbb{Z}) can be approximated by something which has a (long) finite cyclic shift as a direct summand.

In this proof, we apply Berg's technique "over" a small subset of the space X.

The basic idea behind the proof of Lemma 6 is Berg's technique. It was originally used to prove that the direct sum of the bilateral shift and a finite cyclic shift is approximately unitarily equivalent, to within an error depending on the length of the finite cyclic shift, to the bilateral shift. The error goes to zero as the length of the finite cyclic shift goes to infinity.

We effectively do this in reverse: the bilateral shift (in this case, the standard generating unitary of the crossed product by \mathbb{Z}) can be approximated by something which has a (long) finite cyclic shift as a direct summand.

In this proof, we apply Berg's technique "over" a small subset of the space X. For some other related results on crossed products, the methods of proof known so far require an application "over" subsets which fill up most of X.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The basic idea behind the proof of Lemma 6 is Berg's technique. It was originally used to prove that the direct sum of the bilateral shift and a finite cyclic shift is approximately unitarily equivalent, to within an error depending on the length of the finite cyclic shift, to the bilateral shift. The error goes to zero as the length of the finite cyclic shift goes to infinity.

We effectively do this in reverse: the bilateral shift (in this case, the standard generating unitary of the crossed product by \mathbb{Z}) can be approximated by something which has a (long) finite cyclic shift as a direct summand.

In this proof, we apply Berg's technique "over" a small subset of the space X. For some other related results on crossed products, the methods of proof known so far require an application "over" subsets which fill up most of X.

For actions of more general groups on compact metric spaces, to get the strongest expected results one needs a generalization or replacement,

3

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

The basic idea behind the proof of Lemma 6 is Berg's technique. It was originally used to prove that the direct sum of the bilateral shift and a finite cyclic shift is approximately unitarily equivalent, to within an error depending on the length of the finite cyclic shift, to the bilateral shift. The error goes to zero as the length of the finite cyclic shift goes to infinity.

We effectively do this in reverse: the bilateral shift (in this case, the standard generating unitary of the crossed product by \mathbb{Z}) can be approximated by something which has a (long) finite cyclic shift as a direct summand.

In this proof, we apply Berg's technique "over" a small subset of the space X. For some other related results on crossed products, the methods of proof known so far require an application "over" subsets which fill up most of X.

For actions of more general groups on compact metric spaces, to get the strongest expected results one needs a generalization or replacement, so far not found.

Let d be the metric on X.

∃ →

< 🗇 🕨 🔸

Let d be the metric on X.

Choose $N_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ so large that $4\pi/N_0 < \varepsilon$.

Let d be the metric on X.

Choose $N_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ so large that $4\pi/N_0 < \varepsilon$.

Choose $\delta_0 > 0$ with $\delta_0 < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ and so small that $d(x_1, x_2) < 4\delta_0$ implies $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$ for all $f \in F$.

Let d be the metric on X.

Choose $N_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ so large that $4\pi/N_0 < \varepsilon$.

Choose $\delta_0 > 0$ with $\delta_0 < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ and so small that $d(x_1, x_2) < 4\delta_0$ implies $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$ for all $f \in F$.

Choose $\delta > 0$ with $\delta \leq \delta_0$ and such that whenever $d(x_1, x_2) < \delta$ and $0 \leq k \leq N_0$, then $d(h^{-k}(x_1), h^{-k}(x_2)) < \delta_0$.

Let d be the metric on X.

Choose $N_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ so large that $4\pi/N_0 < \varepsilon$.

Choose $\delta_0 > 0$ with $\delta_0 < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ and so small that $d(x_1, x_2) < 4\delta_0$ implies $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$ for all $f \in F$.

Choose $\delta > 0$ with $\delta \leq \delta_0$ and such that whenever $d(x_1, x_2) < \delta$ and $0 \leq k \leq N_0$, then $d(h^{-k}(x_1), h^{-k}(x_2)) < \delta_0$.

Since h is minimal, there is $N > N_0 + 1$ such that $d(h^N(y), y) < \delta$.

Choose $N + N_0 + 1$ disjoint nonempty open subsets $U_{-N_0}, U_{-N_0+1}, \ldots, U_N \subset U.$

< /⊒ > <

3

Choose $N + N_0 + 1$ disjoint nonempty open subsets $U_{-N_0}, U_{-N_0+1}, \ldots, U_N \subset U$. Using minimality again, choose $r_{-N_0}, r_{-N_0+1}, \ldots, r_N \in \mathbb{Z}$

EN 4 EN

3

Choose $N + N_0 + 1$ disjoint nonempty open subsets $U_{-N_0}, U_{-N_0+1}, \ldots, U_N \subset U$. Using minimality again, choose $r_{-N_0}, r_{-N_0+1}, \ldots, r_N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h^{r_l}(y) \in U_l$ for $-N_0 \leq l \leq N$.

- 3

Choose $N + N_0 + 1$ disjoint nonempty open subsets $U_{-N_0}, U_{-N_0+1}, \ldots, U_N \subset U$. Using minimality again, choose $r_{-N_0}, r_{-N_0+1}, \ldots, r_N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h^{r_l}(y) \in U_l$ for $-N_0 \leq l \leq N$. Since his free, there is a compact open set $Y \subset X$ containing y such that

$$h^{-N_0}(Y), h^{-N_0+1}(Y), \ldots, Y, h(Y), \ldots, h^N(Y)$$

are disjoint and all have diameter less than δ .

Choose $N + N_0 + 1$ disjoint nonempty open subsets $U_{-N_0}, U_{-N_0+1}, \ldots, U_N \subset U$. Using minimality again, choose $r_{-N_0}, r_{-N_0+1}, \ldots, r_N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h^{r_l}(y) \in U_l$ for $-N_0 \leq l \leq N$. Since his free, there is a compact open set $Y \subset X$ containing y such that

$$h^{-N_0}(Y), h^{-N_0+1}(Y), \ldots, Y, h(Y), \ldots, h^N(Y)$$

are disjoint and all have diameter less than δ . We may also require that $h^{r_l}(Y) \subset U_l$ for $-N_0 \leq l \leq N$.

Choose $N + N_0 + 1$ disjoint nonempty open subsets $U_{-N_0}, U_{-N_0+1}, \ldots, U_N \subset U$. Using minimality again, choose $r_{-N_0}, r_{-N_0+1}, \ldots, r_N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h^{r_l}(y) \in U_l$ for $-N_0 \leq l \leq N$. Since his free, there is a compact open set $Y \subset X$ containing y such that

$$h^{-N_0}(Y), h^{-N_0+1}(Y), \ldots, Y, h(Y), \ldots, h^N(Y)$$

are disjoint and all have diameter less than δ . We may also require that $h^{r_l}(Y) \subset U_l$ for $-N_0 \leq l \leq N$.

Set $q_0 = \chi_Y$. For $-N_0 \le n \le N$ set

$$T_n = h^n(Y)$$
 and $q_n = u^n q_0 u^{-n} = \chi_{h^n(Y)}$.

Choose $N + N_0 + 1$ disjoint nonempty open subsets $U_{-N_0}, U_{-N_0+1}, \ldots, U_N \subset U$. Using minimality again, choose $r_{-N_0}, r_{-N_0+1}, \ldots, r_N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h^{r_l}(y) \in U_l$ for $-N_0 \leq l \leq N$. Since his free, there is a compact open set $Y \subset X$ containing y such that

$$h^{-N_0}(Y), h^{-N_0+1}(Y), \ldots, Y, h(Y), \ldots, h^N(Y)$$

are disjoint and all have diameter less than δ . We may also require that $h^{r_l}(Y) \subset U_l$ for $-N_0 \leq l \leq N$.

