## Campus Planning Committee Annual Report 2008-2009

## Members:

Name	E-Mail Address	Department	Ext.	Term_
Gregg Lobisser, chair	<u>lobisser@uoregon.edu</u>	Student Affairs	1143	ex-officio
Leslie Bennett	lbennett@uoregon.edu	Knight Library	1930	2008-10
Scott Coltrane	coltrane@uoregon.edu	Arts and Sciences	3902	ex-officio
Don Corner	<u>dcorner@uoregon.edu</u>	Architecture	3614	2008-10
Carole Daly	cdaly@uoregon.edu	Development	3362	2008-10
Tom Driscoll	driscoll@uoregon.edu	Housing	2666	2007-09
Frances Dyke	vpfa@uoregon.edu	V.P.F.A.	3003	ex-officio
George Hecht	ghecht@uoregon.edu	Facilities Services	2290	ex-officio
Kohlton Kauffman	kkauffma@uoregon.edu	Student		2008-09
Sean Landry	slandry@uoregon.edu	Student		2008-09
Richard Linton	rlinton@uoregon.edu	V. P Res. & Grad	2090	ex-officio
Chicora Martin	<u>chicora@uoregon.edu</u>	Student Life	1134	2007-09
Kevin Nute	<u>knute@uoregon.edu</u>	Architecture	0048	2007-09
Molly Promes	<u>mpromes@uoregon.edu</u>	Student		2008-09
Andrzej Proskurowski	<u>andrzej@uoregon.edu</u>	Computer & Info Science	4428	2007-09
Chris Ramey	cramey@uoregon.edu	CPRE	5562	ex-officio
George Rowe	<u>gerowe@uoregon.edu</u>	English	3975	2008-10
Colin Schless	cschless@uoregon.edu	Student		2008-09
Eric Selker	selker@uoregon.edu	Biology	5193	2008-10
Molly Sirois	sirois@uoregon.edu	Disability Services	1073	ex-officio
Priscilla Southwell	psouth@uoregon.edu	Poli Sci (Univ Senate)	4074	2009-10
Greg Stripp	stripp@uoregon.edu	Advancement.	5551	ex-officio
Donald Swain	dswain@uoregon.edu	Mathematics Library	2656	2007-2009
Rob Thallon	<u>thallon@uoregon.edu</u>	AAA	3631	ex-officio
<u>Staff:</u>				
Christine Thompson	cthomps@uoregon.edu	CPRE	5572	

## Meetings and Activities:

Since fall 2009, the Campus Planning Committee (CPC) and its two subcommittees have held seventeen meetings and forwarded thirteen recommendations to Administration. The committee reviewed numerous schematic designs for campus development projects. Also, it reviewed proposed *Campus Plan* amendments addressing diversity and the campus open space framework. CPC members participated in the architect selection process for multiple development projects and served on numerous project user groups. Staff educated the committee about its role in the campus development process and provided relevant background materials to enable well-informed decisions about a wide range of complex campus planning and development issues.

## Summary of Action Taken:

11/11/08 CPC	Agenda:	Orientation
	Action:	No formal action.
	Approved:	NA

11/17/08	CPC	Agenda:	East Campus Student Housing (now referred to as East Campus Residence Hall) – Meeting One
		Action:	The committee recommended to the president, with nineteen in
			favor and one abstention, that the following actions be taken:
			A. Approval of the preferred site for the East Campus Residence
			Hall project.
			B. Support of the identified <i>Campus Plan</i> patterns and policies for
			the project with the understanding that the following
			comments will be considered as the project moves forward:
			1. Accommodate the in-progress and proposed Museum of
			Natural and Cultural History expansion projects.
			2. Consider the relationship of the proposed building and
			open-space framework plan to all adjacent uses; for
			example, the Knight Law Center and the Many Nations
			Longhouse (special indoor and outdoor needs).
			3. Strive to make new development and the adjacent East
			Campus area "a part" of campus. 4. Ensure that academic uses are appropriate for the location
			(outside most seven-minute walking circles).
			5. Ensure that the site is developed in a thoughtful, efficient
			manner. Recognize the fact that limited land remains for
			new, large-scale buildings.
			6. Bring the project to the committee for initial review and
			comment when a draft open-space framework plan and
			building massing plan are developed.
			C. Support of the identified user group representation for the
			project with the understanding that the following suggestion will be considered as the project moves forward:
			1. Ensure broader campus planning issues are addressed.
			Given the large impact this project will have on the area,
			recognize the importance of having neighbor representation
			(e.g., Moss Street Children's Center, Museum of Natural
			and Cultural History, Knight Law Center, etc.). Provide a
			way to allow broader, substantive campus neighbor
			involvement in the open-space framework planning process
			without burdening the user group. For example, set up a
			separate open-space framework group with greater
		A 1	neighbor representation.
		Approved:	France Dyke
		Status:	The committee's comments will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.
			project moves forward.
		Agenda:	Baseball Stadium Project: Phase 2 – Schematic Design
		Action:	The committee agreed with twenty in favor and one abstention that
			the schematic design for the Baseball Stadium Project: Phase 2 is
			consistent with the identified list of Campus Plan patterns and
			policies and recommended to the president that it be approved
			with the understanding that the following recommendations will
			be considered:

