

Here is the “package” of motions we need to finalize at our last meeting tomorrow. Please review them

I have also posted all the motions and minutes we have considered this term to my web site. At the end of the term I will transfer all the material to the Ucouncil darkwing site (I am not yet authorized to post there). Here is the link:

[Minutes and Motions of the Undergraduate Council, Fall Term, 2000](#)

Motion 1

The Concept: "*Group Satisfying courses must be taught every year.*" This may sound radical, but the current legislation (see page 13 of the curricular report before the Senate) provides for exactly that already. Section 2 reads: "...Lower division courses must be offered annually and upper division courses at least biannually" [n.b.: in my dictionary "biannual" means "**twice per year**", but the intention here is clearly --I suspect-- that the courses be offered "at least once in two years"].

What I am specifically proposing here is that we clean up the language and set in place a process for monitoring the current legislation. Here is some specific language (new words in *italics*):

"...Lower division courses must be offered annually and upper division courses *at least twice in a three year cycle. The Registrar shall meet with the CAS Curriculum Committee to review exceptions. If group status is revoked because the course has not been offered, the sponsoring department must wait five years before requesting re-instatement of group status.*"

Motion 2

This is where the statement stands at the end of the last meeting (17 November):

The purpose of the Group requirements is to insure that students complete a set of courses that insures both coherence and breadth.

1. **A minimum of 16 credits in approved group satisfying courses is required in each group.**
2. **Across groups, students may count:**
 - a. **no more than one course in their major (major code is the same as the subject code)**
 - b. **at least two, but no more than three in any one subject code**

Since posting the minutes, one member has suggested that “**Across groups**” is confusing and unnecessary. We need to review this item before completing voting on the package

Motion 3

This item has not been addressed explicitly, but appears to represent the consensus (bold words??).

The "current" statement of General Education Requirements reads: "Courses designed primarily for majors are not excluded a priori from group status". Amend as follows "Courses designed primarily for majors are not excluded *a priori* from group status, *but courses that are designed for “majors only” shall not qualify for group status*"