Set $q_0 = \chi_Y$. For $-N_0 \le n \le N$ set

$$T_n = h^n(Y)$$
 and $q_n = u^n q_0 u^{-n} = \chi_{h^n(Y)}$.

Then the q_n are mutually orthogonal projections in C(X).

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

We now have a sequence of projections, in principle going to infinity in both directions:

 $\ldots, q_{-N_0}, \ldots, q_{-1}, q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{N-N_0}, \ldots, q_{N-1}, q_N, \ldots$

We now have a sequence of projections, in principle going to infinity in both directions:

 $\ldots, q_{-N_0}, \ldots, q_{-1}, q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{N-N_0}, \ldots, q_{N-1}, q_N, \ldots$

The ones shown are orthogonal, and conjugation by u is the shift.

We now have a sequence of projections, in principle going to infinity in both directions:

 $\dots, q_{-N_0}, \dots, q_{-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{N-N_0}, \dots, q_{N-1}, q_N, \dots$

The ones shown are orthogonal, and conjugation by u is the shift. The projections q_0 and q_N are the characteristic functions of compact open sets which are disjoint but close to each other,

We now have a sequence of projections, in principle going to infinity in both directions:

 $\ldots, q_{-N_0}, \ldots, q_{-1}, q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{N-N_0}, \ldots, q_{N-1}, q_N, \ldots$

The ones shown are orthogonal, and conjugation by u is the shift. The projections q_0 and q_N are the characteristic functions of compact open sets which are disjoint but close to each other, and similarly for the pairs q_{-1} and q_{N-1} down to q_{-N_0} and q_{N-N_0} .

We now have a sequence of projections, in principle going to infinity in both directions:

 $\dots, q_{-N_0}, \dots, q_{-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{N-N_0}, \dots, q_{N-1}, q_N, \dots$

The ones shown are orthogonal, and conjugation by u is the shift. The projections q_0 and q_N are the characteristic functions of compact open sets which are disjoint but close to each other, and similarly for the pairs q_{-1} and q_{N-1} down to q_{-N_0} and q_{N-N_0} . We are now going to use Berg's technique to splice this sequence along the pairs of indices $(-N_0, N - N_0)$ through (0, N),

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

We now have a sequence of projections, in principle going to infinity in both directions:

 $\dots, q_{-N_0}, \dots, q_{-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{N-N_0}, \dots, q_{N-1}, q_N, \dots$

The ones shown are orthogonal, and conjugation by u is the shift. The projections q_0 and q_N are the characteristic functions of compact open sets which are disjoint but close to each other, and similarly for the pairs q_{-1} and q_{N-1} down to q_{-N_0} and q_{N-N_0} . We are now going to use Berg's technique to splice this sequence along the pairs of indices $(-N_0, N - N_0)$ through (0, N), obtaining a loop of length N on which conjugation by u is approximately the cyclic shift.

Lemma 5 provides a partial isometry $w \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ such that $w^*w = q_0$ and $ww^* = q_N$.

3

Lemma 5 provides a partial isometry $w \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ such that $w^*w = q_0$ and $ww^* = q_N$. For $t \in [0, 1]$ define

$$v(t) = \cos(\pi t/2)(q_0 + q_N) + \sin(\pi t/2)(w - w^*).$$

3

Lemma 5 provides a partial isometry $w \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ such that $w^*w = q_0$ and $ww^* = q_N$. For $t \in [0, 1]$ define

$$v(t) = \cos(\pi t/2)(q_0 + q_N) + \sin(\pi t/2)(w - w^*).$$

Then v(t) is a unitary in the corner

$$(q_0+q_N)C^*(\mathbb{Z},X,h)_Y(q_0+q_N)$$

Lemma 5 provides a partial isometry $w \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ such that $w^*w = q_0$ and $ww^* = q_N$. For $t \in [0, 1]$ define

$$v(t) = \cos(\pi t/2)(q_0 + q_N) + \sin(\pi t/2)(w - w^*).$$

Then v(t) is a unitary in the corner

$$(q_0+q_N)C^*(\mathbb{Z},X,h)_Y(q_0+q_N)$$

whose matrix with respect to the obvious block decomposition is

Lemma 5 provides a partial isometry $w \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ such that $w^*w = q_0$ and $ww^* = q_N$. For $t \in [0, 1]$ define

$$v(t) = \cos(\pi t/2)(q_0 + q_N) + \sin(\pi t/2)(w - w^*).$$

Then v(t) is a unitary in the corner

$$(q_0+q_N)C^*(\mathbb{Z},X,h)_Y(q_0+q_N)$$

whose matrix with respect to the obvious block decomposition is

$$v(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\pi t/2) & -\sin(\pi t/2) \\ \sin(\pi t/2) & \cos(\pi t/2) \end{pmatrix}$$
Lemma 5 provides a partial isometry $w \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ such that $w^*w = q_0$ and $ww^* = q_N$. For $t \in [0, 1]$ define

$$v(t) = \cos(\pi t/2)(q_0 + q_N) + \sin(\pi t/2)(w - w^*).$$

Then v(t) is a unitary in the corner

$$(q_0+q_N)C^*(\mathbb{Z},X,h)_Y(q_0+q_N)$$

whose matrix with respect to the obvious block decomposition is

$$v(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\pi t/2) & -\sin(\pi t/2) \\ \sin(\pi t/2) & \cos(\pi t/2) \end{pmatrix}$$

For $0 \leq k \leq N_0$ define $z_k = u^{-k} v(k/N_0) u^k$.

We claim that $z_k \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ for $0 \le k \le N_0$.

- 4 ⊒ →

< 🗗 🕨 🔸

We claim that $z_k \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ for $0 \le k \le N_0$. For k = 0 this is true by construction.

4 E b

We claim that $z_k \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ for $0 \le k \le N_0$. For k = 0 this is true by construction. For $1 \le k \le N_0$, with

$$a_k = q_0 u^k = (uq_{-1})(uq_{-2})\cdots(uq_{-k}) \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$$

E 6 4 E 6

We claim that $z_k \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ for $0 \le k \le N_0$. For k = 0 this is true by construction. For $1 \le k \le N_0$, with

$$a_k = q_0 u^k = (uq_{-1})(uq_{-2}) \cdots (uq_{-k}) \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$$

and

$$b_k = q_N u^k = (uq_{N-1})(uq_{N-2})\cdots(uq_{N-k}) \in C^*(\mathbb{Z},X,h)_Y$$
 (because $N_0 < N$),

We claim that $z_k \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ for $0 \le k \le N_0$. For k = 0 this is true by construction. For $1 \le k \le N_0$, with

$$a_k = q_0 u^k = (uq_{-1})(uq_{-2}) \cdots (uq_{-k}) \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$$

and

$$b_k = q_N u^k = (uq_{N-1})(uq_{N-2})\cdots(uq_{N-k}) \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$$

(because $N_0 < N$), and using $T_{-k} \cap T_{N-k} = T_0 \cap T_N = \emptyset$,

We claim that $z_k \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ for $0 \le k \le N_0$. For k = 0 this is true by construction. For $1 \le k \le N_0$, with

$$a_k = q_0 u^k = (uq_{-1})(uq_{-2}) \cdots (uq_{-k}) \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$$

and

$$b_k = q_N u^k = (uq_{N-1})(uq_{N-2})\cdots(uq_{N-k}) \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$$

(because $N_0 < N$), and using $T_{-k} \cap T_{N-k} = T_0 \cap T_N = \emptyset$, we can write

$$z_k = (a_k + b_k)^* v(k/N_0)(a_k + b_k) \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y.$$