1. Ensure that all signage, including the mounted "O's", meet the Eugene sign code.

Approved: Status:	<ol> <li>Evaluate the durability of the proposed panelized wood and the potential for vandalism, and consider alternate materials as appropriate.</li> <li>Streamline the overall baseball stadium design (reduce the level of "fussy" details) to better relate to the functional design and scale of Autzen Stadium.</li> <li>Consider design modifications to create a more unified design and better relate the highly detailed tower to the adjacent basic locker room wall along the northern edge of the stadium.</li> <li>Consider replacing the proposed brick tower material with a more appropriate material from the CMU family of materials that still provides the desired finer grain level of detail.</li> <li>Consider installing bike-parking facilities closer to the baseball stadium south entrance if at all possible.</li> <li>France Dyke The committee's conditions will be addressed as the project moves forward.</li> </ol>
11/26/08 DR Agenda:	Chapman Hall Signage – Schematic Design
Action:	The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the proposed schematic design for the Chapman Hall signage is consistent with the <i>Campus Plan</i> . They recommended to the president that it be approved.
Approved: Status:	Frances Dyke The proposed project will move forward
Agenda: Action:	<b>Education Kincaid Street Entrance – Schematic Design</b> The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the proposed schematic design of the Education Kincaid Street Entrance is consistent with the <i>Campus Plan</i> . They recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following condition: Give the Kincaid Green and Education courtyard the attention it deserves. Look into the possibility of making additional improvements to the Kincaid Green entrance area as part of this project.
Approved: Status:	France Dyke The committee's condition will be addressed as the project moves forward.
01/14/09 DPIT Agenda: Action: Approved: Status:	<b>UO Architectural Style Manual – Initial Discussion</b> No formal action was required. NA The subcommittee's comments will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.
Agenda: Action: Approved: Status:	<b>Sustainable Development Plan Update – Initial Discussion</b> No formal action was required. NA The subcommittee's comments will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.

01/20/09	DR	Agenda:	Moss Street Children's Center Outdoor Classroom – Schematic Design
		Action:	The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the proposed schematic design for the Moss Street Children's Center Outdoor Classroom is consistent with the <i>Campus Plan</i> and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:
			<ol> <li>Ensure all legal, code, and safety requirements are met, paying special attention to regulations pertaining to children's play structures.</li> <li>Consider incorporating materials from the old wooden play structure and the fence at the former children's center site.</li> </ol>
			<ol> <li>Consider design options that would allow the structure to be disassembled and reused at a different location.</li> <li>Consider a future project to screen the service area from alley</li> </ol>
		Approved: Status:	views. Frances Dyke The committee's conditions will be addressed as the project moves forward.
		Agenda: Action:	<b>College of Education Artwork – Siting</b> The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the siting for the College of Education Artwork (components A, B, and C) is consistent with the <i>Campus Plan</i> and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following condition:
			<ol> <li>Better integrate component B's paving materials and design into the overall axis landscape design and artwork. Diminish the visual effect of the paving platform relative to the artwork, and ensure the open space walkways remain the primary pathway features. Work with Facilities Services to ensure that maintenance needs are addressed.</li> <li>Revisit and refine component B's location taking into consideration access to the sculptures, maintenance, relation to the open space design, and connection to the other sculptures.</li> <li>Consider possibly moving component C further north so it is more visible and strengthens connections to other components.</li> <li>Submit the revised design (with comments explaining how conditions 1, 2, and 3 were addressed) to CPRE staff for distribution to the subcommittee.</li> </ol>
		Approved: Status:	France Dyke The committee's conditions will be addressed as the project moves forward.
02/17/09	DR	Agenda:	CASL (Center for the Advancement of Sustainable Living) House Additions and Alterations at 1801 Moss Street – Schematic Design
		Action:	The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the proposed schematic design for the CASL (Center for the Advancement of Sustainable Living) House Additions and Alterations project at 1801 Moss Street is consistent with the <i>Campus Plan</i> and

			recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:
		Approved: Status:	<ol> <li>Ensure the proposed green roof is thoughtfully designed and installed.</li> <li>Ensure the proposed ramp design and installation protects the root zone of the existing tree.</li> <li>Make use of materials available from Facilities Services including pallets of red brick and milled lumber from felled trees.</li> <li>Work with the university (Facilities Services and Campus Planning and Real Estate) to ensure all related city code requirements related to construction within a setback are addressed.</li> <li>Frances Dyke The committee's conditions will be addressed as the project moves forward.</li> </ol>
04/29/09	DPIT	Agenda: Action: Approved: Status:	<b>Diversity</b> <i>Campus Plan</i> <b>Amendments – Discussion</b> No formal action was required. The subcommittee's comments will be addressed prior to full CPC review and action. NA The subcommittee's comments will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.
05/06/09	CPC	Agenda: Action: Approved: Status:	<b>Lewis Integrative Science Building (LISB) – Check in</b> No formal action. The committee's comments will be considered as the project moves forward. NA The committee's comments will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.
05/12/09	DR	Agenda: Action: Approved: Status:	<ul> <li>Rosenberg Sculpture - Revised Site The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the proposed new site for the Rosenberg Sculpture is consistent with the <i>Campus Plan</i> and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions: </li> <li>Shift the proposed site slightly north so that it is separated from the angled sidewalk edge, creating a parallel grassy strip approximately six feet wide. </li> <li>Install the sculpture on a surface of decomposed granite with a concrete edge. Frances Dyke The committee's conditions will be addressed as the project moves forward.</li></ul>
05/20/09	CPC	Agenda: Action:	<b>Campus Planning Committee - Chair Election</b> The committee agreed unanimously to elect Gregg Lobisser as chair of the 2009-2010 CPC.

	Approved: Status:	NA The chair will begin his duties when the 2009-2010 committee convenes.
	Agenda: Action:	<b>Diversity</b> <i>Campus Plan</i> <b>Amendments – Public Hearing and</b> <b>Action</b> The committee held a public hearing. The committee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the <i>Campus Plan</i> amendments related to diversity be approved subject to the following conditions:
	Approved: Status:	<ol> <li>Add "to the greatest degree possible" to the end of the new sentence in the user group definition.</li> <li>Remove the comma from the new pattern's introductory "statement" to clarify it's intent.</li> <li>France Dyke</li> <li>The committee's comments will be addressed and the Campus Plan will be amended.</li> </ol>
05/20/09 D	R Agenda: Action:	<b>Craft Center Shelter – New Schematic Design</b> The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the proposed new schematic design for the EMU Craft Center is consistent with the <i>Campus Plan</i> and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:
	Approved:	<ol> <li>Consider ways to establish visual connections to Craft Center activities by enhancing views in, integrating artistic elements into the design (e.g., sculptural scuppers?), and visibly displaying artwork.</li> <li>Consider alternate design and location options for the roof monitor to enhance the simple, clean lines of the overall design.</li> <li>Locate the exhausts in a manner that does not detract from the simplicity of the design. Ensure that existing trees are protected from the hot fumes.</li> <li>Frances Dyke</li> </ol>
	Status:	The committee's conditions will be addressed as the project moves forward.
06/03/09 C	PC Agenda: Action:	<b>2009-2011 Biennial Capacity Plan</b> The committee reviewed the 2009-2011 Biennial Capacity Plan (BCP) and agreed unanimously that
		<ol> <li>in the aggregate, sufficient siting opportunities exist for the remaining identified capital projects, and</li> <li>sites meeting the requirements of the <i>Campus Plan</i> are identified for the first-biennium projects and revisions are identified if they are needed.</li> </ol>
	Approved: Status:	NA The BCP will be printed.
	Agenda:	Lewis Integrative Science Building (LISB) – 2nd Check-in