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

We claim that $z_k \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ for $0 \le k \le N_0$. For k = 0 this is true by construction. For $1 \le k \le N_0$, with

$$a_k = q_0 u^k = (uq_{-1})(uq_{-2}) \cdots (uq_{-k}) \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$$

and

$$b_k = q_N u^k = (uq_{N-1})(uq_{N-2})\cdots(uq_{N-k}) \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$$

(because $N_0 < N$), and using $T_{-k} \cap T_{N-k} = T_0 \cap T_N = \emptyset$, we can write

$$z_k=(a_k+b_k)^*v(k/N_0)(a_k+b_k)\in C^*(\mathbb{Z},X,h)_Y.$$

Therefore z_k is a unitary in the corner

$$(q_{-k}+q_{N-k})C^*(\mathbb{Z},X,h)_Y(q_{-k}+q_{N-k}).$$

53 / 70

Moreover, adding estimates on the differences of the matrix entries at the second step,

54 / 70

Moreover, adding estimates on the differences of the matrix entries at the second step,

$$\|uz_{k+1}u^* - z_k\| = \|v(k/N_0) - v((k-1)/N_0)\| \le 2\pi/N_0 < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

Moreover, adding estimates on the differences of the matrix entries at the second step,

$$\|uz_{k+1}u^* - z_k\| = \|v(k/N_0) - v((k-1)/N_0)\| \le 2\pi/N_0 < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

Now define $e_n = q_n$ for $0 \le n \le N - N_0$,

Moreover, adding estimates on the differences of the matrix entries at the second step,

$$\|uz_{k+1}u^* - z_k\| = \|v(k/N_0) - v((k-1)/N_0)\| \le 2\pi/N_0 < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

Now define $e_n = q_n$ for $0 \le n \le N - N_0$, and for $N - N_0 \le n \le N$ write k = N - n and set $e_n = z_k q_{-k} z_k^*$.

Moreover, adding estimates on the differences of the matrix entries at the second step,

$$\|uz_{k+1}u^* - z_k\| = \|v(k/N_0) - v((k-1)/N_0)\| \le 2\pi/N_0 < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

Now define $e_n = q_n$ for $0 \le n \le N - N_0$, and for $N - N_0 \le n \le N$ write k = N - n and set $e_n = z_k q_{-k} z_k^*$. The two definitions for $n = N - N_0$ agree because $z_{N_0} q_{-N_0} z_{N_0}^* = q_{N-N_0}$,

Moreover, adding estimates on the differences of the matrix entries at the second step,

$$\|uz_{k+1}u^* - z_k\| = \|v(k/N_0) - v((k-1)/N_0)\| \le 2\pi/N_0 < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

Now define $e_n = q_n$ for $0 \le n \le N - N_0$, and for $N - N_0 \le n \le N$ write k = N - n and set $e_n = z_k q_{-k} z_k^*$. The two definitions for $n = N - N_0$ agree because $z_{N_0} q_{-N_0} z_{N_0}^* = q_{N-N_0}$, and moreover $e_N = e_0$.

Moreover, adding estimates on the differences of the matrix entries at the second step,

$$\|uz_{k+1}u^* - z_k\| = \|v(k/N_0) - v((k-1)/N_0)\| \le 2\pi/N_0 < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

Now define $e_n = q_n$ for $0 \le n \le N - N_0$, and for $N - N_0 \le n \le N$ write k = N - n and set $e_n = z_k q_{-k} z_k^*$. The two definitions for $n = N - N_0$ agree because $z_{N_0} q_{-N_0} z_{N_0}^* = q_{N-N_0}$, and moreover $e_N = e_0$. Therefore $ue_{n-1}u^* = e_n$ for $1 \le n \le N - N_0$, and also $ue_N u^* = e_1$,

54 / 70

Moreover, adding estimates on the differences of the matrix entries at the second step,

$$||uz_{k+1}u^* - z_k|| = ||v(k/N_0) - v((k-1)/N_0)|| \le 2\pi/N_0 < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

Now define $e_n = q_n$ for $0 \le n \le N - N_0$, and for $N - N_0 \le n \le N$ write k = N - n and set $e_n = z_k q_{-k} z_k^*$. The two definitions for $n = N - N_0$ agree because $z_{N_0} q_{-N_0} z_{N_0}^* = q_{N-N_0}$, and moreover $e_N = e_0$. Therefore $ue_{n-1}u^* = e_n$ for $1 \le n \le N - N_0$, and also $ue_N u^* = e_1$, while for $N - N_0 < n \le N$ we have

$$||ue_{n-1}u^* - e_n|| \le 2||uz_{N-n+1}u^* - z_{N-n}|| < \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, adding estimates on the differences of the matrix entries at the second step,

$$\|uz_{k+1}u^* - z_k\| = \|v(k/N_0) - v((k-1)/N_0)\| \le 2\pi/N_0 < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

Now define $e_n = q_n$ for $0 \le n \le N - N_0$, and for $N - N_0 \le n \le N$ write k = N - n and set $e_n = z_k q_{-k} z_k^*$. The two definitions for $n = N - N_0$ agree because $z_{N_0} q_{-N_0} z_{N_0}^* = q_{N-N_0}$, and moreover $e_N = e_0$. Therefore $ue_{n-1}u^* = e_n$ for $1 \le n \le N - N_0$, and also $ue_N u^* = e_1$, while for $N - N_0 < n \le N$ we have

$$||ue_{n-1}u^* - e_n|| \le 2||uz_{N-n+1}u^* - z_{N-n}|| < \varepsilon.$$

Also, clearly $e_n \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ for all n.

Set
$$e = \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n$$
 and $p = 1 - e$.

A B A B A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

→ Ξ →

3

55 / 70

Set $e = \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n$ and p = 1 - e. We verify that p satisfies (1) through (3):

$$||pa-ap|| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F \cup \{u\}.$$

② $pap \in pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p$ for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

So There is a compact open set $Z \subset U$ such that $1 - p \preceq \chi_Z$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

B 🖌 🖌 B 🖒 🛛 B

Set $e = \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n$ and p = 1 - e. We verify that p satisfies (1) through (3):

$$||pa - ap|| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F \cup \{u\}.$$

② $pap \in pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p$ for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

● There is a compact open set $Z \subset U$ such that $1 - p \preccurlyeq \chi_Z$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

First,

$$p - upu^* = ueu^* - e = \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{N} (ue_{n-1}u^* - e_n).$$

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Set $e = \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n$ and p = 1 - e. We verify that p satisfies (1) through (3):

$$||pa - ap|| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F \cup \{u\}.$$

② $pap \in pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p$ for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

● There is a compact open set $Z \subset U$ such that $1 - p \preccurlyeq \chi_Z$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

First,

$$p - upu^* = ueu^* - e = \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{N} (ue_{n-1}u^* - e_n).$$

The terms in the sum are orthogonal and have norm less than ε ,

55 / 70

Set $e = \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n$ and p = 1 - e. We verify that p satisfies (1) through (3):

$$||pa - ap|| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F \cup \{u\}.$$

② $pap \in pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p$ for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

● There is a compact open set $Z \subset U$ such that $1 - p \preccurlyeq \chi_Z$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

First,

$$p - upu^* = ueu^* - e = \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{N} (ue_{n-1}u^* - e_n).$$

The terms in the sum are orthogonal and have norm less than ε , so $\|upu^* - p\| < \varepsilon$.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Set $e = \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n$ and p = 1 - e. We verify that p satisfies (1) through (3):

$$||pa - ap|| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F \cup \{u\}.$$

② $pap \in pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p$ for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

So There is a compact open set $Z \subset U$ such that $1 - p \preceq \chi_Z$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

First,

$$p - upu^* = ueu^* - e = \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{N} (ue_{n-1}u^* - e_n).$$

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

55 / 70

The terms in the sum are orthogonal and have norm less than ε , so $\|upu^* - p\| < \varepsilon$. Furthermore, since $p \le 1 - q_0 = 1 - \chi_Y$,

Set $e = \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n$ and p = 1 - e. We verify that p satisfies (1) through (3):

$$||pa - ap|| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F \cup \{u\}.$$

② $pap \in pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p$ for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

● There is a compact open set $Z \subset U$ such that $1 - p \preccurlyeq \chi_Z$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

First,

$$p - upu^* = ueu^* - e = \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{N} (ue_{n-1}u^* - e_n).$$

The terms in the sum are orthogonal and have norm less than ε , so $\|upu^* - p\| < \varepsilon$. Furthermore, since $p \le 1 - q_0 = 1 - \chi_Y$, we get $pup \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$.

・何・ ・ヨ・ ・ヨ・ ・ヨ

Set $e = \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n$ and p = 1 - e. We verify that p satisfies (1) through (3):

$$||pa - ap|| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F \cup \{u\}.$$

② $pap \in pC^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y p$ for all $a \in F \cup \{u\}$.

So There is a compact open set $Z \subset U$ such that $1 - p \preceq \chi_Z$ in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

First,

$$p - upu^* = ueu^* - e = \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{N} (ue_{n-1}u^* - e_n).$$

The terms in the sum are orthogonal and have norm less than ε , so $||upu^* - p|| < \varepsilon$. Furthermore, since $p \le 1 - q_0 = 1 - \chi_Y$, we get $pup \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$. This is (1) and (2) for the element $u \in F \cup \{u\}$.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Next, let $f \in F$.

3

< 🗇 🕨 🔸

→ Ξ →

Next, let $f \in F$. The sets T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_N all have diameter less than δ .

Next, let $f \in F$. The sets T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_N all have diameter less than δ . We have $d(h^N(y), y) < \delta$, so the choice of δ implies that $d(h^n(y), h^{n-N}(y)) < \delta_0$ for $N - N_0 \le n \le N$.

Next, let $f \in F$. The sets T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_N all have diameter less than δ . We have $d(h^N(y), y) < \delta$, so the choice of δ implies that $d(h^n(y), h^{n-N}(y)) < \delta_0$ for $N - N_0 \le n \le N$. Also, $T_{n-N} = h^{n-N}(T_0)$ has diameter less than δ .

Next, let $f \in F$. The sets T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_N all have diameter less than δ . We have $d(h^N(y), y) < \delta$, so the choice of δ implies that $d(h^n(y), h^{n-N}(y)) < \delta_0$ for $N - N_0 \le n \le N$. Also, $T_{n-N} = h^{n-N}(T_0)$ has diameter less than δ . Therefore $T_{n-N} \cup T_n$ has diameter less than $2\delta + \delta_0 \le 3\delta_0$.

Next, let $f \in F$. The sets T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_N all have diameter less than δ . We have $d(h^N(y), y) < \delta$, so the choice of δ implies that $d(h^n(y), h^{n-N}(y)) < \delta_0$ for $N - N_0 \le n \le N$. Also, $T_{n-N} = h^{n-N}(T_0)$ has diameter less than δ . Therefore $T_{n-N} \cup T_n$ has diameter less than $2\delta + \delta_0 \le 3\delta_0$. Since f varies by at most $\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$ on any set with diameter less than $4\delta_0$,

Next, let $f \in F$. The sets T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_N all have diameter less than δ . We have $d(h^N(y), y) < \delta$, so the choice of δ implies that $d(h^n(y), h^{n-N}(y)) < \delta_0$ for $N - N_0 \le n \le N$. Also, $T_{n-N} = h^{n-N}(T_0)$ has diameter less than δ . Therefore $T_{n-N} \cup T_n$ has diameter less than $2\delta + \delta_0 \le 3\delta_0$. Since f varies by at most $\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$ on any set with diameter less than $4\delta_0$, and since the sets

$$S_{1} = T_{1}, \ S_{2} = T_{2}, \ \dots, \ S_{N-N_{0}-1} = T_{N-N_{0}-1},$$
$$S_{N-N_{0}} = T_{N-N_{0}} \cup T_{-N_{0}}, \ S_{N-N_{0}+1} = T_{N-N_{0}+1} \cup T_{-N_{0}+1}, \ \dots,$$
$$\dots, \ S_{N} = T_{N} \cup T_{0}$$

are disjoint,

56 / 70

Next, let $f \in F$. The sets T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_N all have diameter less than δ . We have $d(h^N(y), y) < \delta$, so the choice of δ implies that $d(h^n(y), h^{n-N}(y)) < \delta_0$ for $N - N_0 \le n \le N$. Also, $T_{n-N} = h^{n-N}(T_0)$ has diameter less than δ . Therefore $T_{n-N} \cup T_n$ has diameter less than $2\delta + \delta_0 \le 3\delta_0$. Since f varies by at most $\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$ on any set with diameter less than $4\delta_0$, and since the sets

$$S_{1} = T_{1}, \ S_{2} = T_{2}, \ \dots, \ S_{N-N_{0}-1} = T_{N-N_{0}-1},$$
$$S_{N-N_{0}} = T_{N-N_{0}} \cup T_{-N_{0}}, \ S_{N-N_{0}+1} = T_{N-N_{0}+1} \cup T_{-N_{0}+1}, \ \dots,$$
$$\dots, \ S_{N} = T_{N} \cup T_{0}$$

are disjoint, there is $g \in C(X)$ which is constant on each of these sets

Next, let $f \in F$. The sets T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_N all have diameter less than δ . We have $d(h^N(y), y) < \delta$, so the choice of δ implies that $d(h^n(y), h^{n-N}(y)) < \delta_0$ for $N - N_0 \le n \le N$. Also, $T_{n-N} = h^{n-N}(T_0)$ has diameter less than δ . Therefore $T_{n-N} \cup T_n$ has diameter less than $2\delta + \delta_0 \le 3\delta_0$. Since f varies by at most $\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$ on any set with diameter less than $4\delta_0$, and since the sets

$$S_{1} = T_{1}, S_{2} = T_{2}, \dots, S_{N-N_{0}-1} = T_{N-N_{0}-1},$$
$$S_{N-N_{0}} = T_{N-N_{0}} \cup T_{-N_{0}}, S_{N-N_{0}+1} = T_{N-N_{0}+1} \cup T_{-N_{0}+1}, \dots,$$
$$\dots, S_{N} = T_{N} \cup T_{0}$$

are disjoint, there is $g \in C(X)$ which is constant on each of these sets and satisfies $||f - g|| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$.

Let the values of g on these sets be λ_1 on S_1 through λ_N on S_N .