		Action:	The CPC provided comments about LISB siting and massing design ideas as presented at the meeting. These comments will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.
			In addition, the committee agreed unanimously to ask the president to take the following actions:
		Approved: Status:	<ol> <li>Support the proposal to improve the university's Franklin Boulevard edge by relocating some of the existing parking (12- 15 spaces near Klamath Hall and Streisinger Hall) to provide space for a landscaped, pedestrian-and-bike-friendly open space.</li> <li>Authorize Campus Planning and Real Estate to work with the Department of Public Safety to study parking space relocation and funding options necessary to achieve this proposal.</li> <li>Frances Dyke The committee's comments will be addressed as the project moves forward. The additional actions will move forward.</li> </ol>
06/09/09	DR	Agenda:	Outdoor Program Barn Trip Facility Alterations and Additions – Schematic Design
		Action:	The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the proposed schematic design for the Outdoor Program Barn Trip Facility Alterations and Additions project is consistent with the <i>Campus Plan</i> and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:
			<ol> <li>Ensure that city storm water regulations are met.</li> <li>Ensure that proposed landscape improvements along 18th Avenue take safety concerns into consideration.</li> <li>Work to resolve existing landscaping maintenance issues, in particular the prevalence of horsetail.</li> <li>Add a campus standard building sign along 18th Avenue if funds are available.</li> <li>If the row of 10" trees is removed to expand the drive, ensure that the replacement landscaped buffer does not rely upon the existing fencing surrounding the batting cages (e.g., climbing vines).</li> </ol>
		Approved: Status:	Frances Dyke The committee's conditions will be addressed as the project moves forward.
06/10/09	CPC	Agenda:	East Campus Residence Hall Project and East Campus Area
		Action:	<b>Open-space Framework Plan – Check in</b> No formal action. The committee's comments will be considered as the project moves forward.
		Approved: Status:	NA The committee's comments will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.

07/27/09	CPC	Agenda: Action: Approved: Status:	<b>Lewis Integrative Science Building (LISB) – 3rd Check-in</b> No formal action. The committee's comments will be considered as the project moves forward. NA The committee's comments will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.
10/12/09	СРС	Agenda: Action: Approved: Status:	<b>Campus Plan Open-Space Amendments Related to the Lewis</b> <b>Integrative Science Building (LISB) – Public Hearing and Action</b> The committee held a public hearing. The committee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the <i>Campus Plan</i> open-space amendments related the LISB project be approved. Pending The amendments will be submitted to the City and then implemented.
		Agenda: Action: Approved: Status:	<b>Lewis Integrative Science Building (LISB) – Schematic Design</b> No formal action. The CPC provided initial comments and asked the design team to address them at a follow-up meeting, at which time the committee will complete its review and take action. NA The committee's comments will be addressed as the project moves forward. Refer to 10/21/09 CPC meeting.
10/21/09	CPC	Agenda: Action:	<ul> <li>Lewis Integrative Science Building (LISB) – Schematic Design (continued)</li> <li>The committee agreed, with thirteen in favor and one abstention, that the schematic design for the LISB Project is consistent with the <i>Campus Plan</i> and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:</li> <li>Study the pathway between Oregon Hall and Deschutes Hall to determine how to enhance it as a primary pathway (refer to prior committee comments). Present recommended enhancements to the Design Review Subcommittee.</li> <li>Determine ways to reduce the apparent scale of the south façade window openings to better relate to the scale of Deschutes Hall.</li> <li>Reconsider the roof overhang design. Consider a partial or small overhang to make the building less austere.</li> <li>Change the angled roofline of the stair access extension to a flat roof to make it less noticeable.</li> <li>Consider ways to tie the brick face of the north façade to the brick face of the west façade (for example, adding a brick course at the roof level or bisecting the large vertical bank of windows on the west façade).</li> <li>Reduce the visual impact of the mechanical penthouse, which extends vertically above the western façade (e.g., a setback or different material such as brick.)</li> <li>Enhance the north entrance so that it reads as a primary entrance (e.g., add a component of the neural network artwork).</li> </ul>

- 8. Make the north elevation appear less industrial by incorporating design elements that make it more approachable (e.g., artwork, color, or different materials).
- 9. Reduce the size of the front entry louver system to the greatest degree possible to make it less imposing.
- 10. Take advantage of the south-facing entrance by maximizing outdoor seating opportunities.
- 11. Continue efforts to minimize the building's impact on Streisinger.
- 12. If changes (including any tree removals) are proposed for the UO entry sign and associated landscape, consider the overall design of the open space, specifically the potential sign relocation. Present recommended changes to the Design Review Subcommittee.

The design team will work with CPRE staff to demonstrate resolution of these issues unless it is noted above that they should present recommended changes to the Design Review Subcommittee. Pending

Approved: 1 Status:

The committee's conditions will be addressed as the project moves forward.