Let the values of g on these sets be λ_1 on S_1 through λ_N on S_N . Then $ge_n = e_ng = \lambda_n e_n$ for $0 \le n \le N - N_0$.
Let the values of g on these sets be λ_1 on S_1 through λ_N on S_N . Then $ge_n = e_ng = \lambda_n e_n$ for $0 \le n \le N - N_0$. For $N - N_0 < n \le N$ we use $e_n \in (q_{n-N} + q_n)C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y(q_{n-N} + q_n)$ to get,

$$ge_n = g(q_{n-N}+q_n)e_n = \lambda_n(q_{n-N}+q_n)e_n = e_n(q_{n-N}+q_n)g = e_ng.$$

Let the values of g on these sets be λ_1 on S_1 through λ_N on S_N . Then $ge_n = e_ng = \lambda_n e_n$ for $0 \le n \le N - N_0$. For $N - N_0 < n \le N$ we use $e_n \in (q_{n-N} + q_n)C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y(q_{n-N} + q_n)$ to get,

$$ge_n = g(q_{n-N}+q_n)e_n = \lambda_n(q_{n-N}+q_n)e_n = e_n(q_{n-N}+q_n)g = e_ng.$$

Since $||f - g|| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ and ge = eg, it follows that

$$\|pf - fp\| = \|fe - ef\| < \varepsilon.$$

Let the values of g on these sets be λ_1 on S_1 through λ_N on S_N . Then $ge_n = e_ng = \lambda_n e_n$ for $0 \le n \le N - N_0$. For $N - N_0 < n \le N$ we use $e_n \in (q_{n-N} + q_n)C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y(q_{n-N} + q_n)$ to get,

$$ge_n = g(q_{n-N}+q_n)e_n = \lambda_n(q_{n-N}+q_n)e_n = e_n(q_{n-N}+q_n)g = e_ng.$$

Since $||f - g|| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ and ge = eg, it follows that

$$\|pf - fp\| = \|fe - ef\| < \varepsilon.$$

This is (1) for f.

Let the values of g on these sets be λ_1 on S_1 through λ_N on S_N . Then $ge_n = e_ng = \lambda_n e_n$ for $0 \le n \le N - N_0$. For $N - N_0 < n \le N$ we use $e_n \in (q_{n-N} + q_n)C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y(q_{n-N} + q_n)$ to get,

$$ge_n = g(q_{n-N}+q_n)e_n = \lambda_n(q_{n-N}+q_n)e_n = e_n(q_{n-N}+q_n)g = e_ng.$$

Since $||f - g|| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ and ge = eg, it follows that

$$\|pf - fp\| = \|fe - ef\| < \varepsilon.$$

This is (1) for f. That $pfp \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ follows from the fact that f and p are in this subalgebra.

Let the values of g on these sets be λ_1 on S_1 through λ_N on S_N . Then $ge_n = e_ng = \lambda_n e_n$ for $0 \le n \le N - N_0$. For $N - N_0 < n \le N$ we use $e_n \in (q_{n-N} + q_n)C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y(q_{n-N} + q_n)$ to get,

$$ge_n = g(q_{n-N}+q_n)e_n = \lambda_n(q_{n-N}+q_n)e_n = e_n(q_{n-N}+q_n)g = e_ng.$$

Since $||f - g|| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ and ge = eg, it follows that

$$\|pf - fp\| = \|fe - ef\| < \varepsilon.$$

This is (1) for f. That $pfp \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ follows from the fact that f and p are in this subalgebra. So we also have (2) for f.

It remains only to verify (3).

H 5

< 行

It remains only to verify (3). Using $h^{r_l}(Y) \subset U_l$ for $-N_0 \leq l \leq N$ at the third step,

3

It remains only to verify (3). Using $h^{r_l}(Y) \subset U_l$ for $-N_0 \leq l \leq N$ at the third step, and with $Z = \bigcup_{l=-N_0}^{N} h^{r_l}(Y) \subset U$,

It remains only to verify (3). Using $h^{r_l}(Y) \subset U_l$ for $-N_0 \leq l \leq N$ at the third step, and with $Z = \bigcup_{l=-N_0}^{N} h^{r_l}(Y) \subset U$, we get (with Murray-von Neumann equivalence in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$)

It remains only to verify (3). Using $h^{r_l}(Y) \subset U_l$ for $-N_0 \leq l \leq N$ at the third step, and with $Z = \bigcup_{l=-N_0}^{N} h^{r_l}(Y) \subset U$, we get (with Murray-von Neumann equivalence in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$)

$$1-p=e\leq \sum_{l=-N_0}^N q_l\sim \sum_{l=-N_0}^N \chi_{h^{r_l}(Y)}=\chi_Z.$$

It remains only to verify (3). Using $h^{r_l}(Y) \subset U_l$ for $-N_0 \leq l \leq N$ at the third step, and with $Z = \bigcup_{l=-N_0}^{N} h^{r_l}(Y) \subset U$, we get (with Murray-von Neumann equivalence in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$)

$$1-p=e\leq \sum_{l=-N_0}^N q_l\sim \sum_{l=-N_0}^N \chi_{h^{r_l}(Y)}=\chi_Z.$$

This completes the proof.

In the general case, one major complication is that one can't choose the Rokhlin towers to consist of compact open sets.

In the general case, one major complication is that one can't choose the Rokhlin towers to consist of compact open sets. It turns out that one must take Y to be closed with nonempty interior,

In the general case, one major complication is that one can't choose the Rokhlin towers to consist of compact open sets. It turns out that one must take Y to be closed with nonempty interior, and replace the sets Y_k by their closures.

In the general case, one major complication is that one can't choose the Rokhlin towers to consist of compact open sets. It turns out that one must take Y to be closed with nonempty interior, and replace the sets Y_k by their closures. Then they are no longer disjoint.

In the general case, one major complication is that one can't choose the Rokhlin towers to consist of compact open sets. It turns out that one must take Y to be closed with nonempty interior, and replace the sets Y_k by their closures. Then they are no longer disjoint. The algebra $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is now a very complicated subalgebra of $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{l} M_{n(k)} \otimes C(Y_k)$.

In the general case, one major complication is that one can't choose the Rokhlin towers to consist of compact open sets. It turns out that one must take Y to be closed with nonempty interior, and replace the sets Y_k by their closures. Then they are no longer disjoint. The algebra $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is now a very complicated subalgebra of $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{l} M_{n(k)} \otimes C(Y_k)$. It is what is known as a "recursive subhomogeneous algebra".

In the general case, one major complication is that one can't choose the Rokhlin towers to consist of compact open sets. It turns out that one must take Y to be closed with nonempty interior, and replace the sets Y_k by their closures. Then they are no longer disjoint. The algebra $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is now a very complicated subalgebra of $\bigoplus_{k=0}^l M_{n(k)} \otimes C(Y_k)$. It is what is known as a "recursive subhomogeneous algebra". Such algebras are generally not AF, and may have few or no nontrivial projections.

In the general case, one major complication is that one can't choose the Rokhlin towers to consist of compact open sets. It turns out that one must take Y to be closed with nonempty interior, and replace the sets Y_k by their closures. Then they are no longer disjoint. The algebra $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is now a very complicated subalgebra of $\bigoplus_{k=0}^l M_{n(k)} \otimes C(Y_k)$. It is what is known as a "recursive subhomogeneous algebra". Such algebras are generally not AF, and may have few or no nontrivial projections. The hypothesis on the range of ρ

In the general case, one major complication is that one can't choose the Rokhlin towers to consist of compact open sets. It turns out that one must take Y to be closed with nonempty interior, and replace the sets Y_k by their closures. Then they are no longer disjoint. The algebra $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is now a very complicated subalgebra of $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{l} M_{n(k)} \otimes C(Y_k)$. It is what is known as a "recursive subhomogeneous algebra". Such algebras are generally not AF, and may have few or no nontrivial projections. The hypothesis on the range of ρ (which was not used above, although it is automatic when X is the Cantor set)

In the general case, one major complication is that one can't choose the Rokhlin towers to consist of compact open sets. It turns out that one must take Y to be closed with nonempty interior, and replace the sets Y_k by their closures. Then they are no longer disjoint. The algebra $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is now a very complicated subalgebra of $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{l} M_{n(k)} \otimes C(Y_k)$. It is what is known as a "recursive subhomogeneous" algebra". Such algebras are generally not AF, and may have few or no nontrivial projections. The hypothesis on the range of ρ (which was not used above, although it is automatic when X is the Cantor set) must be used to produce sufficiently many nonzero projections and approximating finite dimensional subalgebras.

Here are a few more details.

Here are a few more details. The algebra $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is not AF. So we choose closed sets Y_n with

$$Y_0 \supset Y_1 \supset Y_2 \supset \cdots$$
 and $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n = \{y_0\}$

for a suitable $y_0 \in X$, and such that $int(Y_n) \neq \emptyset$ for all n.

Here are a few more details. The algebra $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ is not AF. So we choose closed sets Y_n with

$$Y_0 \supset Y_1 \supset Y_2 \supset \cdots$$
 and $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n = \{y_0\}$

for a suitable $y_0 \in X$, and such that $int(Y_n) \neq \emptyset$ for all n. Then

$$C^*(\mathbb{Z},X,h)_{Y_0} \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z},X,h)_{Y_1} \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z},X,h)_{Y_2} \subset \cdots$$

and

$$\overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} C^*(\mathbb{Z},X,h)_{Y_n}} = C^*(\mathbb{Z},X,h)_{\{y_0\}}.$$

$C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y_0\}}$ is simple and has the same tracial states and K_0 -group as $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

 $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y_0\}}$ is simple and has the same tracial states and K_0 -group as $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. (These facts require proof. The one about K_0 is hard, but had already been done by Putnam.)

 $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y_0\}}$ is simple and has the same tracial states and K_0 -group as $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. (These facts require proof. The one about K_0 is hard, but had already been done by Putnam.) Using this, and some results on dymanics (essentially "mean dimension zero"),

 $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y_0\}}$ is simple and has the same tracial states and K_0 -group as $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. (These facts require proof. The one about K_0 is hard, but had already been done by Putnam.) Using this, and some results on dymanics (essentially "mean dimension zero"), the theory of direct limits of recursive subhomogeneous algebras can be used to prove that

 $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y_0\}}$ is simple and has the same tracial states and K_0 -group as $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. (These facts require proof. The one about K_0 is hard, but had already been done by Putnam.) Using this, and some results on dymanics (essentially "mean dimension zero"), the theory of direct limits of recursive subhomogeneous algebras can be used to prove that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y_0\}}$ has tracial rank zero.

 $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y_0\}}$ is simple and has the same tracial states and K_0 -group as $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. (These facts require proof. The one about K_0 is hard, but had already been done by Putnam.) Using this, and some results on dymanics (essentially "mean dimension zero"), the theory of direct limits of recursive subhomogeneous algebras can be used to prove that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y_0\}}$ has tracial rank zero. This turns out to be a sufficient substitute for $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_Y$ being an AF algebra.

We describe the proof of one of the pieces needed.

62 / 70

We describe the proof of one of the pieces needed.

Lemma

Let X be an infinite compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$.

We describe the proof of one of the pieces needed.

Lemma

Let X be an infinite compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$. Then the restriction map $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)) \to T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}})$ is a bijection.

We describe the proof of one of the pieces needed.

Lemma

Let X be an infinite compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$. Then the restriction map $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)) \to T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}})$ is a bijection.

The proof follows from the following two results.

We describe the proof of one of the pieces needed.

Lemma

Let X be an infinite compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$. Then the restriction map $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)) \to T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}})$ is a bijection.

The proof follows from the following two results. The first is well known, and holds in much greater generality.

We describe the proof of one of the pieces needed.

Lemma

Let X be an infinite compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$. Then the restriction map $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)) \to T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}})$ is a bijection.

The proof follows from the following two results. The first is well known, and holds in much greater generality. The proofs are roughly the same, but the proof of the second is more complicated, since the algebra is smaller.

62 / 70
Proposition

Let X be an infinite compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism.

Proposition

Let X be an infinite compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Then the restriction map $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)) \to T(C(X))$

Proposition

Let X be an infinite compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Then the restriction map $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)) \to T(C(X))$ is a bijection from $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h))$ to the set of *h*-invariant Borel probability measures on X.

Proposition

Let X be an infinite compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Then the restriction map $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)) \to T(C(X))$ is a bijection from $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h))$ to the set of *h*-invariant Borel probability measures on X. Moreover, if $E: C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h) \to C(X)$ is the standard conditional expectation, and μ is an *h*-invariant Borel probability measure on X,

63 / 70

Proposition

Let X be an infinite compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Then the restriction map $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)) \to T(C(X))$ is a bijection from $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h))$ to the set of *h*-invariant Borel probability measures on X. Moreover, if $E: C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h) \to C(X)$ is the standard conditional expectation, and μ is an *h*-invariant Borel probability measure on X, then the tracial state τ_{μ} on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ which restricts to μ

63 / 70

Proposition

Let X be an infinite compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Then the restriction map $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)) \to T(C(X))$ is a bijection from $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h))$ to the set of *h*-invariant Borel probability measures on X. Moreover, if $E: C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h) \to C(X)$ is the standard conditional expectation, and μ is an *h*-invariant Borel probability measure on X, then the tracial state τ_{μ} on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ which restricts to μ is given by the formula $\tau_{\mu}(a) = \int_X E(a) d\mu$ for $a \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

It is immediate that τ_{μ} is positive, and that $\tau_{\mu}(1) = 1$. So τ_{μ} is a state.

64 / 70

It is immediate that τ_{μ} is positive, and that $\tau_{\mu}(1) = 1$. So τ_{μ} is a state.

We prove $\tau_{\mu}(ab) = \tau_{\mu}(ba)$ for all $a, b \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

3

It is immediate that au_{μ} is positive, and that $au_{\mu}(1) = 1$. So au_{μ} is a state.

We prove $\tau_{\mu}(ab) = \tau_{\mu}(ba)$ for all $a, b \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. Since τ_{μ} is continuous, and since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is the closed linear span of all elements fu^m with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

It is immediate that au_{μ} is positive, and that $au_{\mu}(1) = 1$. So au_{μ} is a state.

We prove $\tau_{\mu}(ab) = \tau_{\mu}(ba)$ for all $a, b \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. Since τ_{μ} is continuous, and since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is the closed linear span of all elements fu^m with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to prove $\tau_{\mu}((fu^m)(gu^n)) = \tau_{\mu}((gu^n)(fu^m))$ for all $f, g \in C(X)$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It is immediate that au_{μ} is positive, and that $au_{\mu}(1) = 1$. So au_{μ} is a state.

We prove $\tau_{\mu}(ab) = \tau_{\mu}(ba)$ for all $a, b \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. Since τ_{μ} is continuous, and since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is the closed linear span of all elements fu^m with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to prove $\tau_{\mu}((fu^m)(gu^n)) = \tau_{\mu}((gu^n)(fu^m))$ for all $f, g \in C(X)$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $n \neq -m$, we have

$$\tau_{\mu}\big((fu^m)(gu^n)\big)=\tau_{\mu}\big((gu^n)(fu^m)\big)=0,$$

It is immediate that au_{μ} is positive, and that $au_{\mu}(1) = 1$. So au_{μ} is a state.

We prove $\tau_{\mu}(ab) = \tau_{\mu}(ba)$ for all $a, b \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. Since τ_{μ} is continuous, and since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is the closed linear span of all elements fu^m with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to prove $\tau_{\mu}((fu^m)(gu^n)) = \tau_{\mu}((gu^n)(fu^m))$ for all $f, g \in C(X)$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $n \neq -m$, we have

$$\tau_{\mu}\big((fu^m)(gu^n)\big)=\tau_{\mu}\big((gu^n)(fu^m)\big)=0,$$

while for n = -m, we have, using *h*-invariance of μ at the second step,

It is immediate that au_{μ} is positive, and that $au_{\mu}(1) = 1$. So au_{μ} is a state.

We prove $\tau_{\mu}(ab) = \tau_{\mu}(ba)$ for all $a, b \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. Since τ_{μ} is continuous, and since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is the closed linear span of all elements fu^m with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to prove $\tau_{\mu}((fu^m)(gu^n)) = \tau_{\mu}((gu^n)(fu^m))$ for all $f, g \in C(X)$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $n \neq -m$, we have

$$\tau_{\mu}\big((fu^m)(gu^n)\big)=\tau_{\mu}\big((gu^n)(fu^m)\big)=0,$$

while for n = -m, we have, using *h*-invariance of μ at the second step,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mu}\big((fu^{m})(gu^{n})\big) &= \tau_{\mu}\big(f(u^{m}gu^{-m})\big) = \int_{X} f\big(g \circ h^{-m}\big) d\mu \\ &= \int_{X} \big(f \circ h^{m}\big)g \, d\mu = \tau_{\mu}\big(g(u^{-m}fu^{m})\big) = \tau_{\mu}\big((gu^{n})(fu^{m})\big). \end{aligned}$$

64 / 70

It is immediate that au_{μ} is positive, and that $au_{\mu}(1) = 1$. So au_{μ} is a state.

We prove $\tau_{\mu}(ab) = \tau_{\mu}(ba)$ for all $a, b \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. Since τ_{μ} is continuous, and since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is the closed linear span of all elements fu^m with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to prove $\tau_{\mu}((fu^m)(gu^n)) = \tau_{\mu}((gu^n)(fu^m))$ for all $f, g \in C(X)$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $n \neq -m$, we have

$$\tau_{\mu}\big((fu^m)(gu^n)\big)=\tau_{\mu}\big((gu^n)(fu^m)\big)=0,$$

while for n = -m, we have, using *h*-invariance of μ at the second step,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mu}\big((fu^{m})(gu^{n})\big) &= \tau_{\mu}\big(f(u^{m}gu^{-m})\big) = \int_{X} f\big(g \circ h^{-m}\big) d\mu \\ &= \int_{X} \big(f \circ h^{m}\big)g \, d\mu = \tau_{\mu}\big(g(u^{-m}fu^{m})\big) = \tau_{\mu}\big((gu^{n})(fu^{m})\big). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof that τ_{μ} is a tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

Now let τ be any tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$.

Now let τ be any tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. Let μ be the Borel probability measure on X determined by $\tau(f) = \int_X f d\mu$ for $f \in C(X)$.

Now let τ be any tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. Let μ be the Borel probability measure on X determined by $\tau(f) = \int_X f \, d\mu$ for $f \in C(X)$. We complete the proof by showing that μ is *h*-invariant, and that $\tau = \tau_{\mu}$.

Now let τ be any tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. Let μ be the Borel probability measure on X determined by $\tau(f) = \int_X f \, d\mu$ for $f \in C(X)$. We complete the proof by showing that μ is *h*-invariant, and that $\tau = \tau_{\mu}$.

For the first, for every $f \in C(X)$, we use the trace property at the second step to get

Now let τ be any tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. Let μ be the Borel probability measure on X determined by $\tau(f) = \int_X f \, d\mu$ for $f \in C(X)$. We complete the proof by showing that μ is *h*-invariant, and that $\tau = \tau_{\mu}$.

For the first, for every $f \in C(X)$, we use the trace property at the second step to get

$$\int_X (f \circ h^{-1}) d\mu = \tau(ufu^*) = \tau(u^*(uf)) = \tau(f) = \int_X f d\mu.$$

Now let τ be any tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$. Let μ be the Borel probability measure on X determined by $\tau(f) = \int_X f \, d\mu$ for $f \in C(X)$. We complete the proof by showing that μ is *h*-invariant, and that $\tau = \tau_{\mu}$.

For the first, for every $f \in C(X)$, we use the trace property at the second step to get

$$\int_X (f \circ h^{-1}) d\mu = \tau(ufu^*) = \tau(u^*(uf)) = \tau(f) = \int_X f d\mu.$$

Since $f \in C(X)$ is arbitrary, it follows that μ is *h*-invariant.

65 / 70

For the second, since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is the closed linear span of all elements fu^n with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

For the second, since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is the closed linear span of all elements fu^n with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to prove $\tau(fu^n) = 0$ for $f \in C(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

For the second, since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is the closed linear span of all elements fu^n with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to prove $\tau(fu^n) = 0$ for $f \in C(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Since h^n has no fixed points, there is an open cover of X consisting of sets U such that $h^n(U) \cap U = \emptyset$.

For the second, since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is the closed linear span of all elements fu^n with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to prove $\tau(fu^n) = 0$ for $f \in C(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Since h^n has no fixed points, there is an open cover of X consisting of sets U such that $h^n(U) \cap U = \emptyset$. Choose $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m \in C(X)$ which form a partition of unity subordinate to this cover.

For the second, since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is the closed linear span of all elements fu^n with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to prove $\tau(fu^n) = 0$ for $f \in C(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Since h^n has no fixed points, there is an open cover of X consisting of sets U such that $h^n(U) \cap U = \emptyset$. Choose $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m \in C(X)$ which form a partition of unity subordinate to this cover. In particular, the supports of g_i and $g_i \circ h^{-n}$ are disjoint for all j.

For the second, since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is the closed linear span of all elements fu^n with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to prove $\tau(fu^n) = 0$ for $f \in C(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Since h^n has no fixed points, there is an open cover of X consisting of sets U such that $h^n(U) \cap U = \emptyset$. Choose $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m \in C(X)$ which form a partition of unity subordinate to this cover. In particular, the supports of g_j and $g_j \circ h^{-n}$ are disjoint for all j. For $1 \leq j \leq m$ we have, using the trace property at the second step, and the relation $u^n g u^{-n} = g \circ h^{-n}$ at the third step,

$$\tau(g_j f u^n) = \tau(g_j^{1/2} f u^n g_j^{1/2}) = \tau(g_j^{1/2} f(g_j^{1/2} \circ h^{-n}) u^n) = \tau(0) = 0.$$

For the second, since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ is the closed linear span of all elements fu^n with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to prove $\tau(fu^n) = 0$ for $f \in C(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Since h^n has no fixed points, there is an open cover of X consisting of sets U such that $h^n(U) \cap U = \emptyset$. Choose $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m \in C(X)$ which form a partition of unity subordinate to this cover. In particular, the supports of g_j and $g_j \circ h^{-n}$ are disjoint for all j. For $1 \leq j \leq m$ we have, using the trace property at the second step, and the relation $u^n g u^{-n} = g \circ h^{-n}$ at the third step,

$$\tau(g_j f u^n) = \tau(g_j^{1/2} f u^n g_j^{1/2}) = \tau(g_j^{1/2} f(g_j^{1/2} \circ h^{-n}) u^n) = \tau(0) = 0.$$

Summing over j gives $\tau(fu^n) = 0$. This completes the proof.

Tracial states on the subalgebra

Lemma

Let X be an infinite compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$.

Tracial states on the subalgebra

Lemma

Let X be an infinite compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$. Then the restriction map $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}) \to T(C(X))$

Tracial states on the subalgebra

Lemma

Let X be an infinite compact metric space, and let $h: X \to X$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $y \in X$. Then the restriction map $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}) \to T(C(X))$ is a bijection from $T(C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}})$ to the set of *h*-invariant Borel probability measures on X.

Applying the previous proposition and restricting from $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ to $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$,

3

Applying the previous proposition and restricting from $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ to $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$, we see that every *h*-invariant Borel probability measure on X gives a tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$.

Applying the previous proposition and restricting from $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ to $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$, we see that every *h*-invariant Borel probability measure on X gives a tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$.

Now let τ be any tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$.

Applying the previous proposition and restricting from $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ to $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$, we see that every *h*-invariant Borel probability measure on X gives a tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$.

Now let τ be any tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$. Let μ be the Borel probability measure on X determined by $\tau(f) = \int_X f d\mu$ for $f \in C(X)$.

Applying the previous proposition and restricting from $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)$ to $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$, we see that every *h*-invariant Borel probability measure on X gives a tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$.

Now let τ be any tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$. Let μ be the Borel probability measure on X determined by $\tau(f) = \int_X f \, d\mu$ for $f \in C(X)$. As in the proof of the previous proposition, we complete the proof by showing that μ is *h*-invariant, and that $\tau = \tau_{\mu}|_{C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}}$.

68 / 70

Proof of the lemma (continued)

For the first, we again show that $\int_X (f \circ h^{-1}) d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$ for every $f \in C(X)$.

3
For the first, we again show that $\int_X (f \circ h^{-1}) d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$ for every $f \in C(X)$. This is clearly true for constant functions f.

69 / 70

For the first, we again show that $\int_X (f \circ h^{-1}) d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$ for every $f \in C(X)$. This is clearly true for constant functions f. Therefore, it suffices to consider functions f such that f(y) = 0. For such a function f, write $f = f_1 f_2^*$ with $f_1 f_2 \in C(X)$ such that $f_1(y) = f_2(y) = 0$.

For the first, we again show that $\int_X (f \circ h^{-1}) d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$ for every $f \in C(X)$. This is clearly true for constant functions f. Therefore, it suffices to consider functions f such that f(y) = 0. For such a function f, write $f = f_1 f_2^*$ with $f_1 f_2 \in C(X)$ such that $f_1(y) = f_2(y) = 0$. (For example, take $f_1 = f |f|^{-1/2}$ and $f_2 = |f|^{1/2}$.)

For the first, we again show that $\int_X (f \circ h^{-1}) d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$ for every $f \in C(X)$. This is clearly true for constant functions f. Therefore, it suffices to consider functions f such that f(y) = 0. For such a function f, write $f = f_1 f_2^*$ with $f_1 f_2 \in C(X)$ such that $f_1(y) = f_2(y) = 0$. (For example, take $f_1 = f |f|^{-1/2}$ and $f_2 = |f|^{1/2}$.) Then uf_1 , $uf_2 \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$. So

$$f \circ h^{-1} = ufu^* = (uf_1)(uf_2)^* \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}.$$

For the first, we again show that $\int_X (f \circ h^{-1}) d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$ for every $f \in C(X)$. This is clearly true for constant functions f. Therefore, it suffices to consider functions f such that f(y) = 0. For such a function f, write $f = f_1 f_2^*$ with $f_1 f_2 \in C(X)$ such that $f_1(y) = f_2(y) = 0$. (For example, take $f_1 = f |f|^{-1/2}$ and $f_2 = |f|^{1/2}$.) Then uf_1 , $uf_2 \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$. So

$$f \circ h^{-1} = ufu^* = (uf_1)(uf_2)^* \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}.$$

We now use the trace property at the second step to get

$$\int_X (f \circ h^{-1}) \, d\mu = \tau \big((uf_1)(uf_2)^* \big) = \tau \big((uf_2)^* (uf_1) \big) = \tau(f) = \int_X f \, d\mu.$$

Thus μ is *h*-invariant.

For the second, we first claim that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is the closed linear span of all elements of the form fu^m , with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, which actually happen to be in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$.

70 / 70

For the second, we first claim that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is the closed linear span of all elements of the form fu^m , with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, which actually happen to be in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$. The claim follows from three facts: all the generators listed in the definition of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ have this form (using $uf = (f \circ h^{-1})u$),

For the second, we first claim that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is the closed linear span of all elements of the form fu^m , with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, which actually happen to be in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$. The claim follows from three facts: all the generators listed in the definition of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ have this form (using $uf = (f \circ h^{-1})u$), the product of two things of this form again has this form (use $(fu^m)(gu^n) = [f(g \circ h^{-m})]u^{m+n}$,

For the second, we first claim that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is the closed linear span of all elements of the form fu^m , with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, which actually happen to be in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$. The claim follows from three facts: all the generators listed in the definition of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ have this form (using $uf = (f \circ h^{-1})u$), the product of two things of this form again has this form (use $(fu^m)(gu^n) = [f(g \circ h^{-m})]u^{m+n}$, which is again in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ because $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is closed under multiplication),

For the second, we first claim that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is the closed linear span of all elements of the form fu^m , with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, which actually happen to be in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$. The claim follows from three facts: all the generators listed in the definition of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ have this form (using $uf = (f \circ h^{-1})u$), the product of two things of this form again has this form (use $(fu^m)(gu^n) = [f(g \circ h^{-m})]u^{m+n}$, which is again in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ because $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is closed under multiplication), and the adjoint of something of this form again has this form (by a similar argument).

For the second, we first claim that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is the closed linear span of all elements of the form fu^m , with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, which actually happen to be in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$. The claim follows from three facts: all the generators listed in the definition of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ have this form (using $uf = (f \circ h^{-1})u$), the product of two things of this form again has this form (use $(fu^m)(gu^n) = [f(g \circ h^{-m})]u^{m+n}$, which is again in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ because $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is closed under multiplication), and the adjoint of something of this form again has this form (by a similar argument).

It now suffices to prove that if $fu^n \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ and $n \neq 0$, then $\tau(fu^m) = 0$.

- 本間 と えき と えき とうき

For the second, we first claim that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is the closed linear span of all elements of the form fu^m , with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, which actually happen to be in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$. The claim follows from three facts: all the generators listed in the definition of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ have this form (using $uf = (f \circ h^{-1})u$), the product of two things of this form again has this form (use $(fu^m)(gu^n) = [f(g \circ h^{-m})]u^{m+n}$, which is again in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ because $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is closed under multiplication), and the adjoint of something of this form again has this form (by a similar argument).

It now suffices to prove that if $fu^n \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ and $n \neq 0$, then $\tau(fu^m) = 0$. The argument is the same as in the proof of the previous Proposition;

(日) (同) (ヨ) (ヨ) (ヨ)

For the second, we first claim that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is the closed linear span of all elements of the form fu^m , with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, which actually happen to be in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$. The claim follows from three facts: all the generators listed in the definition of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ have this form (using $uf = (f \circ h^{-1})u$), the product of two things of this form again has this form (use $(fu^m)(gu^n) = [f(g \circ h^{-m})]u^{m+n}$, which is again in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ because $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is closed under multiplication), and the adjoint of something of this form again has this form (by a similar argument).

It now suffices to prove that if $fu^n \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ and $n \neq 0$, then $\tau(fu^m) = 0$. The argument is the same as in the proof of the previous Proposition; one merely needs to note that the elements g_j used there are in $C(X) \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

For the second, we first claim that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is the closed linear span of all elements of the form fu^m , with $f \in C(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, which actually happen to be in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$. The claim follows from three facts: all the generators listed in the definition of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ have this form (using $uf = (f \circ h^{-1})u$), the product of two things of this form again has this form (use $(fu^m)(gu^n) = [f(g \circ h^{-m})]u^{m+n}$, which is again in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ because $C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ is closed under multiplication), and the adjoint of something of this form again has this form (by a similar argument).

It now suffices to prove that if $fu^n \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$ and $n \neq 0$, then $\tau(fu^m) = 0$. The argument is the same as in the proof of the previous Proposition; one merely needs to note that the elements g_j used there are in $C(X) \subset C^*(\mathbb{Z}, X, h)_{\{y\}}$. This completes the proof.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日